Seed coat micromorphology of *Gordonia* sensu lato (including *Polyspora* and *Laplacea*; Theaceae)

L. A. A. H. GUNATHILAKE, JEFFREY S. PRINCE, AND BARBARA A. WHITLOCK

Department of Biology, University of Miami, 1301 Memorial Drive, Coral Gables, Florida 33146, U.S.A.; e-mail: anuradha@bio.miami.edu; e-mail: jeffprince@miami.edu; e-mail: whitlock@bio.miami.edu

Abstract. Species of *Gordonia* s.l. are characterized by having seeds with prominent flattened apical wings. However, recent molecular phylogenetic studies show that this concept of *Gordonia* is not monophyletic, with species occurring in two tribes of Theaceae. We examine seed coat micromophology of 14 species of *Gordonia* s.l., including representatives from all proposed lineages, and ten species from six genera from all three tribes of Theaceae. We observed that seeds from Gordonieae, including two species of *Gordonia* s.l., have irregularly protruding groups of cells on the seed coat that appear to be unique in the family. Seeds of Theaeae, including all remaining species of *Gordonia* s.l., lack protruding cells and include testa cells that are isodiametric to elongate. Seeds of Stewartieae lack protrusions and elongate testa cells, and often have sculpting visible below the seed coat. Seeds of *Gordonia* s.l. from Gordonieae appear significantly smaller than species placed in Theeae. These results may help to infer relationships of fossilized seeds previously identified as *Gordonia*.

Key Words: Gordonia, Laplacea, Polyspora, Theaceae, seed morphology, SEM.

Gordonia J. Ellis is a genus with 20-65 species distributed in the Americas and Asia, with the type species G. lasianthus (L.) J. Ellis as the sole representative in the continental U.S.A. (Stevens et al., 2004; Mabberley, 2008). This concept of the genus dates to Keng (1980) who combined species from several other taxa, most notably Polyspora Sweet and Laplacea Kunth, based largely on their shared fruit and seed morphology. Fruit of all species assigned to Gordonia s.l. are ovoid to subglobose capsules with a columella present. The basal part of the seed containing the embryo is ovoid and asymmetric, with a single prominent, flattened apical wing that is usually at least as long as or longer than the embryo. Seeds of other genera of Theaceae vary, but all lack the prominent characteristic wing seen in Gordonia s.l. (Keng, 1962; Wang et al., 2006).

Recent molecular phylogenetic studies have shown that *Gordonia* s.l. is not monophyletic (Prince & Parks, 2001; Yang et al., 2004), with species formerly assigned to it occurring either in Gordonieae or Theeae, two of three monophyletic tribes of the family (Prince & Parks, 2001; Yang et al., 2004). The type, *G. lasianthus*, and another species from the Americas, *G. brandegeei* H. Keng, are included in Gordonieae. The remaining taxa sampled are in Theeae and include species from Asia and tropical America. Although relationships within Theeae are not well resolved, there appear to be two lineages of species formerly placed in Gordonia s.l. within this tribe. Prince and Parks (2001) recommend resurrection of two older names for these taxa, Polyspora and Laplacea, and some authors have already formally transferred some species to Polyspora (Yang et al., 2004; Orel et al., 2012). More densely sampled phylogenetic analyses will be necessary to clarify relationships and place as yet unsampled species. Here, we follow Prince and Parks (2001) and assume that G. brandegeei and G. lasianthus are the only species of Gordonia s.l. in Gordonieae, and that all remaining species are in Theeae, closely related to the clades provisionally identified as Polyspora or Laplacea.

The polyphyly of *Gordonia* s.l. suggests that the prominently winged seeds of these species may be an example of convergent evolution, and raises the possibility that subtle differences may exist that distinguish seeds of unrelated species. Here, we examine the micromorphology of seeds from 48 specimens representing at least 24 species of Theaceae, with representatives from all

Brittonia, DOI 10.1007/s12228-014-9347-z

ISSN: 0007-196X (print) ISSN: 1938-436X (electronic) © 2014, by The New York Botanical Garden Press, Bronx, NY 10458-5126 U.S.A.

three tribes, using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) in a low vacuum mode with backscatter detector. This mode of SEM has not been used extensively in botanical studies; however, previous studies of seed coat micromorphology have revealed detail not observed under the more commonly used high vacuum mode of SEM (Whitlock et al., 2010; Zona et al., 2012). We test the following predictions: (1) seeds of *Gordonia* s.l. in Gordonieae differ in micromorphology from seeds of *Gordonia* s.l. (i.e., *Polyspora* and *Laplacea*) in Theeae; and (2) members of each of the three tribes of Theaceae are characterized by similar seed coat micromorphology.

