Preliminary evaluation of the phylogenetic position of *Trigonella* griffithii (Fabaceae): a newly recorded species to the flora of India

Mittal K.¹, Dangi R.^{1*}, Mallik A.H.², Ingle P.³ & F.A. Shah⁴

¹Bharati Vidyapeeth Deemed to be University Rajiv Gandhi Institute of Information Technology and Biotechnology, Pune-Satara Road, Katraj-Dhankawadi, Pune, Maharashtra – 411 046, India

²Centre for Biodiversity and Taxonomy, Department of Botany, University of Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu & Kashmir – 190 006, India ³Botanical Survey of India, Western Regional Centre, 7, Koregaon Park Road, Western Regional Centre Sangamvadi, Pune,

Maharashtra - 411 001, India

⁴Govt. Degree College for Women, Anantnag, Jammu & Kashmir – 192 101, India

*E-mail: rakhee.dangi@bharatividyapeeth.edu

Abstract: Trigonella griffithii Boiss. from Jammu and Kashmir (India) is described here as an addition to the flora of India. The species shows morphological resemblances with T. cachemiriana Camb., T. emodi Benth., T. emodi Benth. var. fimbriata (Royle ex Benth.) Širj., and T. gracilis Benth. of Trigonella sect. Ellipticae but differs in key morphological characters related to legumes and stipules. Along with morphological characters the species is supported as a member of sect. Ellipticae in a preliminary molecular phylogenetic analysis based on four out of c. 60 species of the section included, using the nuclear ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacers (ITS) and plastid *trnL-trnF* + *psbE-petL* regions. Several morphological characters not indicated in the protologue along with a correction of the inaccurately given number of flowers in inflorescences are also discussed.

HEEL

Journal of the Indian Association for Angiosperm Taxonomy

Keywords: *Ellipticae,* Northwest Himalayas, Perennial, Plant record, *Trigonella.*

Introduction

The genus *Trigonella* L. (Fabaceae: Faboideae: Trifolieae) with *c*. 106 species contains small shrubs and herbs with most annual species found around the Mediterranean region, while all perennial species are endemic to central and west Asia (Mabberley, 2017; Andrella *et al.*, 2022). In India, the genus is represented by 15 taxa belonging to sect. *Falcatulae* Boiss., sect. *Foenum-graecum* Širj. and sect. *Ellipticae* Boiss. (Širjaev, 1928–1932; Balodi & Rao, 1991; Sanjappa, 1992; Mittal *et al.*, 2020). Species belonging to sect. *Ellipticae* are perennial xerophytes preferring mountainous regions with dry and windy

Received: 19.04.2022; Revised & Accepted: 22.06.2023 Published Online: 30.06.2023 conditions and do not form dense populations (Širjaev, 1928–1932; Ranjbar *et al.*, 2014; Sharghi *et al.*, 2021). This section includes *c*. 60 species and in India is represented by five taxa (*T. emodi* Benth, *T. cachemiriana* Camb., *T. emodi* Benth. var. *fimbriata* (Royal ex Benth.) Širj., *T. podperae* (Širj.) Vass. and *T. gracilis* Benth.) largely distributed in the northwest Himalayan region (Balodi & Rao, 1991; Sanjappa, 1992; Aghaahmadi *et al.*, 2015; Chen *et al.*, 2021).

During our revision of Trigonella sect. Ellipticae from India, the authors located a population of *Trigonella* growing at approximately 1500 m asl., near the Manasbal lake area in Ganderbal district of Jammu and Kashmir. A critical morphological examination revealed that the specimen collected belonged to sect. Ellipticae (Širjaev, 1928–1932; Ranjbar et al., 2012). A detailed morphological comparison with all species of sect. *Ellipticae*, study of protologues, type specimens, and herbarium specimens housed at AHMA, BSA, BSD, BSI, CAL, DD, KASH, and several online herbaria (https://jstor.org.) identified it as T. griffithii Boiss. Sanjappa (1992) did not include T. griffithii in his checklist of legumes of India. Prior to the present collection, T. griffithii was collected by Bhattacharryya from Himachal Pradesh (Losar) in 1972 (CAL0000214436!). However, the specimen was poorly preserved, with immature fruits, without official documentation and further evidence of its survival, until it was rediscovered by the authors in 2018. In the present work, T. griffithii is reported new to the Indian flora and its preliminary phylogenetic affinities with allied species of sect. *Ellipticae* in India are discussed.

