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#### Abstract

To investigate the genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationships between polyploid Leymus and related diploid species of the Triticeae tribe, inter-simple sequence repeats (ISSR) markers was used to analyze 41 Leymus accessions representing 22 species and 2 subspecies, together with Pseudoroegneria stipifolia (St), Psathyrostachys fragilis (Ns), Australopyrum retrofractum (W), Hordeum bogdanii, H. chilense (H) and Lophopyrum elongatum ( $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{e}}$ ). A total of 376 clear and reproducible DNA fragments were amplified by 29 ISSR primers, among which $368(97.87 \%)$ fragments were found to be polymorphic. 8-18 polymorphic bands were amplified by each polymorphic primer, with an average of 12.69 bands. The data of 376 ISSR bands were used to generate Nei's similarity coefficients and to construct a dendrogram by means of UPGMA. The similarity coefficients data suggested great genetic diversity in genus Leymus and related diploid Triticeae species, the genetic diversity among the different species more abundant than that of the different accessions. The dendrogram and principal coordinate analysis showed explicit interspecific relationships and demonstrated close phylogenetic relationships between Leymus species and Psathyrostachys.
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## Introduction

Leymus Hochst is an important polyploid perennial genus of the tribe Triticeae (Poaceae) and includes about 50 species and subspecies which are closely related with wheat, barley, cultivated rye and other Triticeae cereals. Phytogeographically, Leymus species are distributed in the temperate regions of Eurasia, North and South America, extending to the subtropic and the tropic alpine regions. Most species are found in the mountains of central Asia and North America (Tzvelev et al. 1976; Dewey 1984). The natural habitats of Leymus species range from coastal to inland areas, including saline or alkaline lands, and dry or semidry areas. Many Leymus species are highly adaptable to cold, drought, and to saline or alkaline severe environment. Some Leymus species also bear other such desirable traits such as disease and insect resistance, bigger spikes than wheat, more grains than wheat. Many Leymus species provide genetic material for the improvement of forage and cereal crops and can be utilized as potential contributors to genes of cold hardiness, drought and salt tolerance, and disease resistance for cereal crops in Triticeae (Dewey 1984; Dong et al. 1992).

Since Hochstetter (1848) separated Leymus from the traditional Elymus L., with Leymus arenarius (L.) Hochst. as the type species, most taxonomists have accepted the circumscription of Leymus (Pilger 1954; Löve \& Löve 1961; Keng 1965; Tzvelev 1976; Melderis 1980; Barkworth \& Atkins 1984), but some included Leymus in Elymus (Bowden 1964; Estes \& Tyrl 1982). Leymus was originally defined as a genomically allopolyploid genus with two distinct subgenomes Ns and Xm. Meiotic pairing data from interspecific and intergeneric hybrids (Dewey 1984; Wang 1994) and molecular studies (Jensen et al. 1997; Sha et al. 2008) had revealed that the Ns genome of Leymus was originated from Psathyrostachys. Despite decades of intensive efforts in cytogenetic and molecular biology researches, there are still uncertainties regarding the origin of the Xm genome of Leymus.

Molecular markers have proved to be an effective and valuable technique to evaluate genetic diversity and relationships within species or genera. Inter-simple sequence repeats (ISSR) has unique advantages over other molecular markers: it doesn't need any genomic information of the target species, which is extremely important in a preliminary investigation; it consumes

[^0]Table 1. The materials investigated in the present study.

