THE PLANTS GRAZED BY RED KANGAROOS, MEGALEIA RUFA (DESMAREST), IN CENTRAL AUSTRALIA

G. M. CHIPPENDALE*

Forest Research Institute, Forestry and Timber Bureau, Canberra, A.C.T.

(Plates vi-viii)

[Read 24th April, 1968]

Synopsis

A study of the diet of red kangaroos in an area of central Australia shows that grass (75% to 99%) is the preferred fodder, with *Eragrostis setifolia* Nees being the most important single species grazed. Forbs are significantly grazed only for short periods in spring, and trees and shrubs are only grazed in small amounts with some increases in summer.

During drought grazed *Eragrostis setifolia* is higher in moisture content than ungrazed.

A pattern of grazing by kangaroos is suggested from the results obtained, but there is evidence that a larger amount of grazing takes place in gilgais than on the open plain.

INTRODUCTION

Preliminary analysis of the diet of red kangaroos, Megaleia rufa (Desmarest), in central Australia indicated that green herbage, and in particular the grass Eragrostis setifolia Nees predominated (Chippendale, 1962). As Newsome (1965a, b, c; 1966) has shown the supply of green herbage to be vital to the red kangaroo in central Australia, breeding, survival of young, and distribution and abundance depending on it, the plant species grazed by kangaroos in different seasons were studied in detail. The Burt Plain, an important drought refuge for kangaroos (Newsome, 1965a), about 32 miles north of Alice Springs, was chosen as a study area.

HABITAT


The Burt Plain lies between the Burt and Harry Creeks and is cut by the Stuart Highway (Fig. 1). To the east of the highway, the open grassland varies from Astrebla pectinata (Lindl.) F. Muell. ex Benth. communities which were depauperate during the investigation to small water channels and some gilgais carrying Eragrostis setifolia Nees and other grasses. To the west of the highway, the grassland is predominantly Eragrostis setifolia in and around gilgais, often mixed with Eragrostis xerophila Domin. The gilgais had a particular assemblage of other species, including Swainsona campylantha F. Muell., Psoralea cinerea Lindl., Neptunia dimorphantha Domin., Alternanthera angustifolia R.Br., Abutilon malvifolium (Benth.) J. M. Black, Centipeda thespidioides F. Muell., Marsilea exarata A.Br., and Portulaca oleracea L. Occasional deeper gilgais were almost completely dominated by Marsilea exarata. After rains, particularly in summer, annual grasses grew on the plain and in the gilgais.

* Formerly Animal Industry Branch, Northern Territory Administration, Alice Springs, N.T.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE LINNEAN SOCIETY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, VOL. 93, Part 1

The gilgais are marked depressions into which water runs after rain, and at times (Plate vi) 50 or more points of rain would be sufficient to fill a gilgai. The response of the vegetation to this water was spectacular (Plate vii), with far more growth in the gilgai than on the surrounding open plain.

Tripogon loliiformis (F. Muell.) C. E. Hubbard occurred on the higher parts of the plain after rain, and was most common in the heavy soil area of Cotton Bush, Kochia aphylla R. Br.



The grasslands were surrounded by Acacia aneura F. Muell. ex Benth. woodland with sparse Eragrostis eriopoda Benth. present, and beyond the mulga was the creek bank association with Eucalyptus camaldulensis Dehnh., Eremophila longifolia (R.Br.) F. Muell., Santalum lanceolatum R.Br., Dichanthium sericeum (R.Br.) A. Camus, and Chloris acicularis Lindl.

The rainfall on the Burt Plain, including for several months prior to sampling, is shown in Table 1.

Cattle were present in small numbers for periods of several months during the investigation.

METHODS

Samples from the stomach contents of kangaroos were taken at frequent intervals from October, 1959 to October, 1961, except for five months in 1960, the kangaroos being shot after dark, usually when feeding on the plain west of the highway.

		TA	BLE	1	
Rainfall	on	the	Burt	Plain	(points)

Year	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
1959	 8	8	155	/	190	6	5	- ·	1	85	98	53
1969	 385	78		44	58	9	12	27	61	99	58	52
1961	 88	22	+	236	-	-	-	—	-	-	9	-

The samples, approximately 20% of the stomach contents, were washed in a fine sieve, dried in the sun and stored in packets until examined. They were later examined under a binocular microscope on an extension arm, using the point frame method of Chamrad and Box (1964). A frame of crossed threads sat squarely on the dried sample which was spread evenly in a $12'' \times 10''$ enamel dish. The microscope was used to identify the fragment at each of the 100 points where threads crossed.

Artificial samples containing known percentages by weight were made up by a Technical Assistant and examined by the author who had no knowledge of the contents. Results of the test are shown in Table 2. It can be seen that the accuracy for major constituents is better than for minor constituents, and there is the possibility of missing some minor constituents.