Materials and Methods

Materials and morphology: Field trips for the collection of Trigonella species belonging to sect. Ellipticae from the northwest Himalayan region of India were conducted from 2018 to 2022. During these field visits specimens of T. cachemiriana (one accession from Ladakh, BSI141562 and two accessions, BSI141702 and BSI141703, from Jammu and Kashmir respectively), T. emodi (one accession BSI141603 from Jammu and Kashmir), T. emodi var. fimbriata (one accession BSI141563 from Himachal Pradesh) and T. gracilis (one accession BSI141605 from Jammu and Kashmir) along with T. griffithii (one accession BSI141599 from Jammu and Kashmir) were collected from their natural habitats. For all sampled taxa, species identification was carried out by consulting the protologues, the relevant taxonomic literature (Royle, 1839; Cambessèdes, 1844; Boissier, 1872; Baker. 1879; Širjaev, 1928–1932; Ali, 1967; Stewart, 1972; Balodi & Rao, 1991) and digital images of specimens housed at BSI, CAL, K and P. Voucher specimens of all collected taxa were deposited in the Herbarium of the Botanical Survey of India (BSI) Western Circle, Pune, India (Table 1). Despite repeated efforts, T. podperae could not be sampled. Observation and measurements of floral and vegetative characters of T. cachemiriana, T. emodi, T. emodi var. fimbriata, T. gracilis and T. griffithii were performed using a SZ61 stereo zoom microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). Photographs were taken using a DP27 camera (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) with macro settings. Photo plates were prepared using Adobe Photoshop (Adobe. Inc., San Jose, California, USA).

Ingroup and outgroup sampling for phylogenetic study: For phylogenetic analyses, in addition to *T. cachemiriana, T. emodi, T. emodi* var. fimbriata, *T. gracilis* and *T. griffithii* sampled from the Indian floristic regions, germplasm of 18 *Trigonella* species were procured from the United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, The Western Regional Plant Introduction Station, South Australian Research and Development Institute's (SARDI) Australian Medicago Genetic Resource Centre (AMGRC) South Australia and the Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK), Germany through the National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources (NBPGR) New Delhi, India (Table 1). The ingroup sampling included almost 25% of species in *Trigonella* with representatives from all 12 sections described in the genus (Širjaev, 1928–1932; Small, 1987). Based on a previous phylogenetic analysis (Choi *et al.*, 2022), four *Medicago* L. and two *Trifolium* L. species were used as outgroups and the phylogenetic trees were rooted on *Trifolium* species. Of the four *Medicago* species used, the germplasms of *M. plicata* (Boiss.) Širj. and *M. brachycarpa* Fisch. ex M. Bieb. were procured from SARDI (Table 1).

DNA extraction, PCR amplification and amplicon sequencing: For T. cachemiriana (three accessions), T. emodi, T. emodi var. fimbriata, T. gracilis and T. griffithii, DNA extraction was done from fieldcollected and silica gel preserved leaf tissue. The germplasms (seeds) of the other ingroup and two outgroup species were grown in pots to obtain fresh young leaves for DNA extraction. For all included taxa (25 taxa from Trigonella and two species from Medicago) DNA extraction was performed as described previously (Dangi, 2013).

PCR amplification of the entire nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer region (ITS, including ITS1, 5.8S nrDNA gene and ITS2) was performed as described by Dangi (2013) for T. cachemiriana (three accessions), T. corniculata L., T. emodi, T. emodi var. fimbriata, T. gracilis, T. griffithii, T. rechingeri Širj. and T. spinosum L. PCR amplification for the plastid trnL-trnF region was done for T. cachemiriana (three accessions), T. corniculata., T. emodi, T. emodi var. fimbriata, T. gracilis, T. griffithii, and T. rechingeri as described previously (Dangi, 2013). For 25 taxa from Trigonella and two species from Medicago, PCR amplification of the *psbE-petL* intergenic spacer was performed using the primers petL (5' AGTAGAAAACCGAAATAACTAGTTA3') and psbE(5'TATCGAATACTGGTAATAATATCAGC 3') of Shaw et al. (2007). The thermal cycling conditions for PCR amplification of the *psbE-petL* region were as follows: initial denaturation of 3 min at 94° C, 35 cycles of 30 s denaturation (94° C), 45 s annealing (58° C), 1 min 30 s elongation (72° C) followed by final extension of 5 min at 72° C.