| No. | Taxon | 2 n | Genome | Accesion No. | Origin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Leymus racemosus (Lam.) Tzvel | 56 | NsXm | PI502402 | Russian Federation |
| 2 | L. racemosus (Lam.) Tzvel | 56 | NsXm | PI478832 | Montana, United States |
| 3 | L. angustus (Trin.) Pilger | 84 | NsXm | PI440308 | Kazakhstan |
| 4 | L. angustus (Trin.) Pilger | 84 | NsXm | PI499650 | Xinjiang, China |
| 5 | L. karelinii (Trin.) Pilger | 84 | NsXm | PI598534 | Xinjiang, China |
| 6 | L. karelinii (Turcz.) Tzvel | 84 | NsXm | PI636651 | Kazakhstan |
| 7 | L. multicaulis (Kar. \& Kir.) Tzvel | 28 | NsXm | PI440324 | Kazakhstan |
| 8 | L. multicaulis (Kar. \& Kir.) Tzvel | 28 | NsXm | PI440325 | Kazakhstan |
| 9 | L. secalinus (Georgi) Tzvel | 28 | NsXm | ZY09131 | Qinghai, China |
| 10 | L. secalinus (Georgi) Tzvel | 28 | NsXm | PI598757 | Kazakhstan |
| 11 | L. secalinus (Georgi) Tzvel | 28 | NsXm | PI499535 | Xinjiang, China |
| 12 | L. pseudoracemosus Yen and Yang | 28 | NsXm | PI531810 | Qinghai, China |
| 13 | L. pseudoracemosus Yen and Yang | 28 | NsXm | ZY09148 | Qinghai, China |
| 14 | L. mollis (Trin.) Pilger | 28 | NsXm | PI567896 | Alaska, United States |
| 15 | L. triticoides (Buck.) Pilger | 28 | NsXm | PI578750 | Washington, United States |
| 16 | L. triticoides (Buck.) Pilger | 28 | NsXm | PI531822 | Nevada, United States |
| 17 | L. tianschanicus (Drob.) Tzvel | 84 | NsXm | Y2036 | Xinjiang, China |
| 18 | L. condensatus (Presl) A. Löve | 28 | NsXm | PI442483 | Antwerp, Belgium |
| 19 | L. secalinus (Georgi) Tzvel | 28 | NsXm | PI 639770 | Mongolia |
| 20 | L. ambiguous (Vasey \& Scribner) D.R. Dewey | 56 | NsXm | PI565019 | Colorado, United States |
| 21 | L. arenarius (L.) Hochst | 28 | NsXm | PI294584 | Sweden |
| 22 | L. arenarius (L.) Hochst | 28 | NsXm | PI494699 | Kazakhstan |
| 23 | L. ramosus (Trin.) Tzvel | 28 | NsXm | PI440330 | Kazakhstan |
| 24 | L. ramosus (Trin.) Tzvel | 28 | NsXm | PI499653 | Xinjiang, China |
| 25 | L. salinus (M.E.Jones) Á. Löve | 28 | NsXm | PI565038 | Utah, United State |
| 26 | L. salinus (M.E.Jones) Á. Löve | 28 | NsXm | PI636574 | Mongolia |
| 27 | L. innovatus (Beal) Pilger | 28 | NsXm | PI236818 | Canada |
| 28 | L. paboanus (Claus) Pilger | 56 | NsXm | PI531808 | Estonia |
| 29 | L.racemosus ssp. sabulosus (Lam.) Tzvel | 28 | NsXm | PI531814 | Estonia |
| 30 | L. chinensis (Trin.) Tzvel | 28 | NsXm | PI619486 | Mongolia |
| 31 | L. chinensis (Trin.) Tzvel | 28 | NsXm | PI499519 | Inner Mongolia, China |
| 32 | L. chinensis (Trin.) Tzvel | 28 | NsXm | PI499515 | Inner Mongolia, China |
| 33 | L. cinereus (Trin.) Tzvel | 56 | NsXm | PI619543 | Washington, United States |
| 34 | L. cinereus (Trin.) Tzvel | 56 | NsXm | PI469229 | Saskatchewan, Canada |
| 35 | L. duthiei (Stapf) Y.H. Zhou et H.Q. Zhang | 28 | NsXm | ZY2004 | Sichuan, China |
| 36 | L. hybrid | 28 | NsXm | PI537363 | Nevada, United States |
| 37 | L. akmolinensis (Drobow) Tzvel | 28 | NsXm | PI440306 | Russian, Federation |
| 38 | L. alaicus ssp. karataviensis (Roshev.) Tzvel | 28 | NsXm | PI314677 | Alma-Ata, Kazakhstan |
| 39 | L. alaicus ssp. karataviensis (Roshev.) Tzvel | 28 | NsXm | PI314667 | Alma-Ata, Kazakhstan |
| 40 | L. qinghaicus L.B. Cai | 28 | NsXm | HY0717 | Sichuan, China |
| 41 | L. qinghaicus L.B. Cai | 28 | NsXm | HY0716 | Sichuan, China |
| 42 | Pseudoroegneria stipifolia (Czern. ex Nevski) A. Löve | 14 | St | PI313960 | Former Soviet Union |
| 43 | Psathyrostachys fragilis (Boiss.) Nevski | 14 | Ns | PI343191 | Iran |
| 44 | Australopyrum retrofractum (Vickery) A. Löve | 14 | W | PI531553 | Utah, United States |
| 45 | Hordeum bogdanii (Wilensky) A. Löve | 14 | H | Y1488 | China |
| 46 | H. chilense (Roem. \& Schult.) A. Löve | 14 | H | PI531781 | Argentina |
| 47 | Lophopyrum elongatum (Host) A. Löve | 14 | $\mathrm{E}^{\text {e }}$ | PI574517 | Argentina |