Materials and methods

Seeds were obtained from field collections by the first author or from herbarium specimens from the Arnold Arboretum (A), the Fairchild Tropical Botanic Garden (FTG) and The New York Botanic Garden (NY). We examined seeds from 48 specimens representing 24 species of Theaceae (Table I), with representatives of all three tribes and six of the nine genera recognized by Prince and Parks (2001). Seeds from 14 species of Gordonia s.l. were examined, including both species hypothesized to be in Gordonieae. All other specimens of Gordonia s.l. examined were assumed to be in Theeae following Prince and Parks (2001) and Prince (2007), including species previously referred to Polyspora or Laplacea. Seeds of three specimens were unidentified to species, but are assumed to be in Theeae based on their geographic origin (Yang et al., 2004; Yakandawala & Gunathilake, 2008).

Seeds were observed with a light microscope then mounted and left uncoated for observation under SEM with a Jeol JSM 5600LV in a low vacuum mode (20-100 Pa) at 30KV, with a backscatter detector. A seed of *Gordonia* s.l. can be divided into two sections: the part that contains the embryo, and the flattened membranous extension of the seed coat that forms an apical wing (Fig. 1). We obtained SEM images at three locations on the seed: at the proximal end (over the embryo), midway (at the embryo – wing interface), and at the distal end (over the wing). Images were obtained at X50, X100 and X220.

In order to explore micromorphological variation, the following measurements were obtained: (1) Length of the seed (in mm), measured to be the longest dimension of the seed. (2) Width of the seed (in mm), measured as the longest dimension perpendicular to the length. (3) Number of cells intersected by transects on images at X220 magnification ("transect cell number"). Two images were used for each seed, one at the proximal end over the embryo, and one at the distal end over the wing. For each image, two transects were drawn diagonal to the axes of the image and the number of cells intersecting each line was counted separately. Cells extending beyond the edge of the image were not counted. The higher number of the two counts was noted as the transect cell number. (4) The average length of five random cells intersected by each transect in (3)("average cell length"), for a total of 20 cells per seed.

Results

Seeds of the 24 species of Theaceae examined vary from globose to compressed, ovoid, oblong, or reniform in profile (Fig. 1). Seeds of several specimens have thin, flattened regions that may be described as wings, although the size, shape, and location of these wings vary across taxa. All specimens examined of *Gordonia* s.l. have prominent apical wings. These wings are longer than the length of the embryo in all examined seeds of *Gordonia* s.l. in Theeae and in half of *Gordonia* s.l. of Gordonieae. Such well-developed wings were not observed in seeds from any other taxon of Theaceae.

Seed length and width were measured from 35 specimens representing two species of Gordonia s.l. in Gordonieae and 11 species in Theeae. Seed length of one sample in Gordonieae could not be obtained due to damage to the wing. Seeds of Gordonia s.l. assigned to Gordonieae (i.e., G. lasianthus and G. brandegeei) had lengths of 9.0–14.0 mm (mean = 10.5, SD = 1.7) and widths of 3.2-6.0 mm (*mean* = 3.9, SD = 0.82). All other species of Gordonia s.l., all assigned to Theeae here, had seed lengths of 10.3-25.3 mm (mean = 18.05, SD = 4.5) and widths of 3.0-8.7 mm (mean = 5.4, SD = 1.4). Two-tailed student Ttests indicate significant differences between Gordonieae and Theeae for both length (p =6.91E-05) and width (p = 0.0043). Data on seed length and width, as well as transect cell number and cell length (see below), are available from the authors on request.

Diagonal transects drawn on images of X220 magnification correspond to 750 μ m on the seed. Transect cell number was obtained from 25 seeds

GUNATHILAKE ET AL.: GORDONIA (THEACEAE)

TABLE I SOURCE OF SEEDS EXAMINED USING SEM.

