

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Characteristics and mutational hotspots of plastomes in Debregeasia (Urticaceae)

Citation for published version:

Wang, R-N, Milne, RI, Du, XY, Liu, J & Wu, Z-Y 2020, 'Characteristics and mutational hotspots of plastomes in Debregeasia (Urticaceae)', *Frontiers in Genetics*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2020.00729

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.3389/fgene.2020.00729

Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In: Frontiers in Genetics

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.







Characteristics and Mutational Hotspots of Plastomes in *Debregeasia* (Urticaceae)

Ruo-Nan Wang^{1,2,3}, Richard I. Milne⁴, Xin-Yu Du¹, Jie Liu^{2*} and Zeng-Yuan Wu^{1*}

¹ Germplasm Bank of Wild Species, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, China, ² CAS Key Laboratory for Plant Diversity and Biogeography of East Asia, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, China, ³ Key Laboratory of Resource Biology and Biotechnology in Western China, Ministry of Education, College of Life Sciences, Northwest University, Xi'an, China, ⁴ Institute of Molecular Plant Sciences, School of Biological Sciences, The University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom

Debregeasia is an economically important genus of the nettle family (Urticaceae). Previous systematic studies based on morphology, or using up to four plastome regions, have not satisfactorily resolved relationships within the genus. Here, we report 25 new plastomes for Urticaceae, including 12 plastomes from five Debregeasia species and 13 plastomes from other genera. Together with the one published plastome for Debregeasia, we analyzed plastome structure and character, identified mutation hotspots and loci under selection, and constructed phylogenies. The plastomes of Debregeasia were found to be very conservative, with a size from 155,743 bp to 156,065 bp, and no structural variation. Eleven mutation hotspots were identified, including three (rpoB-trnC-GCA, trnT-GGU-psbD and vcf1) that are highly variable both within Debregeasia and among genera; these show high potential value for future DNA barcoding, population genetics and phylogenetic reconstruction. Selection pressure analysis revealed nine genes (clpP, ndhF, petB, psbA, psbK, rbcL, rpl23, ycf2, and ycf1) that may experience positive selection. Phylogenomic analyses results suggest that Debregeasia was monophyletic, and closest to Boehmeria among genera examined. Within Debregeasia, D. longifolia was sister to D. saeneb, whereas D. elliptica, D. orientalis with D. squamata formed the other subclade. This study enriches organelle genome resources for Urticaceae, and highlights the utility of plastome data for detecting mutation hotspots for evolutionary and systematic analysis.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Lifeng Zhu, Nanjing Normal University, China

Reviewed by:

Yingjuan Su, Sun Yat-sen University, China Wenpan Dong, Beijing Forestry University, China

*Correspondence:

Jie Liu liujie@mail.kib.ac.cn Zeng-Yuan Wu wuzengyuan@mail.kib.ac.cn

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Evolutionary and Population Genetics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

> Received: 10 March 2020 Accepted: 15 June 2020 Published: 08 July 2020

Citation:

Wang R-N, Milne RI, Du X-Y, Liu J and Wu Z-Y (2020) Characteristics and Mutational Hotspots of Plastomes in Debregeasia (Urticaceae). Front. Genet. 11:729. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2020.00729 Keywords: Debregeasia, DNA barcode, plastome phylogenomics, phylogenetic relationship, mutational hotspots, Urticaceae

INTRODUCTION

Chloroplasts are vital organelles within plants (Raubeson and Jansen, 2005), and their genomes comprise 120 kb to 160 kb of often highly conserved DNA and gene sequences (Wicke et al., 2011), providing rich resources for the study of evolution, DNA barcoding, taxonomy and phylogeny (Borsch and Quandt, 2009; Dong et al., 2012; Ruhfel et al., 2014). Over the past decade, analysis of whole plastomes and/or protein-coding genes has been used successfully to address phylogenetic relationships at multiple taxonomic levels (e.g., Ma et al., 2014; Du et al., 2017; Li H. T. et al., 2019). Repeating sequences can cause structural changes in genomes, and because of their variability between and within lineages, they can be used to study the population genetics

1

of taxa (Timme et al., 2007), such as in Aristolochia (Li X. et al., 2019); they can also serve as information regions for developing genomic markers for phylogenetic analysis, including taxonomically challenging species complexes. Such repeating markers include simple sequence repeats (SSRs), known as microsatellites, which comprise 1-6 nucleotide repeat units and are ubiquitous in the genome (Powell et al., 1996). Certain genes exhibit high variability, especially *ycf1*, which can therefore potentially be used as a barcode for terrestrial plants (Dong et al., 2015), and rpl20, which has an important role in protein synthesis and is involved in protein translation (Weglöhner and Subramanian, 1992). Furthermore, understanding plastome genetic variation within and between populations provides important information that can be used for conserving species and populations, helping them adapt to climate and habitat changes, and for more successful plant breeding (Daniell et al., 2016). Combining genome-wide information with that from hyper-variable regions provides the best approach to elucidate relationships and identify species among taxonomically critical groups (e.g., Bi et al., 2018; Fu et al., 2019).

Debregeasia Gaud. (Urticaceae) occurs mostly in East Asia, and comprises about eight species (Chen et al., 2003; Wilmot-Dear and Friis, 2012). Debregeasia is economically important because of its stem fibers, which are usually used to make ropes and fishing nets, and its edible fruits can be used to make wines (Chen et al., 2003). Additionally, Debregeasia has been used to treat diarrhea, bone fractures, tumors, skin diseases and urinary complaints, and contains compounds with anti-bacterial, immune suppressant, anti-fungal and antiinflammatory properties (Akbar and Malik, 2002; Almubayedh and Ahmad, 2019). Thus far, morphology-based taxonomic treatments for Debregeasia have been controversial (Chen et al., 2003; Wilmot-Dear and Friis, 2012), whereas phylogenetic analyses have so far used too few loci to achieve full resolution within Debregeasia (Wu et al., 2013, 2018). Therefore, new methods based on plastome genomic data need to be explored to study the systematics of Debregeasia. However, only one plastome (D. orientalis) has been reported in Debregeasia (Wang et al., 2019), and neither plastome characteristics nor mutation hotspots have so far been investigated in the genus.

In the present study, a total of 25 complete plastomes of Urticaceae were newly assembled and annotated (including 12 individuals from 5 *Debregeasia* species). Together with the one published plastome, these were used to: (1) analyze variation in genome size, content and structure, as well as IR contraction and expansion; (2) identify microsatellite types, hotspot regions for sequence divergence and variation and adaptive selection; (3) reconstruct phylogenetic relationships of *Debregeasia*. The present study therefore enriches organelle genome resources for Urticaceae.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material

Leaf materials were collected from healthy living plants in the field, and subsequently dried and stored in silica gel. In addition,

a few individuals were sampled from herbarium specimens. In total, thirteen individuals of five *Debregeasia* species were included (**Supplementary Table S1**), all newly sequenced except for *Debregeasia orientalis*_LAD10 (MH196364) (Wang et al., 2019) which was downloaded from GenBank. An additional 13 species within Urticaceae, which represented all four main clades of the family (Wu et al., 2013, 2018) were adopted as outgroups (**Table 1**). All voucher specimens were deposited in the herbarium of Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences (KUN); Royal Botanic Garden, Edinburgh (E); and Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew (K) (**Supplementary Table S1**).

