

Dates in the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature

Author(s): J. A. Leussink

Reviewed work(s):

Source: *Taxon*, Vol. 27, No. 5/6 (Nov., 1978), pp. 561-562 Published by: International Association for Plant Taxonomy (IAPT)

Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/1219934

Accessed: 15/08/2012 05:27

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



International Association for Plant Taxonomy (IAPT) is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to Taxon.

In the circumstances, Burtt (loc. cit.) quite reasonably suggested that the name Scabiosa papposa L. should be rejected as a nomen confusum, and that the three species involved should be named respectively:

- 1. Pterocephalus plumosus (L.) Coult.
- 2. Pterocephalus diandrus (Lag.) Lag.
- 3. Pterocephalus brevis Coult.

Unfortunately, in 1957, when Burtt made these proposals, there was no machinery for insuring the permanent rejection of such confused names, and while the majority of botanists have agreed with his proposals, and adopted the suggested nomenclature, the name *Pterocephalus papposus* (L.) Coult. has recently reappeared in Flora Europaea, 4: 68 (1976), attached to the plant intended in Sp. Pl. ed. 1, which Burtt preferred to name *P. plumosus* (L.) Coult. On strict nomenclatural grounds, Flora Europaea is *arguably* correct, but in every other respect the decision is a regrettable one. For this reason I invoke the machinery set up in the new ICBN, and request that the appropriate committee add *Scabiosa papposa* L., Sp. Pl. ed. 1, 101 (1753) to the list of *nomina rejicienda*.

Proposed by: D. MEIKLE, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Surrey TW9 3AB, England.

### DATES IN THE INTERNATIONAL CODE OF BOTANICAL NOMENCLATURE

#### I. A. Leussink\*

#### Summary

A chronological list of the dates in the ICBN is given. A list indicating which dates pertain to the main taxonomic groups is added.

In a previous paper (Leussink, 1975) I have given an account of the dates in the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (Seattle Code). The new edition of the Code (Leningrad Code) has recently been published (Stafleu et al., 1978), and it seems appropriate to update that account. In the Leningrad Code some dates were changed (Art. 13.1(g)) or deleted (cf. Arts. 13(i) and 42 of the Seattle Code), whereas also a few new dates were introduced (Arts. 28. Note 2 and 35.3). A few dates lacking in my 1975 account (those in the Introduction to Appendix II), have been included in the survey given below. The decimal-like numbering system of the individual paragraphs of the Articles and Recommendations in the Leningrad Code facilitates reference.

## Chronological list of dates in the Leningrad Code

	Date	Article etc.
	1753	7.12; 13.4, 5; 23.6(c); Rec. 32A.1; 41. Note 1
Mai	1753	13.5
1 Mai	1753	13.1(a), (c), (d), (g), (h), 13.5; Introduction to Appendix II
Aug	1753	13.5
	1753-1754	74.1(a)
	1754	13.4
	1762-1763	13.4; 41. Note 1
	1764	13.4
	1789	Introduction to Appendix II
1 Jan	1801	13.1(b)
31 Dec	1801	13.1(e)
	1820	13.1(i)
31 Dec	1820	13.1(i)
1 Jan	1821	13.1(f)
	1828	13.1(f)
1 Jan	1848	13.1(g)

<sup>\*</sup> Institute of Systematic Botany, Division of Taxonomic Documentation, Heidelberglaan 2, 3584 CS Utrecht, The Netherlands.

NOVEMBER 1978 561

```
1 Jan 1886
                          13.1(g)
      1886-1888
                          13.1(g)
1 Jan 1890
                          35.3
1 Jan 1892
                          13.1(g)
      1892-1893
                          13.1(g)
1 Jan 1900
                          13.1(g)
1 Jan 1908
                          42.1;44.1
1 Jan 1912
                         20.2;38.1
1 Jan 1935
                          36.1; 59.4
1 Jan 1953
                         29.3, 5; 31.1; 33.2; 34.4; 35.1, 2
1 Jan 1958
                          36.2; 37.1; 39.1; 59.4
1 Jan 1959
                         28. Note 2
1 Jan 1967
                         59.4
1 Jan 1973
                         29.5;45.1
1 Jan 1975
                         H.10.4, 5
```

# Survey according to the main taxonomic groups

Survey according to the main taxonomic groups		
Taxonomic group	Article etc.	
All groups	20.2; 29.3, 5; 31.1; 33.2; 34.4; 35.1, 2; 37.1; 42.1; 44.1; 45.1	
All groups with starting	13.4, 5; 23.6(c); Rec. 32A.1; 41. Note 1; 74.1	
point 1 Mai 1753		
All groups, except Algae and Fossils	36.1	
All groups, except Nosto-	35.3	
caceae, Homocysteae and		
Oedogoniaceae		
Spermatophyta	13.1(a); Introduction to Appendix II	
Pteridophyta	13.1(a)	
Bryophyta	13.1(b), (c); 7.12	
Lichenes	13.1(d)	
Fungi	13.1(e), (f); 7.12; 59.4	
Algae	13.1(g); 7.12; 36.2; 39.1	
Myxomycetes	13.1(h)	
Fossils	13.1(i); 7.12; 38.1	
(Hybrids	H.10.4, 5)	
(Cultivars	28. Note 2)	

### References

LEUSSINK, J. A. 1975 — Dates in the Rules of the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature. Taxon 24: 194-199.

STAFLEU, F. A. et al. 1978 — International Code of Botanical Nomenclature Adopted by the Twelfth International Botanical Congress, Leningrad, July 1975. Regnum vegetabile 97: I-XIV, 1-457.

562 TAXON VOLUME 27