Species	Voucher	Collection locality
Gordonieae		
Franklinia alatamaha Marshall	Atha 518 (NY)	U.S.A. (Florida)
Gordonia brandegeei H. Keng	Brenes 5357 (NY)	Costa Rica
G. brandegeei	von Hagen and von Hagen 2127 (NY)	Panama
G. brandegeei	Schultes and Reko 798 (A)	Mexico
G. lasianthus (L.) J. Ellis	Baker 16-5 (Harvard University Herbaria Enuit and Seed Collection)	U.S.A. (Florida)
G lasianthus	Sheridan and Telford 1116 (FTG)	USA (Florida)
G lasianthus	Craighead s n (FTG)	USA (Florida)
G lasianthus	Godfrey 84777 (NY)	USA (Florida)
G lasianthus	Bucklev s n (NV)	USA (Florida)
G. lasianthus	Hill 22650 (NV)	USA (S Carolina)
G lasianthus	Rritt 3066 (NV)	USA (N Carolina)
Schima argantaa E Pritz ev Diels	Eana 5685 (NV)	China
S wallichii (DC) Korth	Sognadmo and Subaimi s76 (NV)	Malaysia
Theese	Soepuuno una Sunaimi 370 (101)	widiaysia
Camallia sinansis (L.) Kuntze	Brach and Palomino 1754 (NV)	Dem
Cordonia arillaris (Poyh, ex Ker) Endl ^a	$T_{sama} 25604 (\Lambda)$	China
$G_{axillaris^{a}}$	$\frac{15 \text{ ang } 25004 \text{ (A)}}{\text{ Rock } 7200 \text{ (A)}}$	China
G. axillaris ^a	H_{amp} 10308 (A)	China
C. axillaris ^a	Sun and Chang 814 (A)	China
G. axillaris ^a	Sun una Chang 014 (A) Chan 1671 (A)	Taiwan
C halanaa Dit ^a	Chen 10/1 (A)	China
G. balansae Fit.	H0W/5162 (A)	China
G. balansae	Liang 02024 (A) $L = 01 (A)$	China
G. balansae A	Lau 91 (A)	China
G. bulansae B	Law 91 (A) Cum schillable S042 (field collection)	China Sei Lonizo
G. ceylanica wight	<i>Cumathilates</i> S042 (field collection)	Sri Lanka
G. ceylanica	Gunalnilake 5045 (field collection)	Sri Lanka
G. ceylanica	Gunatillake S031 (field collection)	Sri Lanka
G. ceylanica	Gunatinitake 5080 (field collection)	Sri Lanka
G. concentricicatrix Burkill	Youn 950/8 (A)	Malaysia
G. dalglieshiana Craib	Nagamasu 149949 (A)	
G. dasanayakei wadhwa & weeras.	Gunathilake S012 (field collection)	Sri Lanka
G. elliptica Gardner	Gunathilake S013 (field collection)	Sri Lanka
G. elliptica	Gunathilake S009 (field collection)	Sri Lanka
G. fruticosa (Schrad.) H. Keng ^o	Mexia / 460 (A)	Ecuador
G. fruticosa ^e	Garcia-Barriga et al. 1858/ (A)	Colombia
G. fruticosa ^c	Fosberg 20068 (A)	Colombia
G. haematoxylon Sw. ⁶	Alain 264 (A)	Cuba
G. haematoxylon ⁶	Leon and Victorin 17185 (A)	Cuba
G. havilandii Burkille	Stevens et al. 242 (A)	Malaysia
G. longicarpa Hung T. Chang"	<i>Li Heng 11546</i> (A)	China
G. penangensis Ridl.	Henderson 32948 (A)	Malaysia
Gordonia sp.°	Kostermans s.n. (A)	Sri Lanka
Gordonia sp.°	<i>Li 2755</i> (A)	China
Gordonia sp.°	Kouchummen FRI023160 (A)	Malaysia
Tutcheria greeniae Chun	Steward and Cheo 1045 (NY)	China
T. shinkoensis (Hayata) Nakai	Boufford and Bartholomew 25109 (NY)	Taiwan
Stewartieae		
Stewartia malacodendron Nakai	Mackenzie 1698 (NY)	U.S.A. (Virginia)
S. monadelpha Siebold & Zucc.	Ahles 35399 (NY)	U.S.A. (Florida)
S. ovata (Cav.) Weath.	Kearney 548 (NY)	U.S.A. (Tennessee)
S. pteropetiolata W. C. Cheng	<i>Tsang 24021</i> (NY)	China

^a Species of Gordonia s.l. transferred to Polyspora (Yang et al., 2004; Orel et al., 2012).