small amount of template DNA and can be rapidly conducted, which is an efficient and rapid way in detecting genetic diversity of species (Semagn et al. 2006). Previous studies have demonstrated that ISSR markers are appropriate for analyses on genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationship in Graminaceous crops, wheat (Vaillancourt et al. 2008), rice (Reddy et al. 2009) and maize (Domenyuk et al. 2002). AFLP, RAPD and RAMP markers were previously used to assess genetic diversity and interspecific relationships among Leymus species (Yang et al. 2006; Yang et al. 2008; Culumber et al. 2011; Yang et al. 2011).

The objective of present study are: (1) to evaluate the efficiency of ISSR markers for discriminating the genetic diversity of Leymus species; (2) to examine the genetic diversity and interspecific or intraspecific relationships in Leymus; (3) to investigate intergeneric relation-
ships among Leymus, Psathyrostachys, Lophopyrum, Pseudoroegneria, Hordeum and Australopyrum and explore the origins of the unknown Xm genome in Leymus.

## Material and methods

A total of 47 accessions of Triticeae were used in this study, including 41 accessions of Leymus, which distributed in 22 species and 2 subspecies ( NsXm ); in addition, we used the relative diploid genera taxa such as Psathyrostachys fragilis (Ns), Pseudoroegneria stipifolia (St), Australopyrum retrofractum (W), Hordeum bogdanii, H. chilense (H)and Lophopyrum elongatum ( $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{e}}$ ) representing five basic genomes to explore the origin of Ns and Xm genome in Leymus (Table 1). All seed materials were kindly provided by American National Plant Germplasm System (Pullman, Washington, USA) and Triticeae Research Institute of Sichuan Agriculture University. The plants and voucher specimens of all

Table 2. List of ISSR primers, their sequences, Tm and amplification results.

| Primer | Sequence ( $5^{\prime} \rightarrow 3$ ') | Tm( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | TB | PB | $\operatorname{PPB}(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UBC807 | (AG)8T | 48 | 12 | 12 | 100 |
| UBC808 | (AG) 8 C | 56.5 | 17 | 16 | 94.11 |
| UBC809 | (AG) 8 G | 55 | 12 | 12 | 100 |
| UBC810 | (GA)8T | 48 | 11 | 11 | 100 |
| UBC815 | (CT) 8 G | 49.5 | 8 | 8 | 100 |
| UBC823 | (TC) 8 C | 51.8 | 11 | 11 | 100 |
| UBC824 | (TC) 8 G | 49.6 | 8 | 8 | 100 |
| UBC825 | (AC) 8 T | 52.4 | 12 | 12 | 100 |
| UBC826 | (AC) 8 C | 55 | 11 | 10 | 90.90 |
| UBC827 | (AC) 8 G | 54.5 | 13 | 13 | 100 |
| UBC828 | (TG)8A | 53.5 | 8 | 8 | 100 |
| UBC829 | (TG) 8 C | 52 | 11 | 10 | 90.90 |
| UBC834 | (AG)8YT | 55.5 | 13 | 13 | 100 |
| UBC835 | (AG)8YC | 55.5 | 13 | 12 | 92.31 |
| UBC836 | (AG)8YA | 50.5 | 14 | 12 | 85.71 |
| UBC841 | (GA)8YC | 56.5 | 13 | 11 | 84.62 |
| UBC844 | (CT)8RC | 50 | 14 | 14 | 100 |
| UBC845 | (CT)8RG | 50 | 14 | 14 | 100 |
| UBC847 | (CA)8RC | 52.8 | 15 | 15 | 100 |
| UBC853 | (TC)8RT | 50.8 | 12 | 12 | 100 |
| UBC855 | (AC)8YT | 52.5 | 11 | 11 | 100 |
| UBC857 | (AC)8YG | 51.5 | 11 | 11 | 100 |
| UBC873 | (GACA)4 | 48.5 | 15 | 15 | 100 |
| UBC880 | (GGAGA)3 | 48 | 18 | 18 | 100 |
| UBC881 | (GGGTG)3 | 55 | 17 | 17 | 100 |
| UBC888 | BDB (CA) 7 | 53 | 15 | 15 | 100 |
| UBC889 | DBD (AC) 7 | 55.5 | 15 | 15 | 100 |
| UBC890 | VHV (GT) 7 | 56 | 16 | 16 | 100 |
| UBC895 | (AG)2TTGGTAG(CT)2TGATC | 51 | 16 | 16 | 100 |
| Total | 29 |  | 376 | 368 |  |
| Average |  | 52.4 | 12.97 | 12.69 | 97.87 |