PCR products were purified with the BioEra's gel purification kit according to the manufacturer's protocol and sequenced at 1st Base Labs., Seri Kembangan 43300, Selangor, Malaysia using Sanger ABI technology. Chromatograms for forward and reverse sequences were assembled and edited using Chromas Lite v.2.6.6. (Technelysium, 1998–2018). A total of 46 new sequences were generated and submitted to GenBank (Table 1). For the remaining ingroup and outgroup taxa ITS (for 15 *Trigonella*, two *Trifolium* and four *Medicago* species) and *trnL-trnF* sequences (for 14 *Trigonella*, two *Trifolium* and four *Medicago* species) were sourced from GenBank (Table 1). Complete chloroplast genomes of *Medicago sativa* L., *M. lupulina* L., *Trifolium repens* L. and *T. pratense* L. were downloaded from GenBank to retrieve the *trnL-trnF* and/or *psbE-petL* intron/intergenic spacer sequences (Table 1).

Phylogenetic analyses: Sequences were aligned using MUSCLE implemented in MEGA X v.10.1.8 (Kumar et al., 2018) and adjusted manually. The best-fitting partitioning scheme and models for evolution of nuclear, chloroplast as well as concatenated (ITS + *trn*L-*trn*F + *psb*E-*pet*L) sequences were selected using PartitionFinder2 (Lanfear et al., 2017). For the ITS region, the data were partitioned into ITS1, 5.8S and ITS2 and for *trnL-trnF* + *psbE-petL* into coding region, intron and intergenic spacer. Maximum likelihood (ML) analyses were performed on separate nuclear, plastid and concatenated nuclear and plastid sequences using the bootstrap option and models determined by Partitionfinder2 in RAxMLGUI 2.0 with 1000 bootstrap replicates for branch support estimation (Edler *et al.*, 2021). Bayesian Inference (BI) under the respective best-fit models were conducted on individual and concatenated nuclear and plastid datasets using MrBayes v.3.2.7 (Ronquist et al., 2012). The bestfit models of sequence evolution for the Bayesian inference analyses were chosen using the Akaike information criterion (AIC), calculated with Modeltest 3.7 (Posada & Crandall, 1998). These models (for ITS TrNef+G, for trnL-trnF + psbE*petL* K81uf+G, for ITS + *trnL-trnF* + *psbE-petL* GTR+I+G) were applied to their respective partitions in separate and combined analyses. BI analyses consisted of two independent analyses of two parallel runs and four chains for 30,00,000 generations by sampling every 500 generations until convergence. Convergence was determined when the standard deviation of split frequency was below 0.01. The first 25% of sampled trees was discarded as burn-in, and the rest were used to calculate posterior probabilities (PP). The

output parameters were inspected in Tracer v.1.6 (Rambaut, *et al.*, 2018) to assess convergence and that all ESS values were above 1000.

Results

Sequence alignments: For this study, 10 ITS, 9 *trnLtrn*F, and 27 *psbE-petL* sequences were newly generated (Table 1). For the ITS region, the length varied from 707 to 730 bp (including the outgroups) with a mean GC content of 49.08%. The *trnL-trn*F region with a mean GC content of 34.56% varied in length from 604 to 804 bp while the *psbE-petL* region with a mean GC content of 30.61% varied in length from 661 to 1058 bp. With 31 taxa, the combined nuclear and plastid matrix (ITS + *trnLtrn*F + *psbE-petL*) had a total length of 2881 bp of which 2245 were constant, 370 were variable but parsimony uninformative and 266 were parsimony informative.

Phylogenetic analyses: The topology of the maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI) trees of combined ITS + trnL-trnF + *psbE-petL* datasets were identical and the tree generated with RAxMLGUI is presented as Fig. 1. The tree indicated that all species of Trigonella included in the present study originated from a common ancestor and diverged into two major clades representing all 12 sections within the genus. Trigonella griffithii was placed with other allied species of sect. Ellipticae (T. cachemiriana, T. emodi, T. emodi var. fimbriata and T. gracilis; BP=100%, PP=1) in the phylogeny (Fig. 1). The ML and BI trees generated by separate ITS and trnL-trnF + psbE-petL datasets supported this placement (data not shown). In sect. Ellipticae, the three accessions of T. cachemiriana formed a strongly supported clade (BP=96%, PP=1) sister to the clade containing T. emodi, T. emodi var. fimbriata and T. gracilis (BP=90%, PP=1) with T. griffithii on the first diverging branch (Fig. 1). Species of this clade (sect. *Ellipticae*) share key morphological characters such as an erect to ascending shrubby habit, considerably hardened, thicker, sparsely appressed hairy stem, glabrous leaves, yellow flowers, transversely veined short straight or slightly bent pods and smooth seeds. In addition to these characters, T. griffithii is similar to T. cachemiriana in its floral features (keel petal longer than wing petal). It differs from T. cachemiriana in legume apex (acute vs. obtuse) and