^b Species of Gordonia s.l. hypothesized to be Laplacea (Prince, 2009).

^c Species hypothesized to be Theeae, in either Laplacea or Polyspora.



FIG. 1. Seeds of Theaceae. A. Gordonia lasianthus (Sheridan & Telford 1116). B. Gordonia brandegeei (Schultes & Reko 798). C. Franklinia alatamaha (Atha 518). D. Schima wallichii (Soepadmo & Suhaimi s76). E. Schima argentea (Fang 5685). F. Gordonia (=Polyspora) axillaris, a: embryo, b: wing (Rock 7299). G. Camellia sinensis (Brach & Palomino 1754). H. Tutcheria greeniae (Steward & Cheo 1045). I. Tutcheria shinkoensis (Boufford and Bartholemew 25109). J. Stewartia pteropetiolata (Tsang 24021). K. Stewartia malacodendron (Mackenzie 1698). L. Stewartia monadelpha (Ahles 35399). M. Stewartia ovata (Kearney 548). See Table 1 for voucher information. Scale bar = 5 mm.

of 11 species of *Gordonia* s.l. and three samples not identified to species. Due to the ridged and irregular surface of the seed coat, we obtained measurements for transect cell number and cell length from only four of the nine samples of Gordonieae included in Table I. Statistical analyses on relationships among seed length, transect cell number, and transect cell length were thus only performed on samples from Theeae.

A multiple regression analysis to examine the relationship between total seed length and transect cell number in Theeae indicates that transect cell number of the wing is significantly and negatively related to total seed length ($b_{wing} = -0.26$, SE = 0.1, $t_{(18)} = -2.65$, p = 0.01). The relationship between transect cell number over the embryo and the total seed length was not significant $(b_{embrvo} = 0.002, SE = 0.14, t_{(18)} = 0.02, p = 0.99).$ The overall model used for the analysis was significant (F $_{(2,18)} = 4.19$, p = 0.03, adjusted $R^2 = 0.24$). A multiple regression analysis also indicates a significant correlation between the cell length in the wing and total seed length in Theeae ($b_{wing} =$ 78.842, SE = 21.64, $t_{(18)} = 3.644$, p = 0.002), but no significant correlation between cell length over the embryo and seed length ($b_{embryo} = -2.92526$, SE = 19.74, $t_{(18)} = -0.14$, p = 0.884). The overall model was significant ($F_{(2,18)} = 10.85561$, p = 0.0008, adjusted $R^2 = 0.49636$).

SEED COAT MICROMORPHOLOGY

Gordonieae.—Selected images of seeds from the five species sampled from Gordonieae are shown in Fig. 2. These seeds include the laterally flattened and winged seeds of *Gordonia* s.s., including *G. lasianthus* and *G. brandegeei*, and angular, reniform seeds of *Franklinia* W. Bartram ex Marshall and *Schima* Reinw. ex Blume (Fig. 1C-E).

When observed under SEM, seeds of all species examined of Gordonieae show conspicuous protruding groups of testa cells that form ridges distributed through the length of the seed. The distribution, density, size and shape of these ridges varied from seed to seed. In G. brandegeei and G. lasianthus, ridges are more common in the seed coat covering the embryo than in the part forming the wing. In three out of the seven seeds observed for G. lasianthus, we did not detect any protrusions in the wing. In G. brandegeei, the protruding ridges are more regularly sized and spaced, and may be responsible for the lepidote appearance of the seed visible to the naked eye; the non-protruding testa cells of G. brandegeei appear collapsed, revealing reticulate sculpting in the inner periclinal walls (Fig. 2A, B). Protruding groups of testa cells were not observed on the seed coats of any species of Theeae or Stewartiae, including all other species of Gordonia s.l. sampled. In one specimen of Tutcheria shinkoensis (Hayata) Nakai of Theeae, slightly elevated cells were observed (Fig. 3G); however, these seem very different from what was observed in seeds of Gordonieae, with only a few single scattered testa cells appearing elevated and the degree of elevation is very slight.