DNA Extraction, Sequencing, Plastomes Assembly and Annotation

For silica gel dried materials, DNA was extracted using a modified hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Doyle and Doyle, 1987), whereas for herbarium specimens, DNA was extracted using Tiangen DNA secure Plant Kits (DP320) (Tiangen Biotech, Beijing, China). The quality and quantity of DNA were measured on 1% Tris-acetate-ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (TAE) agarose gels and using fluorometric quantification on the Qubit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, United States). Paired-end libraries with 500 bp insert-size were prepared and then sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq X Ten platform, the length of reads was 150 bp. A total of 2 to 4 Gb clean data were generated for each individual. De novo assemblies were constructed with Spades (Bankevich et al., 2012). GetOrganelle v1.7.0 (Jin et al., 2018) was used to improve accuracy and efficiency in de novo assembly. Reference-guided connecting was subsequently conducted using Bandage (Wick et al., 2015) and Geneious v8.1 (Kearse et al., 2012), to generate circular plastomes. The newly generated genomes were automatically annotated by PGA (Qu et al., 2019), then adjusted and confirmed using Geneious. The patterns of genomic variation among the plastomes were calculated and visualized using OGDRAW v1.3.1 (Greiner et al., 2019) and Circos v0.69-9 (Krzywinski et al., 2009).

Repeat Sequence Analysis

REPuter (Kurtz et al., 2001) was used to identify dispersed (including forward, reverse and complement repeat sequences) and palindrome repeat sequences according to the following settings: sequence identity was 90%, Hamming distance equal to 3, the minimum repeat size was 30 bp and the maximum computed repeats was 100. The tandem repeats were identified using the online Tandem Repeats Finder (Benson, 1999). The alignment parameters match, mismatch, and indels were 2, 7, and 7, respectively. The minimum alignment score to report repeats was 80. The maximum period size and TR array size were limited to 500 bp and two million bp, respectively. ESTs (Thiel et al., 2003) was used to identify simple sequence repeats (SSRs) with the minimum repeat number set to 10, 5, 4, 3, 3, and 3 for mono-, di-, tri-, tetra-, penta- and hexa-nucleotides, respectively.

Estimation of Sequence Divergence and Mutational Hotspots

In order to determine the structure and sequence divergence of the plastomes of *Debregeasia*, we used the Mauve alignment

TABLE 1 | Comparison of plastomes features in Debregeasia and other Urticaceae species examined in this study.

Species	es Genome LSC SSC length IR length Number of Number of Numb size (bp) length (bp) (bp) (bp) genes protein-coding tRN genes gen		Number of tRNAs genes	Number of rRNAs genes	GC content (%)	GC content in LSC (%)	GC content in SSC (%)	GC content in IR (%)	Accession number				
Debregeasia elliptica_De07	155,921	85,519	19,074	25,664	129 (17)	84 (6)	37 (7)	8 (4)	36.4	34.0	29.4	42.7	MN189947
Debregeasia elliptica_De19	155,940	85,362	19,074	25,664	129 (17)	84 (6)	37 (7)	8 (4)	36.3	34.0	29.4	42.7	MN189948
Debregeasia longifolia_MBD01	155,904	85,627	18,979	25,649	129 (17)	84 (6)	37 (7)	8 (4)	36.3	34.0	29.4	42.6	MN189949
Debregeasia longifolia_MGD09	155,809	85,535	18,976	25,649	129 (17)	84 (6)	37 (7)	8 (4)	36.3	34.0	29.4	42.6	MN189950
Debregeasia longifolia_SDS11	155,853	85,586	18,969	25,649	129 (17)	84 (6)	37 (7)	8 (4)	36.3	34.0	29.4	42.6	MN189951
Debregeasia Iongifolia XSJD10	155,810	85,550	18,962	25,649	129 (17)	84 (6)	37 (7)	8 (4)	36.3	34.0	29.4	42.6	MN189952
Debregeasia orientalis GMD13	155,953	85,617	19,062	25,637	129 (17)	84 (6)	37 (7)	8 (4)	36.3	34.0	29.4	42.7	MN189953
Debregeasia orientalis LAD10	155,920	85,584	19,062	25,637	129 (17)	84 (6)	37 (7)	8 (4)	36.3	34.0	29.4	42.7	MH196364
Debregeasia orientalis MK05	155,939	85,545	19,066	25,664	129 (17)	84 (6)	37 (7)	8 (4)	36.3	34.0	29.4	42.7	MN189955
Debregeasia orientalis ZXD12	155,992	85,561	19,103	25,664	129 (17)	84 (6)	37 (7)	8 (4)	36.3	34.0	29.4	42.7	MN189956
Debregeasia saeneb_PYD03	155,743	85,474	18,971	25,649	129 (17)	84 (6)	37 (7)	8 (4)	36.3	34.0	29.4	42.6	MN189957
Debregeasia saeneb_Q09	155,790	85,512	18,980	25,649	129 (17)	84 (6)	37 (7)	8 (4)	36.3	34.0	29.4	42.6	MN189958
Debregeasia squamata_Q05	156,065	85,649	19,088	25,664	129 (17)	84 (6)	37 (7)	8 (4)	36.3	34.0	29.4	42.7	MN189959
Boehmeria nivea var. nipononivea_B32	155,806	85,717	18,693	25,698	129 (17)	84 (6)	37 (7)	8 (4)	36.4	34.0	29.8	42.6	MN189944
Boehmeria tomentosa_B38	154,938	85,720	17,822	25,698	128 (17)	84 (6)	36 (7)	8 (4)	36.4	34.0	29.9	42.6	MN189945
Cecropia pachystachya_B5	153,655	84,645	18,124	25,443	129 (17)	84 (6)	37 (7)	8 (4)	36.6	34.1	30.4	42.8	MN189946
Droguetia iners_Dr4	149,414	81,326	17,748	25,170	128 (17)	84 (6)	36 (7)	8 (4)	36.9	35.7	30.3	42.8	MN189960
Elatostema laevissimum var. laevissimum_E36	150,244	83,968	17,118	24,579	129 (17)	84 (6)	37 (7)	8 (4)	36.2	33.7	29.5	43.0	MN189961
Gonostegia hirta_Go1	159,085	78,970	18,661	30,727	136 (24)	91 (13)	37 (7)	8 (4)	35.9	33.8	29.3	40.6	MN189962
Hemistylus odontophylla_W275	153,652	84,346	18,732	25,287	129 (17)	84 (6)	37 (7)	8 (4)	36.0	33.6	28.9	42.6	MN189963
Hesperocnide tenella_W277	146,844	79,535	17,692	24,808	130 (19)	84 (7)	38 (8)	8 (4)	36.4	33.9	29.7	42.7	MN189964
Oreocnide frutescens_GLGE12243	156,966	86,562	19,016	25,694	129 (17)	84 (6)	37 (7)	8 (4)	36.3	34.0	29.5	42.7	MN189965
Parietaria debilis_Pa1	152,988	84,424	18,712	24,926	129 (17)	84 (6)	37 (7)	8 (4)	36.2	34.0	29.1	42.7	MN189966
Pipturus arborescens_pip10	154,069	84,767	18,696	25,303	129 (17)	84 (6)	37 (7)	8 (4)	36.2	33.9	29.3	42.7	MN189967
<i>Pouzolzia sanguinea</i> var. <i>elegans_</i> Po11	153,715	84,158	18,701	25,428	129 (17)	84 (6)	37 (7)	8 (4)	36.3	34.1	29.3	42.7	MN189968
Rousselia humilis_W142	153,301	84,334	18,505	25,231	129 (17)	84 (6)	37 (7)	8 (4)	36.0	33.6	29.0	42.6	MN189969