			Qu	antities (Percenta	ge)		
	lst '	Test	2nd	Test	3rd	Test	4th	Test
Species	Actual	Point Frame	Actual	Point Frame	Actual	Point Frame	Actual	Point Frame
Eragrostis setifolia	95.0	$95 \cdot 0$	$50 \cdot 0$	$52 \cdot 0$	80.0	82.75	97.0	99.25
Enneapogon polyphyllus	$1 \cdot 0$	$3 \cdot 0$			$2 \cdot 0$	5.75		
Portulaca oleracea	$2 \cdot 0$	0.75	$10 \cdot 0$	$5 \cdot 25$	$2 \cdot 0$	0.75		
Euphorbia drummondii	0.5	0.5		<u> </u>	$2 \cdot 0$	$2 \cdot 0$	dige	an <u>ela</u>
Salsola kali	$1 \cdot 0$	0.75			$2 \cdot 0$	0.75	1.0	1
Acacia aneura	0.5		10.0	8.75	$2 \cdot 0$	0.75	1.0	0.5
Acacia victoriae	_		10.0	12.75	$2 \cdot 0$	1.5		
Tribulus terrestris			$10 \cdot 0$	$11 \cdot 0$	$\overline{2 \cdot 0}$	0.5	Lin <u>s</u> in.	<u>ne</u>
Atriplex elachophylla			$10 \cdot 0$	10.25	$\overline{2} \cdot 0$	0.5	and the state	n enante
Boerhavia diffusa	1 1 1 1 1 1				$\overline{2} \cdot 0$	2.75		
Indigofera dominii					$\frac{2}{2} \cdot 0$	1.5		

 TABLE 2

 Results of test of point frame method, using artificial samples

In using the point frame method, four estimates were made for each sample, two each by a Technical Assistant and the author, and the results for each sample averaged.

Fragments were identified by reference to a standard set of plant fragments collected on the Burt Plain, and by checking with authentic specimens in the Herbarium of the Northern Territory, Alice Springs. Owing to the difficulty of identification of grasses from minute fragments, mostly

G. M. CHIPPENDALE

less than 1.0 mm. long, scores in the point frame were given as "grass" initially, so that only a total grass percentage was obtained. Subsequently, the sample was scanned under the microscope to identify the grass species present and to assess relative dominance, but percentages for individual species were not obtained. Grasses were sometimes identified by portions of spikelets or spikes in the sample, and these in turn were related to leaf or stem fragments associated in same sample. This information provided a guide for identification in those samples in which leaves only were present. When dealing with *Eragrostis* species, which were often present as leaf fragments only, a means of separating these was sought. Examination of the hairs on the inside of the convolute leaves was satisfactory; the angle and arrangement of these hairs varied slightly in *E. setifolia*, *E. xerophila* and *E. eriopoda* (Plate VIII), these being the main species available. Test determination of fragments from herbarium specimens showed about 90% positive accuracy, with the remainder being doubtful; there were no errors.

Fragments of leaves, stems, fruits and seeds in the samples aided the identification of forb and topfeed species, but when there was doubt, specimens were collected from the Burt Plain for comparison.

A number of kangaroo-proof exclosures each five metres square were erected on the Burt Plain; two of these are pertinent to this project, each being on a gilgai. Six transects across each exclosure were each continued for a further five metres outside the fence on two sides; periodic measurements were made of the basal length of any species on each transect. All measurements were reduced to percentage density cover.

After results were known, the moisture content in grazed and ungrazed *Eragrostis setifolia* was measured by weight from monthly samples.

RESULTS

The stomach contents of red kangaroos on the Burt Plain contained some green plant material at all times, though dried basal material predominated in drier periods. This accords reasonably with the results reported by Griffiths and Barker (1966) for Cunnamulla.

Table 3 shows that grass species dominated the diet, almost exclusively doing so during the hot months, representing from 75% to 99% of the plants eaten.

Of 189 samples, 154 contained *Eragrostis setifolia*, and of the remainder, 9 contained *Eragrostis xerophila* and *Tripogon loliiformis*, 9 more contained *Eragrostis xerophila*, 8 more contained *Tripogon loliiformis*, 1 contained *Astreba pectinata* and *Chloris acicularis*, 7 contained mainly an assemblage of annuals, and 1 contained unidentified grass species.

In dry times, such as from October, 1959 to January, 1960, *Eragrostis* setifolia and *Eragrostis xerophila* were grazed by most animals; other species found in the samples in this period were only as traces. With good summer rain, *Tripogon loliiformis* became dominant in the diet in February, 1960.

After little effective rain during the winter, *Eragrostis setifolia* and *Enneapogon polyphyllus* (Domin.) N. T. Burbidge were grazed by most animals during August and September, 1960, but some short ephemeral grasses also contributed to the diet. Moderate rain in September and October caused the diet in early November, 1960 to be mainly *Eragrostis setifolia*, *Eragrostis xerophila*, *Tripogon loliiformis* and *Enneapogon polyphyllus*. By late November and until March, 1961, only dry vegetation was available, and *Eragrostis setifolia* dominated the diet; *Eragrostis xerophila* was commonly grazed in February.