Theeae.—Selected images of seeds from the 15+ species sampled from Theeae are shown in Figs. 3 and 4. These include the globose seeds of *Camellia sinensis* (L.) Kuntze, the angular oblong seeds of *Tutcheria* Dunn, and the conspicuously winged seeds of *Gordonia* s.l. that likely should all be transferred to *Polyspora* or *Laplacea*. Seeds observed from all species of Theeae have relatively smooth surfaces (Fig. 3) and lack any protrusions seen in species of Gordonieae. The shape of cells varies, ranging from isodiametric to elongate (Fig. 4). In some instances both cell shapes were observed on the same seed (e.g., Fig. 4C, E).

Stewartieae.—Selected images of seeds from the four species sampled from Stewartieae are shown in Fig. 5. Seeds of species examined were ovoid to oblong, angular or compressed, some with a small wing surrounding the entire embryo (e.g., Stewartia monadelpha Siebold & Zucc.; Fig. 1L). In all seeds observed, testa cells appear consistently small and isodiametric (Fig. 5). In two of the four species (S. pteropetiolata W.C. Cheng (=Hartia sinensis Dunn) and S. ovata (Cav.) Weath.; Fig. 5A, B), testa cells show sculpting on the periclinal surface giving them a plicate appearance. A third species, S. monadelpha, has less prominent sculpting of even bumps on the periclinal surface. In contrast, testa cells of S. malacodendron L. appear exceptionally smooth.

Discussion

The variation in seed coat micromorphology observed here supports the polyphyly of Gordonia s.l. and the recognition of Theeae, Gordonieae, and Stewartieae as identified in recent molecular phylogenetic analyses of Theaceae (Prince & Parks, 2001). Despite variation in macroscopic seed morphology and seed size, all of the seeds examined from Gordonieae, including G. lasianthus and G. brandegeei, share irregular ridges formed by groups of protruding testa cells. Seeds of Theeae, including all other species of Gordonia s.l. sampled, have a smooth appearance, without ridges or visible sculpting, and have both isodiametric and linear testa cells. Seeds examined from Stewartieae also lack protruding ridges, but appear to have solely isodiametric testa cells, with sculpting visible in three of the four species examined. These three species form a clade sister to the fourth species, S. malacodendron (Prince, 2002), suggesting

BRITTONIA



FIG. 2. Scanning electron micrographs of seed coats of Gordonieae. A. Gordonia brandegeei, wing (Schultes & Reko 798). B. Gordonia brandegeei, over embryo (Schultes & Reko 798). C. Gordonia lasianthus, wing showing few protrusions (Sheridan & Telford 1116). D. Gordonia lasianthus, over embryo (Sheridan and Telford 1116). E, F. Schima wallichii (Soepadmo & Suhaimi s76);
 G. Schima argentea (Fang 5685). H. Franklinia alatamaha (Atha 518). See Table 1 for voucher information. Scale bar = 500 μm.



FIG. 3. Scanning electron micrographs of seed coats of Theeae. A. Gordonia (=Polyspora?) balansae, middle of seed (How 73182). B. Gordonia (=Polyspora?) sp., over the embryo (Kostermans s.n.). C. Gordonia (=Polyspora) longicarpa, over embryo (Li Heng 11546). D. Gordonia (=Polyspora) axillaris, middle of seed (Tsang 25604). E. Gordonia (=Laplacea?) fruticosa, wing (García-Barriga et al. 18587). F. Camellia sinensis (Brach and Palomino 1754). G. Tutcheria shinkoensis (Boufford & Bartholomew 25109). H. Tutcheria greeniae (Steward & Cheo 1045). See Table 1 for voucher information. Scale bar = 500 µm.