The numbers in parenthesis indicate the genes duplicated in the IR regions.

tool embedded in Geneious, and the VISTA framework (Frazer et al., 2004) to compare the 13 plastomes. The boundaries between the IR and SC regions of these were compared and analyzed. Individual coding and non-coding regions were extracted by Geneious, and homologous loci were aligned using MAFFT v1.3.3 (Katoh et al., 2002). Then we determined the percentage of variable sites, calculated thus: (number of nucleotide substitutions + number of indels) / (length of aligned sites minus length of indels + number of indels) * 100%. Following this, the seven regions with the highest mutation rate were identified as mutation hotspots for *Debregeasia*. Due to the over-conserved genomic structure of Debregeasia plastomes, we compared in a similar way the 13 outgroup species, with each other and with Debregeasia, to investigate plastome structures and sequence divergence across Urticaceae, and hence identified the seven most variable regions at family level.

Positive Selection Tests

Non-synonymous (dN) and synonymous (dS) nucleotide substitution rates, as well as their ratios (w = dN/dS) were analyzed using Codeml (PAML v4.7) (Yang and Nielsen, 2002; Yang, 2007). The protein-coding genes were extracted and aligned using MAFFT. Six site-specific models (M0, M1, M2, M3, M7, and M8) were applied, to identify the selection pressure across plastomes. These models allowed the ω ratio to vary among sites, with a fixed ω ratio in all the branches. The dN, dS, and ω values were calculated with Codeml (seqtype = 1, model = 0, NSsites = 0, 1, 2, 3, 7, 8). Then we compared pairs of site-specific models as follows: M0 (one-ratio) vs. M3 (discrete), M1 (nearly neutral) vs. M2 (positive selection) and M7 (β) vs. M8 (β and ω) to analyze the existence of positive selection, with p values for each comparison determined via a Likelihood ratio test (LRT). Bayes Empirical Bayes inferences were calculated in site models M2 and M8 to estimate the posterior probabilities and positive selection pressures of the selected genes.

Phylogenetic Analysis

Phylogenetic relationships of the examined Debregeasia species, plus 13 outgroup species, were analyzed using four datasets, all based on plastome data. These were (a) complete plastomes, (b) plastome protein-coding genes, (c) those mutational hotspots identified that were among the seven most variable at both genus and family level (i.e., rpoB-trnC-GCA, trnT-GGU-psbD, and *ycf1*), and (d) those mutational hotspots identified that were among the seven most variable at genus level, or at family level, or both (i.e., psbK-psbI, rpl36-rps8, rpoB-trnC-GCA, trnK-UUUrps16-trnQ-UUG, trnP-UGG-psaJ, trnT-GGU-psbD, trnT-UGUtrnL-UAA, ycf4-cemA, matK, ndhF, and ycf1). The datasets were aligned with MAFFT. The best substitution model (TVM+G) was determined by the Bayesian information criterion (BIC) in jModelTest2 (Darriba et al., 2012). Maximum likelihood (ML) analyses were performed using RAxML v2.0.1 (Stamatakis, 2006) with 1000 bootstrap replicates. Maximum Parsimony (MP) phylogenetic trees were constructed using MEGA v7.0 (Kumar et al., 2016). Bayesian inference (BI) was carried out by MrBayes v3.2 (Ronquist et al., 2012) at the CIPRES Science Gateway v3.3 (Miller et al., 2010). One-million-generation iterations were performed, with trees being sampled every 200 generations, with four runs, each with four chains run in parallel. The Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) output (infile.nex.run1.p files) was examined to check convergence and to ensure that all the Effective Sample Sizes (ESS) values were above 200. Figtree v1.4 (Rambaut, 2012) was used to visualize and annotate the output trees.

RESULTS

Plastome Structures

The plastomes of all five Debregeasia species had a typical quadripartite structure, comprising a large single-copy (LSC) region and a small single-copy (SSC) region separated by a pair of inverted repeats (IRa and IRb) (Figure 1). The total length of the plastomes of these five species ranged from 155,743 bp (D. saeneb_PYD03) to 156,065 bp (D. squamata_Q05). The length of the LSC region ranged from 85,362 bp (D. elliptica_De19) to 85,649 (D. squamata_Q05), whereas that of the SSC region ranged from 18,962 bp (D. longifolia_XSJD10) to 19,103 bp (D. orientalis_ZXD12). The two IR regions had identical lengths within any individual, ranging from 25,637 bp (D. orientalis_GMD13 and D. orientalis_LAD10) to 25,664 bp (D. elliptica_De07, D. elliptica_De19, D. orientalis_MK05, D. orientalis_ZXD12, and D. squamata_Q05). For full details, plus those for the 13 outgroup species, see Table 1.

A total of 129 genes were identified, comprising 84 protein coding genes, 37 tRNA genes and 8 rRNA genes. Of these, 17 genes (6 protein coding genes, 7 tRNA genes and 4 rRNA genes) were duplicated in the IR regions in all *Debregeasia* species (**Tables 1, 2**). The gene *rps19* crossed both the LSC and IRb regions (**Supplementary Figure S1**), whereas both *ndhF* and *ycf1* were situated in the SSC but crossed the two IR regions in different directions. Eighteen genes had introns, among which fifteen genes (*atpF*, *ndhA*, *ndhB*, *petB*, *petD*, *rpl2*, *rpl16*, *rpoC1*, *rps16*, *trnA*-UGC, *trnG*-UCC, *trnI*-GAU, *trnK*-UUU, *trnL*-UAA, and *trnV*-UAC) contained a single intron, whereas three (*clpP*, *rps12*, and *ycf3*) contained two introns (**Table 2**).

Within Debregeasia, no IR contraction was observed in any plastomes, whereas IR expansion generally seemed very conservative. In outgroups, the LSC/IR and IR/SSC boundaries showed some differences from Debregeasia (Figure 2). In Gonostegia hirta_Go1, the gene rps11 crossed from LSC to IRb, and the rpl36 gene was near the IRa/LSC boundary. In Droguetia iners_Dr4, the gene rps19 was only in the large single-copy. In Parietaria debilis_Pa1, the genes rps19 and trnH-GUG crossed from the LSC to the IRb and IRa regions, respectively. In Hesperocnide tenella_W277, trnH-GUG was copied in both IR regions.

Repeat Structure and Simple Sequence Repeats

A total of 932 repeats were identified in *Debregeasia*, falling into three categories (**Table 3**). Of these, the most frequent



FIGURE 1 | An overview of plastome variation. In the quadripartite structure of these plastomes, the two IR regions (IRa and IRb) are shown with gray background, while the large and small single-copy regions (LSC and SSC) are displayed with blank background. The lines, CDS to CDS, are filled with yellow ridges while the tRNA to tRNA are occupied with blue ridges, and other red lines are rRNA to rRNA. The identical sites is filled with green ridges while the variations are occupied with yellow ridges. (A) An overview of plastome variation across the *Debregeasia*, with *D. elliptica_De07* as reference. The studied *Debregeasia* species are indicated as in **Supplementary Table S6**. (B) An overview of plastome variation across the Urticaceae, with *D. elliptica_De07* as reference. The sampled Urticaceae species information are listed in **Supplementary Table S7**.