PLANTS GRAZED BY RED KANGAROOS

The quantity of grass and the species contained in samples from kangaroo stomach contents D=dominant; C=common; r=rare	Field Conditions Of Quantity Quantity Relative is is is is is in the state of Quantity Quantity Relative is	Oct. Dry basal grass 14 96 92-98 D 6 3 -1 -	Dec. Dry grass stems; water in 10 96 90–99 D C 2 4 5 $ -$	Jan. Dry basal grass; water in 7 95 90–97 D C $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Jan. Green growth in gilgais 10 99 97–99. D r $-\frac{2}{c}$ $\frac{2}{5}$ $\frac{2}{5}$ $\frac{-1}{5}$ $\frac{-1}{1}$ $\frac{-1}{2}$	Feb. Green 4 99 98–99 D $\stackrel{D}{\subset}$ $\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 2\\ r\\ r\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 2\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\$	Aug. Dry 10 75 28-99 D 2 3 2 2 2 2 3 2 2 2 3 4 1 4 1 4 r r r r r r r r r 1	Aug. Dry 10 88 73-98 D $ -$
	Sample Period	1959 13-19 Oct.	11-18 Dec.	1960 8-14 Jan.	21 Jan.	4-12 Feb.	19 Aug.	26 Aug.

TABLE 3

G. M. CHIPPENDALE

Prennial Prennial Annubic Sample Field Conditions Number Average Range of Annubics Average Avera	20	Other Species			1 01	1 01			TIT
Freid Conditions Number Number Average Average (%) Random (%) Prend (%) Annual (%) Prend (%) Prend (%) <td>12-</td> <td>snupilprisup subbr T</td> <td>11-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1 01</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	12-	snupilprisup subbr T	11-			1 01			
Freedulation Number Samples Average (%) Rate (%) Rate (%) Preemutas (%) And (%) And (%) <th< td=""><td>70</td><td>iisəlip</td><td>00</td><td>11-</td><td>111</td><td></td><td>10</td><td>11-</td><td>1 01</td></th<>	70	iisəlip	00	11-	111		10	11-	1 01
Freductions Number of Samples Average Fredictions Number Manifies Average Average (%) Heatige (%) Heat (%)	anals	supprpri	. ∞	1 01	101	က	4	11-	
Percond Total Conditions Number of Samples Average Field Conditions Relative of Samples Average Field Conditions Relative of Samples Average (%) Relative (%) Average of (%) Relative (%) Average (%) Relative (%) Relative (%) Average (%) Relative (%) Relative (%) <td>Am</td> <td>шплоугильра</td> <td>1 1 1</td> <td></td> <td>011</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>- +</td>	Am	шплоугильра	1 1 1		011				- +
Freidd Field Conditions Number of Conditions Average (%) Rate (%) Rate (%) Abundance (%) Premial (%) 6 Sept. Some green 10 35 61–97 D 1 4 2 1		шпәәрирлашәш	1 1 6	1 1 10	1.19	1 1 61	1 1 67		
Field Conditions Number of Period Average (%) Rankiev (%) Premials Premials 6 Sept. Samples (%) Quantity (%) Rankiev (%) Previous Samples Previous Premials 6 Sept. Some green 10 S5 61–97 D 1 Average sectobility Encorpogen Eventioned 5 Sept. Some green 10 97 95–99 D 1	6.8				1 1				
Sample Field Conditions Number of feeting Average ("%) Range of (%) Premiation 5 Sept. Samples Readination Samples Readination Interpretation 5 Sept. Some green 10 97 95-99 D 1 Astrobut 6 Nov. Green 10 91 84-98 D 1		$uobodpauu_{T}$	· ·				••		
Field Conditions Number of Samples Average of Samples Average (%), (%), (%), (%), (%), (%), (%), (%),	Tab	Bothriochloa	0		·	4	helpha		
Field Conditions Number and Samples Average Average (%) Range of (%) Period (%) Period (%) 5 Sept. Some green 10 97 95-99 D 1 1 5 Sept. Some green 10 97 95-99 D 1 1 1 3 Nov. Green 10 97 95-99 D 1 1 1 3 Nov. Green 10 97 95-99 D 1 1 1 1 28 Nov. Drying 10 97 84-98 D 6 1	The	Astrebla					0	61	
Sample Field Conditions Number of Samples Average (%) Range of (%) Relative (%) 5 Sept. Some green 10 97 95–99 D 1 - 3 Nov. Green 10 97 95–99 D 1 - 16 Nov. Drying 10 97 95–99 D 1 - 28 Nov. Dry basal grass 10 97 95–99 D 1 - 16 Nov. Drying 10 97 95–99 D 1 - 20 Dec. Dry basal grass 10 97 95–99 D 1 - 16 Jau. Dry basal grass 10 97 84–100 D - - - 21 Feb. Dry Dry 91–99 D r - - - - 16 Jau. Dry 10 94 87–99 D 1 - - - 22 Feb. Dry Dry 91–99 D r - - - -	ials	Digitaria	01	-	1 01				-
Sample Field Conditions Number of Samples Average (%) Range of (%) Relative (%) 5 Sept. Some green 10 97 95–99 D 1 - 3 Nov. Green 10 97 95–99 D 1 - 16 Nov. Drying 10 97 95–99 D 1 - 28 Nov. Dry basal grass 10 97 95–99 D 1 - 16 Nov. Drying 10 97 95–99 D 1 - 20 Dec. Dry basal grass 10 97 95–99 D 1 - 16 Jau. Dry basal grass 10 97 84–100 D - - - 21 Feb. Dry Dry 91–99 D r - - - - 16 Jau. Dry 10 94 87–99 D 1 - - - 22 Feb. Dry Dry 91–99 D r - - - -	enn	Chloris	- 4	1 01	-	-	-	-	-