Abbreviations: $\mathrm{Y}=(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}), \mathrm{R}=(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{G}), \mathrm{B}=($ non A$), \mathrm{D}=($ non C$), \mathrm{V}=($ non T$), \mathrm{H}=($ non G$) . \mathrm{Tm}$ : amplified annealing temperature; TB: number of total bands; PB: number of polymorphic bands; PPB: \% of polymorphic bands.
the materials have been deposited at the perennial nursery and Herbarium of the Triticeae Research Institute, Sichuan Agriculture University, China (SAUTI).

Fresh young leaf tissues ( 3 g ) were collected from 510 plants of each accession, frozen with liquid nitrogen and grinded into powder. Genomic DNA was extracted following a slightly modified CTAB (cetyltrimethylammonium bromide) protocol (Doyle \& Doyle 1987). DNA concentration was measured by comparing with BM 5000 DNA Marker $\left(\mathrm{BM}^{\circledR}\right.$ Biomed) using $1 \%$ agarose gel electrophoresis under UV light.

A total of 65 ISSR primers (UBC primer set no. 9, University of British Columbia, Canada) were tested to select those produced polymorphic DNA bands. According to the amplification efficiency and reproducibility of the band patterns, 29 ISSR primers were selected for further analysis. The final PCR volume was $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ and contained $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ template DNA at the concentration of $20 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}, 12.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ $2 \times$ Taq PCRMasterMiX ( $4 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,0.4 \mathrm{mM}$ dNTPs of each nucleotide, 0.05 units $/ \mu \mathrm{L}$ Taq DNA polymerase), $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ primer $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ and $10.0 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The amplification reactions were performed with an initial denaturing step at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min , followed by 35 cycles of 1 min denaturing at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~min}$ annealing at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~min}$ extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a final extension step at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min on BIO-RAD S1000 ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Thermal cycler. Amplified products were separated on a $1.5 \%$ agarose gel through electrophoresis in $1 \times$ TAE buffer ( pH 8.0 ) with BM 2000 DNA Marker ( $\mathrm{BM}^{\circledR}$ Biomed).

The agarose gels were photographed using Gel imaging system (BIO-RAD Gel Doc XR + Molecular Imager). The ISSR bands were treated as dominant markers, individual band was considered as a character and were scored as present (1) or absent (0) of the same size for each primer, then entered into a binary matrix representing the ISSR profile of each accession. The potential of ISSR markers for estimating genetic variability was examined by measuring the marker informativeness of polymorphic loci. The loci were counted as number of total amplified bands (TB), number of polymorphic bands (PB) and \% of polymorphic bands (PPB).

The raw data matrix was then used to calculate Nei's genetic similarity coefficients (GS) for measuring pairwise band similarities between individuals, GS $=2 N_{i j} /\left(N_{i}+N_{j}\right)$, where $N_{i j}$ is the number of ISSR locus in common between accessions $i$ and $j, N_{i}$ and $N_{j}$ are the total number locus generated by accessions i and j respectively(Nei \& Li 1979). Based on the $0 / 1$ matrix, a cluster analysis was conducted based on Nei's similarity coefficients using unweighted pair group method with arithmetic average (UPGMA) method with the SAHN module of NTSYS-pc 2.10e software package. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (10000 replicates) are shown next to the branches (Felsenstein 1985). The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The principal coordinated analysis (PCA) was performed with the modules DCEN-


Fig. 1. ISSR polymorphism in parts of Leymus species amplified by primer UBC827. The accessions are described in Table 1. M-2000 bp DNA Marker.