TABLE 2 | List of genes present in the plastomes of five Debregeasia species.

Category of genes	Group of gene		Name of gene						
Self-replication	Ribosomal RNA genes	rrn16 ^(×2)	rrn23 ^(×2)	rrn4.5 ^(×2)	$rm5^{(\times 2)}$				
	Transfer RNA genes	trnA-UGC*(×2)	trnC-GCA	trnD-GUC	trnE-UUC	trnF-GAA			
		trnfM-CAU	trnG-GCC	trnG-UCC*	trnH-GUG	$trnl-CAU^{(\times 2)}$			
		trnl-GAU*(×2)	trnK-UUU*	$trnL-CAA^{(\times 2)}$	tmL-UAA*	trnL-UAG			
		trnM-CAU	$trnN-GUU^{(\times 2)}$	trnP-UGG	trnQ-UUG	$tmR-ACG^{(\times 2)}$			
		tmR-UCU	tmS-GCU	trnS-GGA	tmS-UGA	trnT-GGU			
		tmT-UGU	$tmV-GAC^{(\times 2)}$	trnV-UAC*	trnW-CCA	trnY-GUA			
	Small subunit of ribosome	rps2	rps3	rps4	rps7 ^(×2)	rps8			
		rps11	rps12**(×2)	rps14	rps15	rps16*			
		rps18	rps19						
	Large subunit of ribosome	rpl2*(×2)	rpl14	rpl16*	rpl20	rpl22			
		rpl23 ^(×2)	rpl32	rpl33	rpl36				
	DNA-dependent RNA polymerase	rpoA	rpoB	rpoC1*	rpoC2				
Genes for photosynthesis	Subunits of NADH-dehydrogenase	ndhA*	$ndhB^{*(\times 2)}$	ndhCa	ndhD	ndhE			
		ndhF	ndhG	ndhH	ndhl ^{acd}	ndhJ			
		ndhK ^a							
	Subunits of photosystem I	psaA	psaB	psaC	psal	psaJ			
	Subunits of photosystem II	psbA	psbB	psbC	psbD	psbE			
		psbF	psbH	psbl	psbJ	psbK			
		psbL	psbM	psbN	psbT	psbZ			
	Subunits of cytochrome b/f complex	petA	petB*	petD*	petG	petL			
		petN							
	Subunits of ATP synthase	atpA	atpB	atpE	atpF*	atpH			
		atpl							
	Subunits of rubisco	rbcL							
Other genes	Maturase	matK							
	Protease	clpP**							
	Envelope membrane protein	cemA							
	Subunit of Acetyl-Co A-carboxylase	accD							
	C-type cytochrome synthesis gene	ccsA							
Genes of unknown function	Conserved open reading frames	ycf1	ycf2 ^(× 2)	<i>усf</i> З**	ycf4				

*Gene contains one intron; **gene contains two introns; (×2) indicates the number of the repeat unit is 2.

	1				I		I			1	1
	176bp	103bp		23bp	2230bj	þ	4643bp	1090bp		161bp	26bp
	rps19				ndhF		ycf1			rpl2	trnH-GUG
Debregeasia elliptica De07	LSC: 85519bp	>	IR: 25664bp		>	SSC: 19074	bp	IR:	: 25664bp	>	LSC
	180bn	00hn		71hn	2215br		4500hn	1110bn		158hn	35hn
	rps19	330p		/ Top	ndhF	,	ycf1	TTTOOP		rpl2	trnH-GUG
Boehmeria nivea var. nipononivea B32	LSC: 85717bp		IR: 25698bp			SSC: 18693	3bp) IF	R: 25698bp		> LSC
	180bp	99bp		71bp	2215bj	þ	4599bp	1110bp		158bp	47bp
Bestwarie (an anter D28	1 SC: 85720bp		ID: 25608hn		ndhF	SSC: 1782	ycf1	п	2. 25608hp	rpl2	ISC
Boenmeria iomeniosa B38	Lise. 05/200p	/	н. 250700р		<u> </u>	550.1702	-00		 250705p		Lise
	158bp	121bp		45bp	2223bj	p	4634bp	1084bp		166bp	26bp
	rps19				ndhF		ycf1			rpl2	trnH-GUG
Pipturus arborescens pip10	LSC: 84767bp		IR: 25303bp		<u>></u>	SSC: 1869	6bp 1		R: 25303bp		> LSC
	161bn	121hn		45hn	22236		4619bn	1174bn		166hn	26hn
	rps19	12100		4300	ndhF	,	ycf1	11/400		rpl2	trnH-GUG
Pouzolzia sanguinea var. elegans Po11	LSC: 84158bp		IR: 25428bp			SSC: 1906	2bp	I	R: 2428bp		LSC
	290bp	127bp		45bp	2229bj	þ	4595bp	1165bp		245bp	71bp
Conostania hirta Col	LSC: 78970bp	>	IR: 30727bn		nanr 📎	SSC: 18661	thn		2: 30727hn	rpiso	
Gonosiegia nina Goi		/	naborarop	2	<u> </u>	00011000	p	/	aconarop		
	144bp	75bp		49bp	2219bj	p	4612bp	1139bp	_	179bp	10bp
	rps19				ndhF		ycf1			rpl2	trnH-GUG
Hemistylus odontophylla W275	LSC: 84346bp	\geq	IR: 25287bp)	<u> </u>	SSC: 1873	2bр	<u>> п</u>	R: 25287bp		> LSC
	150bp	60hn		49hn	2219hr		4417bn	1088bn		173hn	10hn
	rps19	0300		4300	ndhF	,	vcf1	100000		rpl2	trnH-GUG
Rousselia humilis W142	LSC: 84334bp		IR: 25231bp		>	SSC: 18505	5bp	> IF	R: 25231bp		LSC
	74bp	116bp		47bp	2236bj	þ	4216bp	1091bp		116bp	138bp
Droquetia iners Dr4	LSC: 81326bp		IR: 25170bn		nanr 🛛	SSC: 1774	Shn (₹: 25443hn	rpiz	
Diogueilu mers DI4	Lise. 015200p	/	на 201700р			550.1774	30P	, n	(, 204400p		Lise
	118bp	161bp		32bp	2227bj	p	4605bp	1089bp		1bp	74bp
	rps	19			ndhF		ycf1				trnH-GUG
Parietaria debilis Pa1	LSC: 84424bp	<u>}</u>	IR: 24926bp	8	<u> </u>	SSC: 18712	2bp	> II	R: 24926bp		> LSC
	178bn	41bn		32bp	2242br		4671bn	1080bp		159bp	22bp
	rps19			0200	ndhF	r.	ycf1	100000		rpl2	trnH-GUG
Oreocnide frutescens GLGE12243	LSC: 86562bp		IR: 25694bp		>	SSC: 19010	6bp) IF	R: 25694bp		> LSC
	176bp	43bp		45bp	2226bp	þ	4647bp	1173bp		164bp	16bp
Cecronia nachystachya R5	LSC: 84645bp	>	IR: 25443bn		X	SSC: 18124	4bp		R: 25443bn	rpi2	LSC
conspiration procession of the second s							. 1		»p		
	73bp	143bp		54bp	2223bj	þ	4345bp	1148bp		143bp	102bp
	rpl22	trnH-	GUG		ndhF		ycf1		trnH	-GUG	psbA
Hesperocnide tenella W277	LSC: 79535bp	>	IR: 24808bp	ş	~	SSC: 1769	1bp		R: 24808bp		LSC
	167bn	52hn		94hn	2141b		3958hp	989hn		169hn	1bp
	10/00	Saob		2 app	ndhE	r.	vefl	Josop		rpl2	trnH-GUG
	rps19				nunr		Jeji				
Elatostema laevissimum var. laevissimum E36	rps19 LSC: 83968bp	>	IR: 24579bp	8		SSC: 17118	8bp		R: 24579bp		LSC