FIG. 4. Scanning electron micrographs showing isodiametric and elongate testa cells in Theeae. A. *Tutcheria shinkoensis* (*Boufford & Bartholomew 25109*). B. *Tutcheria greeniae* (*Steward & Cheo 1045*); C: *Gordonia* (=Polyspora?) sp. (*Li 2755*) wing. D. *Gordonia* (=*Laplacea*) fruticosa (*Garcia-Barriga et al. 18587*) wing, in middle of the seed. E. *Gordonia* (=*Polyspora*) axillaris (*Rock 7299*) wing, in middle of the seed. F. *Gordonia* (*Eaplacea*) haematoxylon (*Leon & Victorin 17185*) over the embryo. H. *Gordonia* (=*Laplacea*) haematoxylon (*Alain 264*) wing, in the middle of the seed. Scale bar = 50 µm.



FIG. 5. Scanning electron micrographs of seed coats of Stewartieae at two magnifications. A. *Stewartia pteropetiolata (Tsang 24021)*. B. *Stewartia ovata (Kearney 548)*. C. *Stewartia monadelpha (Ahles 35399)*. D. *Stewartia malacodendron (Mackenzie 1698)*. See Table 1 for voucher information. Scale bars = 100 μ m for large images and = 250 μ m for smaller inlays.

[VOL

that periclinal sculpting may be derived within Stewartieae. The generality of our findings needs to be tested with additional sampling of species and genera within Theaceae, especially *Apterosperma* Hung T. Chang, and *Pyrenaria* Blume of Theeae, and species of *Gordonia* s.l. from tropical Asia.

While there is wide variation in seed morphology across Theaceae, seeds of *Gordonia* s.l. are all flattened and oblong with a conspicuous apical wing (Fig. 1). This morphology appears unique within the family. Indeed, similarity in fruit and seeds was cited by Sealy (1958) and Keng (1980) to justify combining older generic concepts of *Laplacea* and *Polyspora* with *Gordonia*, resulting in the more recent concept of *Gordonia* s.l. Other taxa of Theaceae have seeds described as winged but these wings are not apical or as prominent as in *Gordonia* s.l. (e.g., Wang et al., 2006) and may have different ontogenies (Tsou, 1997).

The variation that we observed using SEM lends further support to the results of molecular phylogenetic analyses indicating that Gordonia s.l. is polyphyletic, with two American species in Gordonieae and all other species sampled in Theeae. Thus, SEM can provide evidence to test the hypothesis of homology of seed wings in Gordonia s.l. Although morphological and anatomical variation has been described that distinguishes taxa in Theeae and Gordonieae (Airy-Shaw, 1936; Tsou, 1997; Tsou, 1998; Wang et al., 2006), the apically winged seeds appeared uniform across lineages of Gordonia s.l. (Keng, 1980; Keng, 1984). The micromorphological variation among taxa of Gordonia s.l. is therefore significant. The prominent apical wings in separate lineages of Gordonia s.l. may thus be an example of convergent evolution as was concluded by morphological cladistic analyses (Wang et al., 2006), presumably as adaptations for wind dispersal. Alternatively, the prominent apical wings of seeds may be plesiomorphic within the combined Gordonieae/Theeae clade of Theaceae. More densely sampled and resolved phylogenetic analyses are needed to test these alternatives.

In addition to differences in micromorphology, seed size may also distinguish species of *Gordonia* s.l. in Gordonieae from those in Theeae (that should be reassigned to *Polyspora* or *Laplacea*). Despite substantial variation, seeds sampled from *Gordonia* s.l. of Theeae were significantly larger in length and width that seeds sampled from *G. lasianthus* and *G. brandegeei* of

Gordonieae. Since most seeds included here were obtained from capsules that had already dehisced, we expect that all were mature and that observed differences in size are not due to developmental variation. Sampling of seeds from multiple conspecific plants of the same species is needed to determine the extent of phenotypic plasticity. Our observations do appear consistent with reports from the literature. For example, measurements of seed length of G. lasianthus and G. brandegeei are reported as less than 20 mm (Kobuski, 1950; Grote & Dilcher, 1992; Prince, 2009) while seeds of Gordonia s.l. from Theeae are usually reported as 20 mm or greater (Keng, 1984; Ming & Bartholomew, 2007; Orel et al., 2012).