Fig. 2. UPGMA dendrogram of Nei's genetic similarity and percentage of bootstrap value (specified at selected dichotomous points) as determined related diploid Triticeae species.

TER and EIGEN implemented in NTSYS-pc, and the three principal coordinates were used to visualize the dispersion of accessions in a three-dimensional array of eigenvectors.

## Results

Of the 65 primers tested, 29 primers were selected for further analysis, which are able to produce clear and stable amplified bands. These 29 primers produced 376 ISSR molecular fragments, among which 368 bands ( $97.87 \%$ ) were polymorphic loci. Each primer amplified from 8 to 18 polymorphic bands, with an average of 12.97 loci, of which 12.69 were polymorphic loci. The highest (18 bands) was produced by UBC880 and the
lowest ( 8 bands) was produced by UBC815, UBC824 and UBC828 (Table 2). Amplified product sizes ranged from 200 to 2000 bp approximately. The ISSR marker profile obtained with primer UBC827 on the basis of size comparison with BM 2000 DNA Marker ( $\mathrm{BM}^{\circledR}$ Biomed) (Fig. 1).

All the 376 ISSR bands were used to calculate Nei's genetic similarity coefficients (GS) by multivariate analysis using a Simqual (similarity for qualitative data) program, which are measuring pairwise band similarities between individuals. The genetic similarity coefficients value varied from 0.460 to 0.864 with an average of 0.662 (Table 3). It indicated that there was considerable ISSR variation among species of genus Leymus
Table 3. Genetic similarity matrix based on ISSR polymorphism among Leymus and related diploid Triticeae species.

| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20.8641 .000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30.6830 .6541 .000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40.6540 .6620 .7151 .000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.6140 .6380 .6430 .7581 .000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60.6700 .6250 .7100 .7230 .7261 .000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70.6110 .6140 .6090 .5950 .6090 .6801 .000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80.5390 .5370 .5950 .5450 .5260 .5980 .6511 .000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90.5790 .5710 .6090 .6010 .6250 .6330 .6480 .6561 .000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100.6300 .6170 .6860 .6460 .6110 .6940 .6190 .64800 .6781 .000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 110.5710 .5530 .6110 .59800 .6060 .6460 .6400 .6380 .6720 .7071 .000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120.5930 .6010 .6060 .5980 .5740 .6350 .5980 .6110 .6460 .6430 .7651 .000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 130.5980 .6110 .5950 .5870 .5580 .5870 .5710 .5900 .63000 .6380 .7340 .7871 .000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 140.5450 .5230 .55000 .5770 .5550 .5820 .5150 .5070 .5630 .57700 .5550 .5610 .6251 .000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150.55880 .5450 .5770 .5850 .5500 .5900 .5690 .6030 .6060 .6140 .5610 .5930 .6190 .6271 .000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $160.6140 .5900 .6060 .6030 .6060 .6410 .5980 .5740 .5930 .6170 .6270 .6060 .6060 .603 ~ 0.7101 .000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 170.6400 .6320 .6480 .6670 .6380 .6510 .5820 .5790 .5980 .6380 .6110 .6170 .6270 .58700 .6400 .6751 .000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 180.5770 .5530 .5370 .53900 .5470 .5550 .5500 .5370 .5710 .5690 .5470 .5740 .5210 .5740 .5180 .5740 .6171 .000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 190.6250 .5900 .6270 .6620 .6480 .6460 .5820 .5530 .5770 .6380 .6110 .6220 .6110 .5740 .63000 .6270 .7180 .5951 .000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200.5530 .5550 .5930 .5950 .6140 .6060 .5740 .6250 .6110 .6250 .5820 .5820 .5930 .5820 .5790 .6030 .6090 .5710 .6561 .000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 210.6270 .6250 .5980 .6430 .6190 .6010 .5580 .5070 .5690 .6140 .5930 .6190 .6030 .5980 .5900 .5870 .6510 .6030 .71000 .6481 .000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 250.6170 .6030 .5820 .6270 .5820 .5850 .5790 .5390 .5850 .5710 .5710 .5550 .55000 .5390 .5630 .5870 .6090 .5610 .63000 .6010 .5900 .55800 .5230 .5581 .000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300.5340 .5470 .5630 .5450 .5630 .5870 .5290 .5530 .5550 .5630 .5420 .5740 .5050 .5770 .4970 .5210 .4890 .5260 .5580 .5820 .5820 .55000 .5050 .53900 .5710 .5930 .6060 .5740 .6271 .000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 350.5790 .5710 .5930 .5900 .6030 .5950 .5580 .6030 .5950 .5710 .5870 .5930 .5770 .5100 .5470 .5610 .5870 .56600 .6090 .5850 .5900 .6110 .6030 .5690 .5850 .6170 .6350 .5870 .6350 .61400 .6430 .6270 .6250 .6881 .000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{llll} 42 & 0.566 & 0.547 & 0.5 \\ 43 & 0.553 & 0.561 & 0.58 \end{array}$ |  | 0.497 | 0.500 | 0.529 | 0.507 | 0.574 | 0.545 | 0.521 | 0.505 | 0.515 | 0.521 | 0.550 | 0.539 | 0.558 | 0.515 | 0.547 | 0.500 | 0.523 | 0.497 | 0.539 | 0.500 | 0.518 | 0.561 | 0.566 | 0.531 | 0.537 | 0.537 | 0.569 | 0.545 | 0.566 | 0.510 | 0.590 | 0.582 | 0.579 | 0.595 | 0.550 | 0.574 | 0.563 | 0.553 | 1,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.590 | 0.577 | 0.606 | 0.547 | 0.582 | 0.590 | 0.614 | 0.630 | 0.640 | 0.614 | 4.550 | 0.558 | 0.587 | 0.571 | 0.545 | 0.582 | 0.601 | 0.547 | 0.590 | 0.561 | 0.579 | 0.531 | 0.579 | 0.571 | 0.582 | 0.582 | 0.582 | 0.579 | 0.601 | 0.603 | 0.603 | 0.632 | 0.577 | 0.577 | 0.595 | 0.619 |  |  | 0.603 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{llll} 43 & 0.553 & 0.561 & 0.5 \\ 44 & 0.571 & 0.553 & 0.5 \end{array}$ |  | 0.577 | 0.563 | 0.582 |  | 0.595 | 0.571 | 0.553 | 0.558 | 0.574 | 0.585 | 0.571 | 0.550 | 0.595 | 0.531 | 0.569 | 0.521 | 0.561 | 0.545 | 0.545 | 0.574 | 0.577 | 0.539 | 0.582 | 0.553 | 0.558 | 0.547 | 0.531 | 0.577 | 0.571 | 0.553 | 0.574 | 0.566 | 0.606 | 0.590 | 0. . 593 | 0.595 |  |  |  | 0.630 | 1.000 |  |  |  |
| 450.5660 .5630 .55 |  | 0.534 | 0.526 | 0.555 | 0.539 | 0.553 | 0.545 | 0.531 | 0.500 | 0.537 | 0.515 | 0.534 | 0.539 | 0.500 | 0.515 | 0.569 | 0.537 | 0.534 | 0.492 | 0.539 | 0.542 | 0.545 | 0.523 | 0.518 | 0.510 | 0.574 | 0.500 | 0.537 | 0.529 | 0.523 | 0.505 | 0.510 | 0.561 | 0.574 | 0.547 | 0.555 | 0.585 | 0.585 | 0.579 | 0.569 | 0.582 | 0.590 | 1.000 |  |  |
|  |  | 0.521 | 0.550 | 0.505 | 0.521 | 0.518 | 0.563 | 0.513 | 0.513 | 0.518 | 0.518 | 0.515 | 0.531 | 0.534 | 0.534 | 0.518 | 0.545 | 0.510 | 0.526 | 0.537 | 0.534 | 0.558 | 0.531 | 0.510 | 0.518 | 0.460 | 0.555 | 0.497 | 0.542 | 0.526 | 0.486 |  |  | 0.534 | 0.529 | 0.510 | 0.571 |  |  |  | 0.537 | 0.598 | 0.630 |  |  |
| 470.5850 .5930 .5 |  | 0.574 | 0.571 | 0.558 | 0.531 | 0.550 | 0.574 | 0.550 | 0.571 | 10.593 | 0.566 | 0.526 | 0.542 | 0.561 | 0.561 | 0.545 | 0.587 | 0.574 | 0.569 | 0.574 | 0.577 | 0.563 | 0.553 | 0.579 | 0.534 | 0.555 | 0.529 | 0.550 | 0.547 | 0.531 | 0.518 | 0.555 | 0.590 | 0.529 | 0.566 | 0.515 | 0.577 | 0.587 |  | . 587 | 53 | 0.555 | 0.587 | 0.61 |  |