were palindromic repeats, which occurred 363 times (38.95%), followed by tandem repeats (337 instances, 36.16%), and dispersed repeats (forward, reverse, or complement), of which there were 232 (24.89%). The individual accession with the greatest number of repeats was *D. squamata_Q05* with 87, comprising 22 dispersed repeats, 31 palindromic repeats, and 34 tandem repeats. The greatest numbers of dispersed, palindromic and tandem repeats were found in *D. elliptica_De19* (22), *D. elliptica_De07* (31) and *D. squamata_Q05* (34), respectively (**Figure 3**).

Six kinds of SSRs (mono-, di-, tri-, tetra-, penta- and hexanucleotide) were identified in the plastomes of *Debregeasia*, with 1,091 SSRs detected in total (**Supplementary Table S2** and **Figure 4**). The most frequent SSRs were mononucleotides, making up 72.41% of the total, of which T, A, C and G mononucleotides comprised 41.61%, 29.51%, 1.28%, and none, respectively (**Supplementary Table S3** and **Figure 4**). The frequency of SSRs was inversely proportional to their length, except that tetranucleotide SSRs were more common than trinucleotide SSRs. Within *D. longifolia*, the total number of SSRs varied from 79 (*D. longifolia_MGD09*) to 86 (*D. longifolia_MBD01* and *D. longifolia_SDS11*), with *D. longifolia_XSJD10* intermediate with 83. Within other *Debregeasia* species, number of SSRs varied by no more than two

TABLE 3	The distrib	oution of repeats	across the	plastomes of	Debregeasia.
---------	-------------	-------------------	------------	--------------	--------------

Species		isp	ers	ed	Palindromic	Tandem	Total	
	F	R	с	total				
Debregeasia elliptica_De07	20	1	0	21	31	30	82	
Debregeasia elliptica_De19	21	1	0	22	31	30	83	
Debregeasia longifolia_MBD01	19	2	0	21	26	22	69	
Debregeasia longifolia_MGD09	12	2	0	14	26	22	62	
Debregeasia longifolia_SDS11	14	1	0	15	26	22	63	
Debregeasia longifolia_XSJD10	12	2	0	14	26	20	60	
Debregeasia orientalis_GMD13	18	З	0	21	29	28	78	
Debregeasia orientalis_LAD10	17	1	0	18	29	25	72	
Debregeasia orientalis_MK05	18	1	0	19	30	28	77	
Debregeasia orientalis_ZXD12	19	1	0	20	31	31	82	
Debregeasia saeneb_PYD03	11	2	0	13	24	22	59	
Debregeasia saeneb_Q09	11	1	0	12	23	23	58	
Debregeasia squamata_Q05	21	1	0	22	31	34	87	
Total species	213	19	0	232	363	337	932	

F: forward, R: reverse, C: complement.

between accessions examined, so the variation in SSR number in *D. longifolia* is unusual in the genus (**Figure 4**).

Sequence Divergence and Mutational Hotspots

In general, our results showed that the plastome of *Debregeasia* is comparatively conserved, and that all genes were always present in the same order (**Supplementary Figures S2, S3**); this also applies across all 13 outgroup taxa (**Supplementary Figure S4**). Moreover, the non-coding regions had more variation, and higher levels of divergence, than the coding regions. The seven regions with the highest levels of variation were *psbK-psbI, rpoBtrnC-GCA, trnT-GGU-psbD, trnT-UGU-trnL-UAA, ycf4-cemA, trnP-UGG-psaJ,* and *ycf1.* Of these regions, *ycf1* straddled the SSC/IR boundary, whereas all of the others were located in the LSC region (**Figure 5A**). All had >0.5% variation across *Debregeasia* species examined. These seven regions could be considered as mutational hotspots and utilized as potential DNA barcodes for future population genetic analysis, phylogeny reconstruction and species identification studies in *Debregeasia*.

Comparing Debregeasia with 13 outgroup taxa, further plastome variation is notable, mainly in non-coding regions but also in the genes of *ndhF*, *ycf1* and *ycf2* (Supplementary Figure S5). The plastome sequence of Debregeasia is close to that of Boehmeria, but quite distinct from other outgroups (Supplementary Figure S5). The seven regions with highest levels of variation among genera were identified, each having >6% variation across Urticaceae genera examined. Of these regions, three (*rpoB-trnC-GCA*, *trnT-GGU-psbD*, and *ycf1*) were also among the seven most variable within Debregeasia, whereas four (matK, trnK-UUU-rps16-trnQ-UUG, rpl36-rps8, *ndhF*) were not (Figure 5B). Hence a total of eleven mutation hotspots, (i.e., psbK-psbI, rpl36-rps8, rpoB-trnC-GCA, trnK-UUU-rps16-trnQ-UUG, trnP-UGG-psaJ, trnT-GGU-psbD, trnT-UGU-trnL-UAA, ycf4-cemA, matK, ndhF, and ycf1), were identified that were highly variable within Debregeasia and/or across Urticaceae genera.

Positive Selection Sites

We investigated the rate of non-synonymous (dN) and synonymous (dS) substitutions to evaluate the selective pressure for 72 common protein-coding genes among the 13 Debregeasia individuals examined (Supplementary Tables S4, S5), using codon substitution models to identify possible sites under positive selection. Eighteen genes with positive selection sites were identified, and these were as follows: one subunit of the Acetyl-Co A-carboxylase gene (accD), one C-type cytochrome synthesis gene (*ccsA*), one gene for envelope membrane protein (cemA), one subunit of the rubisco gene (rbcL), one gene for a component of the trans locus of an envelope protein (ycf1), one gene for photosystem I subunit (psaB), two subunits of ATP synthase genes (atpA and atpB), two genes for subunits of NADH-dehydrogenase (ndhD and ndhF), four genes for the synthesis of small and large ribosomal subunit proteins (rps3, rps4, rps15, and rpl20), and four DNA-dependent RNA polymerase genes (*rpoA*, *rpoB*, *rpoC1*, and *rpoC2*).

Phylogenetic Relationships

Phylogenetic analysis based on five *Debregeasia* species plus 13 outgroup species, using Maximum likelihood, Maximum parsimony, and Bayesian Inference, showed that all *Debregeasia*





species examined formed a single clade with high bootstrap and posterior probability support (**Figure 6** and **Supplementary Figure S6**). The genus comprised two well-supported subclades, including *D. longifolia* plus *D. saeneb*, and *D. elliptica* plus *D. orientalis* plus *D. squamata*. The four species with multiple accessions examined were each monophyletic. Additionally, species from *Boehmeria* were resolved as the sister group to *Debregeasia*.