Table 1. Ingroup (*Trigonella*) and outgroup (*Medicago* and *Trifolium*) samples, accession/voucher numbers and GenBank numbers for ITS, *trnL-trnF* and *psbE-petL* sequences

Species	^a EC/ ^b VN	GenBank accession numbers		
		ITS	trnL-F	psbE-petL
<i>Trigonella balansae</i> Boiss. et Reut.	546586	JX274132	JX274188	ON228107
T. cachemiriana Cambess.	BSI141562	ON053318	ON131009	ON228122
T. cachemiriana Cambess.	BSI141702	ON053221	ON131013	ON228126
T. cachemiriana Cambess.	BSI141703	ON053223	ON131015	ON228128
T. caelesyriaca Boiss.	583565	JX274200	JX274144	ON228086
<i>T. caerulea</i> (L) Ser.	583568	JX274202	JX274146	ON228087
<i>T. calliceras</i> Fisch ex M.Bieb.	583570	JX274204	JX274148	ON228088
T. coerulescens (M.Bieb.) Halácsy	583573	JX274205	JX274149	ON228089
T. corniculata L.	829785	MW194886	MW216675	ON228108
T. cretica (L.) Boiss.	583576	JX274208	JX274152	ON228090
T. cylindracea Desv.	583578	JX274210	JX274154	ON228091
T. emodi Benth.	BSI141603	ON053315	ON131006	ON228118
T. emodi var. fimbriata Širj.	BSI141563	MW194883	MW216672	ON228121
T. filipes Boiss.	583584	JX274214	JX274158	ON228092
T. foenum-graecum L.	583590	JX274217	JX274161	ON228093
<i>T. gladiata</i> Steven ex M.Beib.	583593	JX274107	JX274164	ON228094
T. gracilis Benth.	BSI141605	ON053316	ON131007	ON228119
<i>T. grandiflora</i> Bunge	583595	JX274109	JX274166	ON228095
T. griffithii Boiss.	BSI141599	ON053325	ON131017	ON228130
T. kotschyi Boiss.	583598	JX274111	JX274168	ON228097
<i>T. mesopotamica</i> HubMor.	583605	JX274116	JX274173	ON228100
T. rechingeri Širj.	971951	MW194888	MW216677	ON228115
<i>T. spicata</i> Sm.	583616	JX274125	JX274182	ON228102
T. spinosum L.	583620	ON053308	JX274183	ON228103
T. strangulata Boiss.	583622	JX274128	JX274185	ON228105
Medicago sativa L.	na	AF053142	NC042841	NC042841
M. lupulina L.	na	DQ311980	GQ488612	NC042847
<i>M. brachycarpa</i> Fisch. ex M.Bieb.	583556	JX274196	JX274140	ON228084
M. plicata (Boiss.) Širj.	583608	JX274119	JX274176	ON228101
Trifolium pratense L.	na	DQ312138	NC047412	NC047412
Trifolium repens L.	na	KY968960	MT120812	MT120812

^a EC: Accession identity number – National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources (NBPGR), New Delhi, India.

^b VN: voucher number BSI – Herbarium submitted at Botanical Survey of India, Western Regional Centre. Pune, India. na – not applicable 68 Preliminary evaluation of the phylogenetic position of Trigonella griffithii



Fig. 1. Maximum Likelihood tree retrieved after analysing the combined ITS + *trnL-trnF* + *psbE-petL* sequence data for 25 accessions of 23 *Trigonella* L. species. The tree is rooted on *Trifolium* L. samples. Numbers above the branches indicate bootstrap percentages above 50 % (BP). Numbers below the branches indicate Bayesian posterior probabilities (PP). *Trigonella* sect. *Ellipticae* Boiss. is indicated to the right of the tree.

stipules (base more serrate *vs.* incised–dentate and apiculate Fig. 2, Table 2). *Trigonella griffithii* differs from *T. emodi, T. emodi* var. *fimbriata* and *T. gracilis* in floral features (keel petal longer than wing petal *vs.* keel petal shorter than wing petal) in addition to legume apex and stipule characteristics (Fig. 2, Table 2).