Sample Field ConditionsNumber of antity SamplesAverage Quantity (%)Range of Quantity (%)Range of Quantity (%)Relative activition5Sept.Some green109795-99D113Nov.Green109795-99D1113Nov.Drying109795-99D11116Nov.Drying109285-97D21120Dec.Dry109285-97D21116Jau.Dry109487-99D11116Jau.Dry109691-99D11122Feb.Dry109691-99D11117Dry109691-99D111118Jau.Dry109691-99D111122Feb.Dry109691-99D1111119109691-99DD1111111111111111111111111111111111111<	Pei	nopodirT nopodirT	9	∞	0	01	1 ~	-	00
Sample Field Conditions Number of conference Average Range of Quantity Relative (%) 5 Sept. Some green 10 85 61-97 D 3 Nov. Green 10 97 95-99 D I 16 Nov. Drybasal grass 10 97 95-99 D I 20 Dec. Dry basal grass 10 97 84-98 D I 20 Dec. Dry basal grass 10 97 84-100 D I 21 Dec. Dry Dry 94 87-99 D I 22 Feb. Dry Dry 10 96 91-99 D I	# 'S	pyydolax		9	9	က	-	10	∞
Sample Field ConditionsNumber of QuantityAverage QuantityRange of Quantity5 Sept.Some green108561-97D5 Sept.Some green109795-99D3 Nov.Green109184-98D16 Nov.Drying109184-98D28 Nov.Dry basal grass109184-98D20 Dec.DryDry9585-97D16 Jau.Dry9784-100CT21 Feb.Dry109784-100D22 Feb.DryDry109691-99C22 Feb.DryDry109691-99D22 Feb.DryDry109691-99D22 Feb.DryDry109691-99D21 Feb.DryDry109691-99D22 Feb.DryDry109691-99D23 Feb.DryDry109691-99D24 Feb.DryDry109691-99D27 Feb.DryDry109691-99D	18	priofitas	0 1	1 6	∞	00 10	1	9	c1 00
Sample Field ConditionsField ConditionsNumber of of (%)Average (%)Range of (%)5 Sept.Some green108561-975 Sept.Some green109795-993 Nov.Green109785-9716 Nov.Drying109785-9928 Nov.Dry basal grass109285-9729 Dec.DryDry109784-10020 Dec.DryDry109487-9916 Jau.DryDry109487-9922 Feb.DryDry109691-99		Pine and the second sec	a spectrales	115	ing in	ned -la	12age	gigounte	n solar
Sample Field ConditionsField ConditionsNumber of of (%)Average (%)Range of (%)5 Sept.Some green108561-975 Sept.Some green109795-993 Nov.Green109785-9716 Nov.Drying109785-9928 Nov.Dry basal grass109285-9729 Dec.DryDry109784-10020 Dec.DryDry109487-9916 Jau.DryDry109487-9922 Feb.DryDry109691-99		unda	AUL	AO H	AUL	AUL	AUH	AUN	ADI
Sample Field ConditionsNumber of complex SamplesAverage Average (%)5 Sept.Some green10855 Sept.Some green10973 Nov.Green109716 Nov.Drying109228 Nov.Dry basal grass109229 Deo.DryDry109416 Jau.DryDry109420 Deo.DryDry109420 Feb.DryDry109420 Feb.DryDry1094			notestore	74. a.p.	VERIO	ie Ros	inte sejore	\$8.00	
Sample Field ConditionsNumber of complex SamplesAverage Average (%)5 Sept.Some green10855 Sept.Some green10973 Nov.Green109716 Nov.Drying109228 Nov.Dry basal grass109229 Deo.DryDry109416 Jau.DryDry109420 Deo.DryDry109420 Feb.DryDry109420 Feb.DryDry1094		nge of antity (%)	-97	66	-98	- 61	-100	- 66	66-
Sample SempleField ConditionsNumber of Samples5 Sept.Some green105 Sept.Some green103 Nov.Green1016 Nov.Drying1028 Nov.Dry basal grass1020 Dec.DryDry1016 Jan.DryDry1022 Feb.DryDry10	n ng h i nb		61	95	84	85	84	87	91
Sample SempleField ConditionsNumber of Samples5 Sept.Some green105 Sept.Some green103 Nov.Green1016 Nov.Drying1028 Nov.Dry basal grass1020 Dec.DryDry1016 Jan.DryDry1022 Feb.DryDry10		age ttity 5)		-					
Sample SempleField ConditionsNumber of Samples5 Sept.Some green105 Sept.Some green103 Nov.Green1016 Nov.Drying1028 Nov.Dry basal grass1020 Dec.DryDry1016 Jan.DryDry1022 Feb.DryDry10		Aver Quan (%)	86	6	6	626	6	6	96
Sample Field Conditions 6 Sept. Some green 3 Nov. Green 3 Nov. Green 3 Nov. Green 28 Nov. Dry basal grass 20 Dec. Dry 16 Jau. Dry				tters a	longije. Nagrada				
Sample Field Conditions 6 Sept. Some green 3 Nov. Green 3 Nov. Green 3 Nov. Green 28 Nov. Dry basal grass 20 Dec. Dry 16 Jau. Dry		of mpl	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Sample Period 5 Sept. Some 3 Nov. Green 16 Nov. Dry 28 Nov. Dry 16 Jau. Dry 16 Jau. Dry 22 Feb. Dry		Nu Sa	ctated p	POZ ANI	10108 IN			S. CS.C.	
Sample Period 5 Sept. Some 3 Nov. Green 16 Nov. Dry 28 Nov. Dry 16 Jau. Dry 16 Jau. Dry 22 Feb. Dry		elli gives the j	mentage	gram	l'emp	101 - 1100	- Nervine	s here and	and Bri
Sample Period 5 Sept. Some 3 Nov. Green 16 Nov. Dry 28 Nov. Dry 16 Jau. Dry 16 Jau. Dry 22 Feb. Dry		sions		001364					
Sample Period 5 Sept. Some 3 Nov. Green 16 Nov. Dry 28 Nov. Dry 16 Jau. Dry 16 Jau. Dry 22 Feb. Dry	J.	ndit	to of the	ani av	gable	BISS	the bit	a second	B
Sample Period 5 Sept. Some 3 Nov. Green 16 Nov. Dry 28 Nov. Dry 16 Jau. Dry 16 Jau. Dry 22 Feb. Dry		ų Č	en			l gr			
Sample Period 5 Sept. 3 Nov. 16 Nov. 28 Nov. 20 Dec. 16 Jan.		Fiel	gre	d	80	basa	100 1		and a
Sample Period 5 Sept. 3 Nov. 16 Nov. 28 Nov. 20 Dec. 16 Jan.			Some	Gree	Dryi	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
5 1 5 5 7 1 × 2			pratinide (Margade 1					
5 1 5 5 7 1 × 2		ple	Sept	Nov.	Nov.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.
		Sam Peri	01	60	16]	28	20]	16	22
96 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1960			l a		1961	