DISCUSSION

Plastome Character and Potential Microsatellite Markers

Among the five *Debregeasia* species examined here, the plastomes appeared highly conserved, with no changes to gene order or overall structure (e.g. gene duplication, deletion and reverse transcription) observed in the genomes of *Debregeasia*. This might be because the species diverged fairly recently (Wu et al., 2015), or possibly due to the relatively conservative ecological niches of the genus.

The GC content of the LSC and SSC regions in all the *Debregeasia* species were much lower than those of the IR regions. A possible explanation for this is that the IR contains four rRNA genes, and the 16S rRNA has a very high GC content in Archaea (65–66.5%) (Yamane et al., 2011), with similar results in other terrestrial plants (Zeb et al., 2020).

Repeating sequences in plastomes can cause structural changes, and their variability across lineages makes these an appropriate source of for developing genomic markers for population genetics (Powell et al., 1996), especially when they are abundant and polymorphic. This clearly applies in Debregeasia and Urticaceae, wherein varying abundances of dispersed, palindromic and tandem repeats among the plastomes, both within and between species (Supplementary Table S2) may provide additional phylogenetic signals and evolutionary information. Additionally, large numbers of SSRs (Microsatellites) were detected in all plastomes of Debregeasia, with mononucleotide SSRs the most frequent, providing ample markers for further population and phylogenetic analysis. The number of SSRs was considerably more variable within D. longifolia than in D. orientalis, although four individuals of each were examined (Figures 3, 4 and Supplementary Table S2). Our data does not show an obvious reason for this, as D. orientalis shows more variation in both latitude and altitude than D. longifolia (Supplementary Table S2), but D. longifolia might exhibit greater variation in habitats occupied.

Utility of Plastomes in Phylogenomics and DNA Barcoding

Complete plastome sequences are increasingly being used to solve taxonomic problems among closely related groups, providing valuable information for phylogenetic reconstruction



(e.g., Ma et al., 2014; Dong et al., 2018; Li H. T. et al., 2019). In *Debregeasia*, phylogenetic relationships within have so far remained insufficiently resolved, probably because previous studies (Wu et al., 2015, 2018) have employed a limited number of DNA loci, providing insufficient information for full resolution. Here, the monophyly of Debregeasia received maximum bootstrap and Bayesian support, improving on previous studies using less data (Wu et al., 2013, 2018). Support for groupings within the genus also increased, and tree topology generally did not vary across methods or datasets, except for a few less well-supported groups at the tree tips, for example: D. elliptica appears nested within D. orientalis for some analyses and data sets, but not others (Figure 6), however, these relationships are not strongly supported. This may reflect recent divergence of these species, and hence it is possible that more intensive sampling of populations within both

species, together with nuclear genomic data will provide a clearer picture in the future.

DNA super barcodes (whole genome) and mini barcodes (a proportion of a barcode) are extensions to the practice of routine DNA barcoding (Little, 2014; Hollingsworth et al., 2016). Theoretically, whole plastomes or nuclear genomes will provide the final solution for species identification. However, from both an economic and a practical perspective, a barcode or mini barcode is often sufficient, e.g., for *Taxus* (Liu et al., 2018) and macrophyte (Ortega et al., 2020) identification. In our study, the whole plastome can clearly distinguish all five *Debregeasia* species examined (**Figure 6A**). Meanwhile, three regions (*rpoB-trnC-GCA*, *trnT-GGU-psbD* and *ycf1*) showed high levels of variation at both within *Debregeasia* and between genus (Urticaceae) levels (percentage of variability >0.5% and >6.0%, respectively), and can distinguish all five *Debregeasia* species (**Figure 6C**). Indeed



ycf1, recently proposed as the most promising plastid DNA barcode across all land plants (Dong et al., 2015), could separate all five *Debregeasia* species on its own (data not shown). These mutational hotspots have the potential to resolve taxonomic issues in the family, and for future use as barcodes and for species identification. Therefore, plastome data shows great potential for

the study of evolution, taxonomy and phylogenetic relationships in the genus *Debregeasia* and elsewhere in the Urticaceae.

Positive Selection Regions

Variation in both synonymous and non-synonymous nucleotide sites is also very useful in evolutionary studies

(Ogawa et al., 1999). In this study, eighteen genes with sites under positive selection were identified (Supplementary Tables S4, S5), which is comparable to the sixteen detected in Orchidaceae (Dong et al., 2018), rather fewer than the 51 detected across 97 Pinus species (Zeb et al., 2020), but more than the seven detected among 22 Lythraceae species (Gu et al., 2019). Notably, the gene ycf1 was both under positive selection, and a mutational hotspot, in Debregeasia. This gene is one of the largest genes in the plastome, encoding a component of the trans locus of the envelope protein in vivo (Drescher et al., 2000). The vcf1 gene has been useful for phylogenetic analysis in other groups, and contains a site that is under positive selection in other plant lineages (e.g., Greiner et al., 2008; Hu et al., 2015). Our results could indicate a role for ycf1 in speciation and habitat adaptation within Debregeasia. The roles of all genes under selection in the genus merit further investigation, with regard to the range of habitats occupied by Debregeasia, which include moist places by streams, thickets, forests in mountain valleys, and slopes of limestone mountains (Chen et al., 2003).

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All datasets generated for this study are included in the Table 1.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JL and Z-YW conceived the work, and carried out the field work. R-NW, Z-YW, X-YD, and JL analyzed the data. R-NW drafted the manuscript. RM, JL, and Z-YW revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

This study was supported by the Key Research Program Frontier Sciences, CAS (Grant No. ZDBS-LYof 7001), by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41971071, 31970356, 41571059, and 31600180), Z-YW supported by CAS, Youth Innovation was Promotion Association (Grant No. 2019385), the Biological Resources Programme, Chinese Academy of Sciences (KFJ-BRP-017-XX), and the open research project of "Cross-Cooperative Team" of the Germplasm Bank of Wild Species, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

REFERENCES

- Akbar, E., and Malik, A. (2002). Antimicrobial triterpenes from *Debregeasia* salicifolia. Nat. Prod. Lett. 16, 339–344. doi: 10.1080/10575630290033088
- Almubayedh, H., and Ahmad, R. (2019). Ethnopharmacological uses, phytochemistry, biological activities of *Debregeasia salicifolia*: a review. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 231, 179–186. doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2018.11.023

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are deeply indebted to Profs. De-Zhu Li and Lian-Ming Gao, for their invaluable advice on the study. We also want to thank Mr. Xue-Wen Liu and Tao Liu for their help for the field sampling. Special thanks are due to Miss Wan-Lin Dong for assistance with data analysis. We would like to thank the Laboratory of Molecular Biology at the Germplasm Bank of Wild Species, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, to provide platform for molecular lab work.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene. 2020.00729/full#supplementary-material

FIGURE S1 | Comparison of the borders of LSC, SSC, and IR regions in *Debregeasia*, based on 13 individuals of five species.

FIGURE S2 | Sequence identity plot comparing the plastomes based on 13 individuals of five *Debregeasia* species using mVISTA with *D. elliptica_De07* as a reference. Genome regions are color coded as protein coding, rRNA coding, tRNA coding or conserved non-coding sequences.

FIGURE S3 | MAUVE alignment of plastomes, based on 13 individuals of five *Debregeasia* species with *D. elliptica_*De07 as a reference.