and related diploid Triticeae species. The highest genetic similarity coefficient ( 0.864 ) was between $L$. racemosus (PI502402) and L. racemosus (PI4788 32), while the lowest genetic similarity coefficient (0.460) found between L. paboanus and Hordeum chilense. From the genetic similarity coefficients, there was clear representation that little genetic diversity among the different accessions within species, while the genetic difference among the different species is distinct.

Based on Nei's genetic similarity coefficients, cluster analysis was carried out using UPGMA method and resulted in a phylogenetic dendrogram which clearly discriminated strains from each other (Fig. 2). The 41 accessions of Leymus and 6 related diploid Triticeae species were divided into 3 distinct main groups at the similarity coefficient value of 0.58 . Different accessions within the same species were clustered together first, then the different taxa were clustered. UPGMA cluster analysis showed clear genetic relationship among the 47 accessions and their clusters were related to known pedigree relationships.

Except for L. condensatus, most Leymus accessions clustered with Psathyrostachys fragilis and Australopyrum retrofractum in group I, which can be further divided into 4 subgroups. There are 10 Leymus species clustered into subgroup Ia, L. racemosus, $L$. angustus and L. karelinii were clustered together first, then they clustered with L. multicaulis (PI440324), further they clustered with a sister group which was formed by $L$. secalinus and $L$. pseudoracemosus. $L$. tianschanicus, L. secalinus (PI639770), L. ambiguous and L. arenarius were clustered together first, further they clustered with $L$. ramosus. Most of these Leymus accessions come from Xinjiang of China or the neighboring geographic regions, some species come from northern European or central Eurasian. Subgroup Ib comprised 2 North American species, two accessions of L. triticoides clustered together first,then they clustered with L. mollis. Subgroup Ic was formed by Psathyrostachys fragilis, Australopyrum retrofractum, 10 species and 2 subspecies of Leymus, includeing L. multicaulis (PI440325), L. akmolinensis, L. hybrid, L. chinensis (PI499515, PI499519), L. cinereus, L. paboanus, L. duthiei, L. salinus, L. alaicus ssp. karataviensis, L. innovatus, L. racemosus ssp. sabulosus and L. qinghaicus. L. chinensis (PI619486) which separate from the other two L. chinensis accessions clustered in subgroup Id lonely. Group II consisted of a single clade comprised by $L$. condensatus which come from Belgium of western European. Group III were divided into 2 small distinct groups which comprised four diploid species. Subgroup IIIa included Pseudoroegneria stipifolia, it separated into a clade. In subgroup IIIb, Hordeum bogdanii and H. chilense composed a sister group to Lophopyrum elongatum.

The genetic relationships among the Leymus and related diploid Triticeae species were also investigated using principal coordinate analysis (PCA). PCA is a multivariate approach which is more informative regarding distances among major groups (Hauser \& Crov-
ello 1982). The first three principal coordinates accounted for $7.5086 \%, 5.4250 \%$ and $5.1540 \%$ of the total molecular variations, respectively. A three-dimensional plot showing the dispersion of the 47 accessions was displayed in Fig. 3 and the PCA separated the 47 accessions into 3 distinct groups. The closer position indicated that they had a relatively lower level of genetic variation and a closer correlativity. PCA for ISSR data showed that it supported UPGMA clustering results, meanwhile it showed more explicit relationships among the 47 test accessions with different orientations and positions.

## Discussion

ISSR marks can be effective in reflecting the relationship of Elymus species which have larger divergence, as an effective analysis method for remote Elymus species (Li et al. 2005). The high levels of polymorphism detected in this study by using ISSR, 376 molecular fragments generated by 29 ISSR primers, 368 loci ( $97.87 \%$ ) were polymorphic. This polymorphism rate was higher than that revealed by RAPD markers of 95.74 \% (Yang et al. 2008) and that detected by RAMP markers of $93.23 \%$ (Yang et al. 2006). The great quantity of polymorphic bands, together with the Nei's similarity coefficient ranging from 0.460 to 0.864 , which indicated that ISSR marks have abundant genetic diversity among Leymus species.