The quantity of grass and the species contained in samples from kangaroo stomach contents-Continued TABLE 3-Continued

103

PLANTS GRAZED BY RED KANGAROOS

104

Following the good rain in early April, 1961, Tripogon loliiformis predominated in the diet, with Eragrostis setifolia. In May, 1961 with no further rain, annual grass species contributed most to the diet, Dactyloctenium radulans (R.Br.) Beauv., Tragus australianus S. T. Blake and Brachiaria gilesii (Benth.) Chase predominating, with Iseilema membranaceum (Lindl.) Domin. and Enneapogon polyphyllus; seeding heads were common in the samples at this time and Eragrostis setifolia and Tripogon loliiformis were grazed by fewer animals. In June to August, 1961, Tripogon loliiformis and Eragrostis setifolia were grazed by almost all animals with annual species being grazed by slightly fewer animals. In October, 1961, the indication is that Eragrostis setifolia had again become the main grass in the diet, supported with some annual material.

Table 4 shows that forb species were mostly grazed in small percentages and that the total forbs in the diet only exceeded 10% for short periods. *Calotis hispidula* F. Muell., *Marsilea exarata* and *Portulaca oleracea* were the most consistently recorded annual forbs, and *Helipterum floribundum* DC. tended to become important during the months of August and September. Several other species increased from trace amounts to at least 1% of the diet for brief periods of from one month to several months, and these were *Helipterum charsleyae* F. Muell. (included as "other Compositae") in August, September and October, *Psoralea cinerea* in September, 1960 and March, 1961, *Alternanthera angustifolia* in January to March, 1961. A number of other forbs were consistently recorded as being grazed in trace amounts usually by less than half of the animals sampled at any period, and these were *Euphorbia drummondii* Boiss., *Neptunia dimorphantha*, *Indigofera dominii* Hj. Eichler, *Abutilon malvifolium*, and various *Bassia* species. Other forbs were grazed in trace amounts during isolated periods by few animals.

Table 5 shows that woody species rarely comprised more than 1% of the diet and then only for brief periods. Acacia aneura was the predominant tree or shrub species grazed, being recorded in almost all months, but only grazed by about half of the animals. When the amount of this species in the diet increased from trace amounts to 3% in January, 1960 and to 2% in March, 1961, the number of animals grazing the tree also increased, as did the number of tree species being grazed. Acacia tetragonophylla F. Muell. was 6% of the diet in October, 1961, after a long rainless period. Acacia sessiliceps F. Muell. was grazed as 1% in January, 1961 and 2% in March, 1961 (listed under other species). Other woody species were recorded as trace amounts by few animals or in isolated periods.

Table 6 gives the percentage ground cover in and around two exclosures, and it can be assumed that the cover inside would represent the available fodder on the plain with no grazing while the cover outside reflects grazing as well as an indication of actual available fodder on the plain. Table 6 considered with Tables 3–5 shows that on 16th November, 1960, there were more forbs than *Eragrostis* spp. on the Burt Plain; yet grass comprised 91% of the kangaroos' diet. The diet remained about the same on 29th November, 1960, with available forbs now less than the grass. Grazing of forbs and topfeed was increased on 29th March, 1961, when *Eragrostis setifolia* had been markedly reduced outside the exclosure. Good rains from 10th to 19th April, 1961, resulted in about equal amounts of forbs and grass being available inside, but herbage was less than half the amount of grass outside; the diet at 27th April, 1961 was 99:5% grass with *Eragrostis setifolia* and *Tripogon loliiformis* dominating. Forbs were again more plentiful than grass on 26th May, 1961, but grass was still 99% of the diet, with the annual grasses