FIGURE S4 | MAUVE alignment of plastomes, based on 13 Urticaceae outgroup species, aligned with *D. elliptica_*De07 as a reference.

FIGURE S5 Sequence identity plot comparing the plastomes of 13 Urticaceae outgroup species using mVISTA with *D. elliptica_*De07 as a reference. Genome regions are color coded as protein coding, rRNA coding, tRNA coding or conserved non-coding sequences.

FIGURE S6 | Phylogenetic relationships based on eleven mutational hotspots in five *Debregeasia* species (based on 13 individuals) and 13 Urticaceae outgroup species, with support values shown from Maximum likelihood (ML), Maximum parsimony (MP), to Bayesian Inference (BI).

 TABLE S1 | Sampled species and their voucher specimens used in this study.

TABLE S2 | The number of simple sequence repeats (SSRs) in each *Debregeasia* plastome examined.

TABLE S3 | The subtypes of each of the six SSRs categories detected in *Debregeasia* plastomes.

TABLE S4 | Maximum likelihood parameter estimates for 78 genes of the *Debregeasia* species examined.

TABLE S5 | Likelihood ratio test (LRT) of the variable ω ratio under different models.

TABLE S6 | The studied *Debregeasia* species are indicated in Figure 1A.

TABLE S7 | The studied Urticaceae species are indicated in Figure 1B.

- Bankevich, A., Nurk, S., Antipov, D., Gurevich, A. A., Dvorkin, M., Kulikov, A. S., et al. (2012). SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol.* 19, 455–477. doi: 10.1089/cmb.2012. 0021
- Benson, G. (1999). Tandem repeats finder: a program to analyze DNA sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 27, 573–580. doi: 10.1093/nar/27. 2.573

- Bi, Y., Zhang, M. F., Xue, J., Dong, R., Du, Y. P., and Zhang, X. H. (2018). Chloroplast genomic resources for phylogeny and DNA barcoding: a case study on *Fritillaria*. Sci. Rep. 8:1184.
- Borsch, T., and Quandt, D. (2009). Mutational dynamics and phylogenetic utility of noncoding chloroplast DNA. *Plant Syst. Evol.* 282, 169–199. doi: 10.1007/ s00606-009-0210-8
- Chen, C. J., Lin, Q., Friis, I., Wilmot-Dear, C. M., and Monro, A. K. (2003). "Urticaceae," in Flora of China, eds Z. Y. Wu, and P. H. Raven (Beijing: Science Press), 76–189.
- Daniell, H., Lin, C. S., Yu, M., and Chang, W. J. (2016). Chloroplast genomes: diversity, evolution, and applications in genetic engineering. *Genome Biol.* 17:134.
- Darriba, D., Taboada, G. L., Doallo, R., and Posada, D. (2012). jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. *Nat. Methods* 9, 772–772. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2109
- Dong, W., Liu, J., Yu, J., Wang, L., and Zhou, S. (2012). Highly variable chloroplast markers for evaluating plant phylogeny at low taxonomic levels and for DNA barcoding. *PloS One* 7:e35071. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0035071
- Dong, W., Xu, C., Li, C., Sun, J., Zuo, Y., Shi, S., et al. (2015). *ycf1*, the most promising plastid DNA barcode of land plants. *Sci. Rep.* 5:8348.
- Dong, W. L., Wang, R. N., Zhang, N. Y., Fan, W. B., Fang, M. F., and Li, Z. H. (2018). Molecular evolution of chloroplast genomes of orchid species: insights into phylogenetic relationship and adaptive evolution. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 19:716. doi: 10.3390/ijms19030716
- Doyle, J. J., and Doyle, J. L. (1987). A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochem. Bull.* 19, 11–15.
- Drescher, A., Ruf, S., Calsa, T., Carrer, H., and Bock, R. (2000). The two largest chloroplast genome-encoded open reading frames of higher plants are essential genes. *Plant J.* 22, 97–104. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313x.2000.00722.x
- Du, Y. P., Bi, Y., Yang, F. P., Zhang, M. F., Chen, X. Q., Xue, J., et al. (2017). Complete chloroplast genome sequences of *Lilium*: insights into evolutionary dynamics and phylogenetic analyses. *Sci. Rep.* 7:5751.
- Frazer, K. A., Pachter, L., Poliakov, A., Rubin, E. M., and Dubchak, I. (2004). VISTA: computational tools for comparative genomics. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32, W273–W279.
- Fu, C. N., Wu, C. S., Ye, L. J., Mo, Z. Q., Liu, J., Chang, Y. W., et al. (2019). Prevalence of isomeric plastomes and effectiveness of plastome super-barcodes in yews (*Taxus*) worldwide. *Sci. Rep.* 9:2773.
- Greiner, S., Lehwark, P., and Bock, R. (2019). OrganellarGenomeDRAW (OGDRAW) version 1.3.1: expanded toolkit for the graphical visualization of organellar genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, 59–64.
- Greiner, S., Wang, X., Rauwolf, U., Silber, M. V., Mayer, K., Meurer, J., et al. (2008). The complete nucleotide sequences of the five genetically distinct plastid genomes of *Oenothera*, subsection *Oenothera*: I. sequence evaluation and plastome evolution. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 36, 2366–2378. doi: 10.1093/nar/ gkn081
- Gu, C., Ma, L., Wu, Z., Chen, K., and Wang, Y. (2019). Comparative analyses of chloroplast genomes from 22 Lythraceae species: inferences for phylogenetic relationships and genome evolution within Myrtales. *BMC Plant Biol.* 19:281. doi: 10.1186/s12870-019-1870-3
- Hollingsworth, P. M., Li, D. Z., van der Bank, M., and Twyford, A. D. (2016). Telling plant species apart with DNA: from barcodes to genomes. *Philos. T. R. Soc. B.* 371:20150338. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2015.0338
- Hu, S., Sablok, G., Wang, B., Qu, D., Barbaro, E., Viola, R., et al. (2015). Plastome organization and evolution of chloroplast genes in *Cardamine* species adapted to contrasting habitats. *BMC Genomics* 16:306. doi: 10.1186/s12864-015-1 498-0
- Jin, J. J., Yu, W. B., Yang, J. B., Song, Y., Yi, T. S., and Li, D. Z. (2018). GetOrganelle: a simple and fast pipeline for de novo assembly of a complete circular chloroplast genome using genome skimming data. *bioRxiv* [Preprint]. doi: 10.1101/256479
- Katoh, K., Misawa, K., Kuma, K., and Miyata, T. (2002). MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30, 3059–3066. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkf436
- Kearse, M., Moir, R., Wilson, A., Stones-Havas, S., Cheung, M., Sturrock, S., et al. (2012). Geneious basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 28, 1647–1649. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199