An increase in seed size could be the result of an increase in the number of testa cells, an increase in the size of testa cells, or both. Our measurements of testa cell number and size are indirect and likely imperfect at capturing variation among these seeds. However, results of multiple regression analyses suggest that larger seeds in *Gordonia* s.l. of Theeae have larger testa cells in the seed wings. The shape and arrangement of testa cells may also play a role. One question for future investigation is if seed wings in Theeae have more elongate testa cells that are arranged along the axis of the seed.

Our observations support the hypothesis of Prince and Parks (2001) that Gordonia lasianthus and G. brandegeei are the only species in Gordonieae, and the only species that should be retained in Gordonia. The seeds of all remaining species examined here have a micromorphology more consistent with Theeae. It is important to note that many of these species have not yet been included in phylogenetic analyses and so their relationships are still uncertain. For example, the four species of Gordonia s.l. endemic to Sri Lanka have not been subject to phylogenetic analysis; however, seeds from all four species have smooth seed coats, lacking protrusions, with isodiametric and elongate cells (Fig. 4), consistent with placement in Theeae and supporting the recent transfer to Polyspora (Orel et al., 2012).

Two well-supported subclades of *Gordonia* s.l. have been recovered within Theeae, that have been recognized provisionally as *Polyspora* and *Laplacea*, but relationships between them are uncertain. We included seeds from two taxa, *G. fruticosa* (Schrad.) H.Keng and *G. haematoxylon* Sw., proposed to be the only species of *Laplacea* from the Americas (Weitzman cited in Prince, 2009). All other *Gordonia* s.l. of Theeae included here were from Asia and include some species that have been transferred to *Polyspora* and some of unknown affinity. We did not detect any seed characters that distinguished the putative species of *Laplacea* from the other sampled *Gordonia* s.l. from Asia.

The variation in seed coat micromorphology that we observed may help to infer relationships of fossil taxa. Theaceae have a rich fossil record, with fossils from the Tertiary widespread in the northern hemisphere, including Europe where there are no extant species (reviewed by Grote & Dilcher, 1989, 1992). Because many fossils are fruits and seeds, and because fruit and seed characters are important in delimiting genera, it has often been possible to infer relationships to extant genera. However, micromorphological details of the seed coat are generally not used. The nonmonophyly of genera such as *Gordonia* also has the potential to mislead some of these identifications.

Of particular interest are several fossil seeds and fruits recovered from the mid-Eocene Claiborne formation of Kentucky and Tennessee, which includes the earliest well-documented fossil fruit and seeds assigned to Gordonia (Grote & Dilcher, 1989, 1992). Seeds of G. warmanensis were described by Grote and Dilcher (1992) as intermediate between G. lasianthus (Gordonieae) and extant Asian species of Gordonia s.l. (now presumably in Theeae). However, the authors noted that seeds of G. warmanensis lack the "warty surface" seen in G. lasianthus. If the presence or absence of protruding ridges on seed coats is a key character separating tribes of Theaceae, as our data suggest, then G. warmanensis would fit better in Theeae than Gordonieae. Gordoniopsis was described and suggested as the sister group of Gordonia s.l., although the isodiametric- to elongate-shaped cells on its outer seed surface are more consistent with a close relationship to lineages in Theeae rather than Gordonieae. Seeds of Andrewsiocarpon, also from the Claiborne formation, exhibit "ridges and tubercles" on the outer surface of the seed coat, consistent with a close relationship to Franklinia suggested by Grote and Dilcher (1989). Clarification of relationships of fossils, especially European taxa, will have implications for understanding the history of diversification and biogeography of Theaceae.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the curatorial staff of the Arnold Arboretum (A), the Fairchild Tropical Botanic Garden (FTG), and The New York Botanic Garden (NY) for permission to sample specimens for this study, and the University of Miami for financial support. All imaging was carried out at the Dauer Electron Microscopy Laboratory of the University of Miami Biology Department. We thank Linda Prince and an anonymous reviewer for providing valuable feedback, and Soyeon Ahn of Department of Educational and Psychological Studies of the University of Miami for advice on statistical analyses. The authors also thank Prof. D. M. D. Yakandawala of the Department of Botany of the Faculty of Science at University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka, for her assistance with fieldwork.