PLANTS GRAZED BY RED KANGAROOS

seiseq Tedies	11	x(1) 	x(1) x	1(3) 1(3) 1(3)	6
.qqs pidooX			x/1 x/1 	<mark> x</mark>	ier rol
.qqs xəlqintk	×	Dese co	X X I X I I		ũ
Other Leguminosae	x/3	x/2	x/2		2
.qqs <i>binsbood</i>	x/1 x/1	x/1 - x/1	x/1 x/1 x/1 x/3 x/3	x/1 	12
$\cdot dds \ unuppos$		x/2	x/3 x/1	x/2 x/3 x/1 x/1 x/1	15
.qqs $suludirT$	x/5	x/1 -	2/2 x/1 x/1 x/1		13
.qqs $bbis$	x/1	x/1	x/1 x/1 x/1 	x/5	13
iipnommurb bidrofquA	x/3 x/3	x/l	x/1 x/2 x/2 x/1 x/1	x/1	13
Psoralea cinerea			4/3	x/4 x/7 3/8 3/8 1 x/3	25
інтітор інтітор			x/4 x/3 x/5 x/2 x/2 x/2	x/3 x/2 x/3 x/3 x/3 x/1	25
Boerhavia Boerhavia			x/1 	x/1 x/1 x/1 x/1 x/1 x/2 x/2 x/2	13
.qqs <i>bissp</i> A	x/5 x/3	x/1	x/3 x/3 x/1 x/1 x/1	×/1	Elchler
Other Compositae	x/6 x/3	x/1	x/3 3/8 1 x/1	x/1 4 3	30
nolitudA muilofivlam			x/2 x/3 x/2 x/7 x/7	-	42
Alternanthera bilolitsupnp		x/2	x/3 x/3 x/2 x/3	$\frac{1}{1/6}$ $\frac{1}$	20
muibiq9.1 muibid9.1		 x/5	x/3 x/5 x/5 x/1 x/1	x/1 x/1 x/1 x/1 x/1 x/1	an word
dimorphantha Neptunia	x/7 x/2	x/1 x/1 x/1) x/2 x/1 x/3 x/6 x/6 x/2	x/5 1/4 1/4 1/4 x/1 x/1 x/1 x/2	- I Court
murstqilsH	x/8	23/10	7/10 4/10 ×/2	x/2 x/1	52
marsilea Maraida exarata	1/7 x/5		x/6 x/3 x/2 x/2 x/3 2/6 2/6	x/5 1/7 1/7 1/7 1/7 1/7 1/7 x/1 x/1 x/1 x/1	67
Sitolia Dalotis	$\frac{x/14}{2/10}$		1/10 1/8 1/8 x/4 x/3 x/2	x/3 x/2 x/5 x/4 x/4 x/2	1 2763 353
Portulaca oleracea	x/1 x/4	x/1 x/1 x/1 x/1 x/6	1/7 1/6 2/10 5/10 5/10 x/6	3/10 2/10 2/10 2/9 2/9 x/1 x/1	100000000000000000000000000000000000000
Quantity (%)	m. m.	$1 \\ 24_{4}^{2} \\ 24_{4}^{3}$	11	ひ 4 8 4 0 4 0 4 0 4 0 4 0 4 0 4 0 4 0 4 0	whiel
	inng, ou undar-				les in 189)
Number of Samples	14 10	10 14 10	101010	01 01 01 01 01 01 01 4	samp d (of
	t. 	:::: 	o v v · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	بر ش و کر بر بر کر و. 	er of
Sample Period	19 Oct. 18 Dec.		26 Aug. 5 Sept. 3 Nov. 16 Nov. 28 Nov. 20 Dec.	16 Jan. 222 Feb. 229 Mar. 227 Apr. 225 May 9 Aug. 24 Oct.	Total number of samples in which species occurred (of 189)
N H	$ \begin{array}{r} 1959 \\ 13-19 \\ 11-18 \\ 11-18 \\ 1960 \\ \end{array} $	8-14 21 4-12 19			Total
					1. 2

x=less than 1%.

The quantities of forb species identified in samples from kangaroo stomach contents

Average percentage/number of samples in which recorded

TABLE 4

•

G. M. CHIPPENDALE

now being selected by more animals. A similar situation showed on 22nd June, 1961, although the perennial grasses and some forbs were being grazed. By 24th October, 1961, after no rain for six months, negligible amounts of forbs were available on the plain and the perennial grasses were still the dominant items in the diet but forbs represented about 4% of the diet, with topfeed 7%.

Figure 2 shows the amounts of moisture in samples of grazed and ungrazed *Eragrostis setifolia* collected in and near gilgais on the Burt Plain from November, 1963 to January, 1965. Rainfall is also shown. It can be seen that during dry times the shorter grazed shoots contained more moisture than the dry ungrazed grass.