The present dendrogram showed interspecific relationships in the genus Leymus are partly accordance with previous studies in morphological characteristics and genome homology based on meiotic pairing and intergeneric hybrids (Tzvelev 1976; Löve 1984; Barkworth \& Atkins 1984; Sun et al. 1995; Zhi \& Teng 2005), especially could distinguish and determine the intraspecific relationship of Leymus species accurately. ITS date showed that L. hybrid, L. triticoides, L. ambiguous, $L$. salinus, and L. cinereus which distributed in North America were grouped together with some Leymus species from central Asia (Sha et al. 2008).RAPD analyzing suggested that the Leymus species from North America were scattered in different groups and clustered with those species from central Asia (Yang et al. 2008). The present study showed that $L$. ambiguus scattered in subgroup Ia, the two accessions of $L$. triticoides and L. mollis clustered in subgroup Ib, meanwhile, two accessions of $L$. cinereus, two accessions of $L$. salinus, L. hybrid and L. innovatus were relatively grouped together in subgroup Ic. These Leymus species scattered in different subclades with high statistical support, it indicated that Leymus species from North America is closely related to those from central Asia and East Asia, it showed that a very high homology existed in genomes of Leymus species from North America and Eurasia (Most of species distributed in central Asia), which suggested that the North American Leymus species might be most likely originate from recent colonization via the Bering land bridge, the genus Leymus might originate from central Asia, the North American Leymus


Fig. 3. 3D-scatter plot of Leymus and related diploid Triticeae species based on the first, second and third components of PCA.
and Eurasian Leymus species might have the same geographical origins. Based on genome in situ hybridization (GISH) and chromosome pairing, previous study found that Hystrix duthiei had the same genome constitution (NsXm) as Leymus and combined it into Leymus (Zhang et al. 2006). Both the present dendrogram and 3D-scatterplot showed that L. duthiei nested in the clade of Leymus and Psathyrostachys species. This indicated that $L$. duthiei is closely related to other Leymus species and is included in genus Leymus.

Meiotic pairing data from interspecific and intergeneric hybrids (Dewey 1984; Wang \& Jensen 1994) and molecular studies (Svitashev et al. 1998; Hole et al. 1999; Bödvarsdóttir \& Anamthawat-Jónsson 2003) had revealed that the Ns genome of Leymus (NsXm) was originated from Psathyrostachys. The Ns genome-specific RAPD markers were present in all the tested polyploid Leymus species, proving that the Ns genome in Leymus originated from the genus Psathyrostachys (Yang et al. 2011). Psa. fragilis, L. alaicus ssp. karataviensis and the two accessions of L. qinghaicus grouped together directly in subgroup Ic, suggesting a closer relationship between them. This may be related to the geographic ranges of Psa. fragilis, which is restrictly distributed in regions of central Asia. Except for $L$. condensatus, 40 accessions of Leymus clustered
with Psa. fragilis in group I, giving strong evidence that Psathyrostachys is the maternal donor (Ns genome) of Leymus species. Meanwhile, the 3D-scatterplot of PCA demonstrated that Psathyrostachys is clustered closely with Leymus species, which also definitely indicative of their close relationship.

The genome constitution of Leymus was assigned NsXm, genomes $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{b}}$ (Dewey 1984; Löve 1984), $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{e}}$ (Sun et al. 1995), Ns (Anamthawat-Jónsson \& Bödvarsdóttir, 2001) and St (Shiotani 1968) have been suggested as Xm genome. The results of the genome specific RAPD data indicated that some species of Leymus had close phylogenetic relationships with the St, W and H genomes (Yang et al. 2011). In the present study, Pseudoroegneria stipifolia, Hordeum bogdanii, H. chilense and Lophopyrum elongatum were clustered in Group III with no Leymus species, whereasAustralopyrum retrofractum was clustered in Group I. PCA demonstrated the coincident conclusion with the UPGMA cluster analysis. This could be infer that Xm genome of Leymus was related to the W genome of Australopyrum and it hypothesized that W genome might serve as the diploid donor of Xm genome in Leymus.

In addition, these results from present study also proved the ISSR marker to be powerful and reliable for discriminating genotype characterization of Leymus
species. It can be an assisted method for molecular identification, assess genetic diversity and biosystematic relationships in Leymus.
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