- Krzywinski, M., Schein, J., Birol, I., Connors, J., Gascoyne, R., Horsman, D., et al. (2009). Circos: an information aesthetic for comparative genomics. *Genome Res.* 19, 1639–1645. doi: 10.1101/gr.092759.109
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., and Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 33, 1870–1874. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msw054
- Kurtz, S., Choudhuri, J. V., Ohlebusch, E., Schleiermacher, C., Stoye, J., and Giegerich, R. (2001). REPuter: the manifold applications of repeat analysis on a genomic scale. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 29, 4633–4642. doi: 10.1093/nar/29.22.4633
- Li, H. T., Yi, T. S., Gao, L. M., Ma, P. F., Zhang, T., Yang, J. B., et al. (2019). Origin of angiosperms and the puzzle of the Jurassic gap. *Nat. Plants* 5, 461–470. doi: 10.1038/s41477-019-0421-0
- Li, X., Zuo, Y., Zhu, X., Liao, S., and Ma, J. (2019). Complete chloroplast genomes and comparative analysis of sequences evolution among seven Aristolochia (Aristolochiaceae) medicinal species. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 20:1045. doi: 10.3390/ ijms20051045
- Little, D. P. (2014). A DNA mini-barcode for land plants. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 14, 437–446. doi: 10.1111/1755-0998.12194
- Liu, J., Milne, R. I., Möller, M., Zhu, G. F., Ye, L. J., Luo, Y. H., et al. (2018). Integrating a comprehensive DNA barcode reference library with a global map of yews (*Taxus* L.) for forensic identification. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 18, 1115–1131. doi: 10.1111/1755-0998.12903
- Ma, P. F., Zhang, Y. X., Zeng, C. X., Guo, Z. H., and Li, D. Z. (2014). Chloroplast phylogenomic analyses resolve deep-level relationships of an intractable bamboo tribe Arundinarieae (*Poaceae*). Syst. Biol. 63, 933–950. doi: 10.1093/sysbio/syu054
- Miller, M. A., Pfeiffer, W., and Schwartz, T. (2010). "Creating the CIPRES science gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees," in *Proceedings of the Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE)*, New Orleans, LA, 1–8.
- Ogawa, T., Ishii, C., Kagawa, D., Muramoto, K., and Kamiya, H. (1999). Accelerated evolution in the protein-coding region of galectin cDNAs, congerin I and congerin II, from skin mucus of conger eel (*Conger myriaster*). *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* 63, 1203–1208. doi: 10.1271/bbb.63.1203
- Ortega, A., Geraldi, N. R., Díaz-Rúa, R., Ørberg, S. B., Wesselmann, M., Krause-Jensen, D., et al. (2020). A DNA mini-barcode for marine macrophytes. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* doi: 10.1111/1755-0998.13164
- Powell, W., Machray, G. C., and Provan, J. (1996). Polymorphism revealed by simple sequence repeats. *Trends Plant Sci.* 1, 215–222. doi: 10.1016/s1360-1385(96)86898-0
- Qu, X. J., Moore, M. J., Li, D. Z., and Yi, T. S. (2019). PGA: a software package for rapid, accurate, and flexible batch annotation of plastomes. *Plant Methods* 15:50.
- Rambaut, A. (2012). FigTree v1. 4. Molecular Evolution, Phylogenetics and Epidemiology. Edinburgh: Institute of Evolutionary Biology, University of Edinburgh. Available online at: http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree
- Raubeson, L., and Jansen, R. (2005). "Chloroplast genomes of plants," in Plant Diversity and Evolution: Genotypic and Phenotypic Variation in Higher Plants, ed. R. J. Henry (Wallingford: CABI Publishing), 45–68. doi: 10.1079/ 9780851999043.0045
- Ronquist, F., Teslenko, M., Van Der Mark, P., Ayres, D. L., Darling, A., Hohna, S., et al. (2012). MrBayes 3.2: efficient bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Syst. Biol.* 61, 539–542. doi: 10.1093/sysbio/ sys029
- Ruhfel, B. R., Gitzendanner, M. A., Soltis, P. S., Soltis, D. E., and Burleigh, J. G. (2014). From algae to angiosperms-inferring the phylogeny of green plants (Viridiplantae) from 360 plastid genomes. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 14:23. doi: 10.1186/ 1471-2148-14-23
- Stamatakis, A. (2006). RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 22, 2688– 2690. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btl446
- Thiel, T., Michalek, W., Varshney, R. K., and Graner, A. (2003). Exploiting EST databases for the development and characterization of gene-derived SSRmarkers in barley (*Hordeum vulgare L.*). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 106, 411–422. doi: 10.1007/s00122-002-1031-0
- Timme, R. E., Kuehl, J. V., Boore, J. L., and Jansen, R. K. (2007). A comparative analysis of the *Lactuca* and *Helianthus* (Asteraceae) plastid genomes: Identification of divergent regions and categorization of shared repeats. Am. J. Bot. 94, 302–312. doi: 10.3732/ajb.94.3.302

- Wang, R. N., Liu, J., Li, Z. H., and Wu, Z. Y. (2019). Complete chloroplast genome sequences of *Debregeasia orientalis* (Urticaceae). *Mitochondrial DNA* B 4, 1830–1831. doi: 10.1080/23802359.2019.1604186
- Weglöhner, W., and Subramanian, A. R. (1992). Nucleotide sequence of a region of maize chloroplast DNA containing the 3' end of *clpP*, exon 1 of *rps12* and *rpl20* and their cotranscription. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 18, 415–418. doi: 10.1007/ bf00034970
- Wick, R. R., Schultz, M. B., Zobel, J., and Holt, K. E. (2015). Bandage: interactive visualization of de novo genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 31, 3350–3352.
- Wicke, S., Schneeweiss, G. M., Depamphilis, C. W., Muller, K. F., and Quandt, D. (2011). The evolution of the plastid chromosome in land plants: gene content, gene order, gene function. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 76, 273–297. doi: 10.1007/s11103-011-9762-4
- Wilmot-Dear, C. M., and Friis, I. (2012). Debregeasia australis sp. nov. (Urticaceae), with a new synopsis of and a new key to the genus. Edinburgh J. Bot. 69, 301–311. doi: 10.1017/s096042861200011x
- Wu, Z. Y., Liu, J., Provan, J., Wang, H., Chen, C. J., Cadotte, M. W., et al. (2018). Testing Darwin's transoceanic dispersal hypothesis for the inland nettle family (Urticaceae). *Ecol. Lett.* 21, 1515–1529. doi: 10.1111/ele.13132
- Wu, Z. Y., Milne, R. I., Chen, C. J., Liu, J., Wang, H., and Li, D. Z. (2015). Ancestral state reconstruction reveals rampant homoplasy of diagnostic morphological characters in Urticaceae, conflicting with current classification schemes. *PLoS One* 10:e0141821. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0141821
- Wu, Z. Y., Monro, A. K., Milne, R. I., Wang, H., Yi, T. S., Liu, J., et al. (2013). Molecular phylogeny of the nettle family (Urticaceae) inferred from multiple

loci of three genomes and extensive generic sampling. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 69, 814–827. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2013.06.022

- Yamane, K., Hattori, Y., Ohtagaki, H., and Fujiwara, K. (2011). Microbial diversity with dominance of 16S rRNA gene sequences with high GC contents at 74 and 98 C subsurface crude oil deposits in Japan. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 76, 220–235. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2011.01044.x
- Yang, Z. (2007). PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 24, 1586–1591. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msm088
- Yang, Z. H., and Nielsen, R. (2002). Codon-substitution models for detecting molecular adaptation at individual sites along specific lineages. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 19, 908–917. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a004148
- Zeb, U., Dong, W. L., Zhang, T. T., Wang, R. N., Shahzad, K., Ma, X. F., et al. (2020). Comparative plastid genomics of *Pinus* species: insights into sequence variations and phylogenetic relationships. *J. Syst. Evol.* 58, 118–132. doi: 10. 1111/jse.12492

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Wang, Milne, Du, Liu and Wu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.