960 8–14 Jan. 21 Jan. 4–12 Feb.	Number of Samples 14 10 7 10	(%) 1 1 4	Acacia aneura 1/11 x/6	Acacia estro- phiolata x/1 x/6	Acacia tetra- gonophylla x/3	Acacia victoriae x/3	Other Species x/2
13-19 Oct. 11-18 Dec. 960 8-14 Jan. 21 Jan. 4-12 Feb.	10 7	1			x/3		x/2
11–18 Dec. 960 8–14 Jan. 21 Jan. 4–12 Feb.	10 7	1			x/3		x/2
960 8–14 Jan. 21 Jan. 4–12 Feb.	7	4	x/6	x/6			
21 Jan. 4–12 Feb.						x/1	$\mathbf{x}/2$
4-12 Feb.	10		3/6	x/3	x/3	x/1	$\mathbf{x}/2$
		$\frac{3}{4}$	x/7	$\mathbf{x}/1$	- 088		x/1
	4	314 12 14 12 12 14 14 14 14	x/4	$\mathbf{x}/1$	- 000	(BLITE	-
	10	4	x/3		x/1	U.I. JOT	-
	10	2	x/5	$\mathbf{x}/1$	1961	10	
0.17	10 10 10	2 1	x/4 x/4	676	198	. हे. ताच	
70 77	. 10	4	x/4 x/2	x/1			_
	. 10	4 1	x/6			<u></u>	_
00 T	10	4	x/2				1
961		. *					
	10	1	x/3			x/1	1/4
	10	-		—			-
	10	6	2/6	-	1/3	1/3	2/7
	10	14	x/4	-	_		-
	10^{10}		x/3	-			 x/1
0.4	10 10 10	4	x/2			A LAND	×/1
24 0 1	10	7	x/3 x/3	x/1	6/3	$\mathbf{x}/2$	A/1
24 000.	Line Tool	at la tait	A/0	A /1	0/0	A/2	St. base

TABLE 5

The quantities of woody species identified in samples from kangaroo stomach contents

x = less than 1%.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Green grass is the preferred diet of the red kangaroo in central Australia.

Within a month, possibly within several weeks, of effective rain at any time, the diet is almost exclusively grass. Observations at such times have shown that *Eragrostis setifolia* and *Tripogon loliiformis* have soft green regrowth, and this regrowth predominated in the stomach contents. Effective rain in autumn caused annual grasses *Tragus australianus*, *Dactyloctenium radulans*, *Iseilema membranaceum*, *Brachiaria gilesii*, and *Enneapogon polyphyllus* to be grazed by almost all of the animals sampled. These species would probably be grazed after summer rain as they respond in summer. It is notable that *Eragrostis eriopoda*, which is widely available to the kangaroos in areas of *Acacia aneura*, was not recorded as grazed. Similarly, *Astrebla pectinata* which is available on the open plain, was rarely grazed.

With little effective rain in winter, the forb content of the diet increased to its highest point of about 24% in August, 1960 and 16% in August, 1961. At these times, the rapidly growing ephemeral *Helipterum floribundum* was apparently selected, as high percentages were recorded. Perennial grasses would be in poor condition at this time.

Portulaca oleracea, a succulent annual herb, was particularly selected, although in comparatively small amounts, from November, 1960 to June, 1961. This species, and Marsilea exarata, Neptunia dimorphantha, Indigofera dominii, Psoralea cinerea, Alternanthera angustifolia and Abutilon malvifolium were usually grazed as an assemblage of plants, and as a group, comprised from 1% to about 9% of the diet. As these grow in the gilgais with Eragrostis setifolia, it indicates that most grazing takes place in finite areas, the gilgais.

	Ergarosti	s setifolia		Other Species, pre-			
Date –	Liugiosii	s serijora	uommanu	dominantly Forbs			
	Inside	Outside	Inside	Outside			
16.11.1960	4.3	2.7	7.6	7.6			
29.11.1960	8.6	$6 \cdot 4$	7.8	$5 \cdot 0$			
20.12.1960	9.8	4.7	$20 \cdot 1$	3.5			
16. 1.1961	$9 \cdot 2$	$4 \cdot 6$	7.5	1.3			
22. 2.1961	13.1	$5 \cdot 5$	20.6	3.6			
29. 3.1961	9.3	$2 \cdot 3$	3.2	$0\cdot 2$			
27. 4.1961	9.9	9.9	9.4	4.4			
26. 5.1961	$12 \cdot 1$	8.0	21.4	$9 \cdot 2$			
22. 6.1961	$16 \cdot 1$	7.4	$21 \cdot 0$	$4 \cdot 9$			
9. 8.1961	$12 \cdot 2$	3.9	9.5	0.7			
5. 9.1961	12.6	$3 \cdot 2$	$5 \cdot 6$	0.4			
17.10.1961	11.4	3.8	3.8	$0\cdot 2$			
27.11.1961	8.3	$2 \cdot 0$	$2 \cdot 1$	0.0			

TABLE 6

Percentage cover in two exclosures on the Burt Plain

The extra water which runs into gilgais makes the grass more succulent, and the moisture content of short shoots of *Eragrostis setifolia* which are grazed ranges from 10% to 20% higher than that of taller ungrazed material of the same species. Although water content was studied several years after the main project, it is likely that similar conditions applied during the project period.

Browse species are unimportant in the diet, for the main woody species eaten, Mulga Acacia aneura, only comprised 2% to 3% of the diet during January to March when extra roughage may be sought to balance the soft grass after rain; it was grazed much less at other times. Other browse species were mainly represented as traces. These small amounts would seem consistent with small sporadic grazing in the Acacia aneura scrub where the animals mainly rest during the day.