Literature Cited

- Airy-Shaw, H. K. 1936. Notes on the genus *Schima* and on the classification of the Theaceae-Camellioïdeae. Bulletin of Miscellaneous Information (Royal Gardens, Kew): 496–499.
- Grote, P. J. & D. L. Dilcher. 1989. Investigations of Angiosperms from the Eocene of North America: A new genus of Theaceae based on fruit and seed remains. Botanical Gazette 150: 190–206.
- Keng, H. 1962. Comparative morphological studies in Theaceae. University of California Publications in Botany 33: 269–384.
- ———. 1980. On the unification of *Laplacea* and *Gordonia* (Theaceae). Gardens' Bulletin Singapore 33: 303–311.
- ——. 1984. Florae Malesianae precursores LVIII, part two. The genus *Gordonia* (Theaceae) in Malesia. Gardens' Bulletin Singapore 37: 1–47.
- Kobuski, C. E. 1950. Studies in the Theaceae, XX. Notes on the South and Central American species of *Laplacea*. Journal of the Arnold Arboretum 31: 405–429.
- Mabberley, D. J. 2008. Mabberley's plant-book: A portable dictionary of plants, their classification and uses. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Ming, T. L. & B. Bartholomew. 2007. Theaceae. Pp. 366– 478. In Z. Y. Wu & P. H. Raven (eds.), Flora of China. Missouri Botanical Garden Press, St. Louis.
- Orel, G., P. G. Wilson, A. S. Curry & H. T. Luu. 2012. *Polyspora huongiana* sp. nov. (Theaceae) from Vietnam and notes on related species. Nordic Journal of Botany 30: 47–52.
- Prince, L. M. 2002. Circumscription and biogeographic patterns in the Eastern North American-East Asian genus *Stewartia* (Theaceae: Stewartieae): insight from chloroplast and nuclear DNA sequence data. Castanea 67: 290–301.

—. 2007. A brief nomenclatural review of genera and tribes in Theaceae. Aliso 24: 105–121.

2009. Theaceae. Pp. 322–328. In: Flora of North America Editorial Committee (eds.), Flora of North America North of Mexico, volume 8. Oxford University Press, Oxford and New York.

— & C. R. Parks. 2001. Phylogenetic relationships of Theaceae inferred from chloroplast DNA sequence data. American Journal of Botany 88: 2309–2320.

- Sealy, J. R. 1958. A revision of the genus *Camellia*. The Royal Horticultural Society. London.
- Stevens, P. F., S. Dressler & A. L. Weitzman. 2004. Theaceae. Pp. 463–471. *In:* K. Kubitzki (ed.), The families and genera of flowering plants, volume 6. Flowering Plants: dicotyledons (Celastrales, Oxalidales, Rosales, Cornales, Ericales). Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Tsou, C.-H. 1997. Embryology of the Theaceae–anther and ovule development of *Camellia, Franklinia,* and *Schima*. American Journal of Botany 84: 369–381.
 - 1998. Early floral development of Camellioideae (Theaceae). American Journal of Botany 85: 1531–1547.

- Wang, Y. H., H. He, T. L. Min, L. H. Zhou & P. W. Fritsch. 2006. The phylogenetic position of *Apterosperma* (Theaceae) based on morphological and karyotype characters. Plant Systematics and Evolution 260: 39–52.
- Whitlock, B. A., J. Silver & J. S. Prince. 2010. Seed coat morphology in *Gentianopsis* (Gentianaceae). Rhodora 112: 58–79.
- Yakandawala, D. M. D. & L. A. A. H. Gunathilake. 2008. Phylogenetic relationships of *Gordonia* Ellis (Theaceae) species endemic to Sri Lanka. Journal of the National Science Foundation, Sri Lanka 36: 51–58.
- Yang, S.-X., J.-B. Yang, L.-G. Lei, D.-Z. Li, H. Yoshina & T. Ikeda. 2004. Reassessing the relationships between *Gordonia* and *Polyspora* (Theaceae) based on the combined analyses of molecular data from the nuclear, plastid and mitochondrial genomes. Plant Systematics and Evolution 248: 45–55.
- Zona S., P. Davis, L. A. A. H. Gunathilake, J. Prince & J.
 W. Horn. 2012. Seeds of Eriocaulaceae of the United States and Canada. Castanea 77: 37–45.