It is concluded that the short green shoots of the perennial grass Neverfail, *Eragrostis setifolia*, in gilgais, was preferred by kangaroos on the Burt Plain as the bulk of fodder all through the year. *Tripogon loliiformis*, which is a perennial with a short growth period and is indicatively known as Five Minute Grass, was also favoured in periods immediately after rain, and probably as long as green leaf shoots are present. In late winter or early spring, some annual herbage was mixed with the perennial grasses, and in summer the animals select *Portulaca oleracea* with smaller quantities of other annual herbs growing in gilgais. Several annual grasses are selected during summer, and in mid-summer after rain small amounts of topfeed, notably *Acacia aneura*, were eaten. Annual grasses again contributed during autumn.

From this pattern, and from observations, the kangaroo may not seriously affect natural pastures, but certainly grazes the basal portions of perennial grasses in dry times, and in a long drought, this could be serious. Trees are rarely grazed, and no traces of bark or wood were seen in the samples. Field observations confirm that the animals eat the soft regrowth of perennial




Fig. 2. Moisture contents of samples of *Eragrostis setifolia*: — grazed, in gilgais; ----- not grazed, near gilgais.

grasses in gilgais and also graze to a lesser degree other plants which may be green. Similarly, tall grasses are not grazed; it was common to see mature stands of *Eragrostis* spp. on the open plain as ungrazed, while at the same time, tracks, faecal pellets, and signs of grazing in gilgais were common. An explanation seems to be that more or less constant grazing in the gilgais kept the grasses actively growing, and it seems possible that the congregation of faecal matter at these points together with the run-on effect of rainfall may give added recovery power to the grass.

As the perennial grass *Eragrostis setifolia* is the most important single species in the diet of the kangaroo in central Australia, it is possible that this grass in gilgais, and the red kangaroo, may at times form an interdependent relationship.

Acknowledgements

I acknowledge gratefully the great amount of work done in this project by Mr. D. J. Nelson, Technical Officer, Animal Industry Branch, Northern Territory Administration, Alice Springs, N.T. Mr. Nelson shot the kangaroos, prepared the samples, made some examinations with the point frame, and also erected the exclosures; he also constructed the point frame and offered suggestions on the manuscript. I am grateful to Mr. R. Swinbourne, Botanic Garden, Adelaide, South Australia, formerly of the Animal Industry Branch at Alice Springs, for assistance in the field and in the herbarium. The determinations of moisture content in Fig. 2 were made by Dr. B. Seibert, Chemist, of the Animal Industry Branch, Alice Springs. Figures 1 and 2 were finally drawn by Miss Marjorie Hall, Forest Research Institute, Forestry and Timber Bureau, Canberra, A.C.T., from draft figures prepared by Mr. Nelson. Plates vI-VII were taken by the author, and Mr. A. Edwards of the Forest Research Institute, Canberra, took Plate VIII.

I appreciated criticism of my manuscript by Dr. A. E. Newsome, Division of Wildlife, C.S.I.R.O., Canberra, A.C.T.

References

CHAMRAD, A. D., and Box, T. W., 1964.—A point frame for sampling rumen contents. J. wildl. Mgmt., 28: 473-477.

CHIPPENDALE, G. M., 1962.—Botanical examination of kangaroo stomach contents and cattle rumen contents. Aust. J. Sci., 25: 21-2.

GRIFFITHS, M., and BARKER, R., 1966.—The plants eaten by sheep and by kangaroos grazing together in a paddock in south-western Queensland. C.S.I.R.O. wildl. Res., 11: 145-67.

NEWSOME, A. E., 1965a.—The abundance of red kangaroos, Megaleia rufa (Desmarest), in central Australia. Aust. J. Zool., 13: 269-87.

—, 1965b.—The distribution of red kangaroos, Megaleia rufa (Desmarest), about sources of persistent food and water in central Australia. Aust. J. Zool., 13: 289-99.
 —, 1965c.—Reproduction in natural populations of the red kangaroo, Megaleia rufa (Desmarest), in central Australia. Aust. J. Zool., 13: 735-59.

rufa (Desmarest), in central Australia. *Aust. J. Zool.*, 13: 735-59. ——, 1966.—The influence of food on breeding in the red kangaroo in central Australia. *C.S.I.R.O. wildl. Res.*, 11: 187-96.

EXPLANATION OF PLATES

PLATE VI. An exclosure on a gilgai on the Burt Plain, central Australia, 19th April, 1961.

PLATE VII. The same exclosure and gilgai, 27th April, 1961.

PLATE VIII. Patterns of hairs on inner surface of the convolute leaves of: Top left, Eragrostis xerophila from herbarium specimen R. Winkworth 617, right NT313; Centre left, Eragrostis setifolia from NT9011, right NT9012; Bottom left, Eragrostis eriopoda from M. Lazarides 5189, right N. Burbidge and M. Gray 4544.



Chippendale, G M. 1968. "The plants grazed by red kangaroos, Megaleia rufa (Desmarest), in central Australia." *Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales* 93, 98–110.

View This Item Online: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/108667</u> Permalink: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/47918</u>

Holding Institution MBLWHOI Library

Sponsored by Boston Library Consortium Member Libraries

Copyright & Reuse Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder. License: <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/</u> Rights: <u>https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions</u>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.