Taxonomic Treatment

Trigonella griffithii Boiss. Fl. Orient. 2: 88.1872. Type: AFGHANISTAN, s.d., W. Griffith1134 (holo G[G00330462] digital image!; iso CAL[CAL0000024620] digital image!)Fig. 2

Perennial herbs, up to 20–30 cm high. Caudex branched, erect, appressed pilose. Stipules semisagittate, 4–5 mm long, adnate to petiole, base dentate, upper part entire, sparsely pubescent. Petiole 4–7 mm long. Leaves trifoliolate, terminal leaflet 8–10 × 2–5 mm, lateral leaflets 7–9 × 3–4 mm, sub-sessile, obovate with a narrow base, margin distinctly crenulate in upper part, apex apiculate, abaxially sparsely appressed trichomes, adaxially glabrous. Inflorescence 20-25 mm long; pedicel 2–3 mm long; peduncle 20–30 mm long, longer than subtending leaf, pubescent, 7-8-flowered. Calyx 5-lobed, 3-4 mm long, persistent, campanulate; calyx teeth triangular, 1.5-2 mm long, calyx tube 2.5-3 mm long, pilose on outer surface. Corolla yellow. Standard petal 6-8 mm long, obovate, apex round, distinctly clawed, glabrous. Keel petals 6-8 mm long, apex acute, pocket absent, ± as long as standard, glabrous. Wing petals 5-6 mm long, apex rounded, shorter than keel petal, glabrous. Stamens 10, diadelphous, filament persistent. Ovary linear oblong; style shorter than the ovary, filiform, glabrous. Pods lanceolate, $10-12 \times 2-4$ mm, apex acute, stipitate, exocarp nervation parallel, oblique to longitudinal axis, upper suture



Fig. 2. a–e. *Trigonella griffithii* Boiss.: **a.** Stipule; **b.** Standard; **c.** Keel; **d.** Wing; **e.** Pod. **f–j.** *T. cachemiriana* Camb.: **f.** Stipule; **g.** Standard; **h.** Keel; **i.** Wing; **j.** Pod. **k–o.** *T. emodi Benth.*: **k.** Stipule; **I.** Standard; **m.** Keel; **n.** Wing; **o.** Pod. **p–t.** *T. gracilis* Benth.: **p.** Stipule; **q.** Standard; **r.** Keel; **s.** Wing; **t.** Pod. **u–x.** *T. emodi* Benth. var. *fimbriata* (Royal ex Benth.) Širj. : **u.** Stipule; **v.** Standard; **w.** Keel; **x.** Wing. Scale bars a-x = 200 μm. (**a–e** from *Dangi* 141599; **f–j** from *Dangi & Malik* 141702; **k–o** from *Dangi & Malik* 141603; **p–t** from *Dangi & Ingle* 141563; photos by K. Mittal)

70 Preliminary evaluation of the phylogenetic position of Trigonella griffithii

Characters	<i>T. griffithii</i> Boiss.	<i>T. cachemiriana</i> Camb.	<i>T. emodi</i> Benth.	<i>T. gracilis</i> Benth.
Plant indumentum	Appressed hairy	Appressed hairy	Appressed hairy	Glabrous
Stipule	Semi-sagittate, base serrate, upper part entire	Semi-sagittate, incised–dentate and apiculate	Semi-sagittate, base serrate, rarely entire	Lanceolate and dentate
Standard petal length	6–8 mm	6–8 mm	6–7 mm	5–5.5 mm
Keel petal length	6–8 mm	6–8 mm	4.5–5 mm	3.5–4 mm
Wing petal length	5–6 mm	5–6 mm	6–7 mm	5–5.5 mm
Legume apex	Acute	Obtuse	Obtuse	Obtuse
Seeds	Ovoid	Ovoid	Oblong–elliptic	Round–elliptic

Table 2. Morphological differences between *T. griffithii*, *T. cachemiriana*, *T. emodi* and *T. gracilis* based on sampled specimens and Širjaev (1928–1932).

carinate, 2–4 seeded. Seeds ovoid, 2–3 mm long, reddish brown.

Flowering & fruiting: Flowering from May to July and fruiting from July to August.

Habitat: Found growing on dry and stony areas.

Distribution: Native to Afghanistan, Kirgizstan, Tadzhikistan, Uzbekistan and now India.

examined: AFGHANISTAN, Specimens Daulatshah, s.loc., 31.05.1937, W. Koelz 11650 (E00336655). Ghor, Hauz-i-Mahiha, 17.07.1948, Köie & Mogens Engell 2498 (E00336656). Sirotai, 19.06.1937, W. Koelz 11963 (E00336653). INDIA, Himachal Pradesh, Losar, 26.07.1972, U.C. Bhattacharryya 49012 (CAL0000214436). Jammu and Kashmir, Manasbal Lake, N 34°14' 49", E 74°40'18", 1583 m, 10.09.2018, Dangi 141599 (BSI). PAKISTAN, Baltistan, 01.07.1892, J.F. Duthie 11733 (CAL0000214435). Other specimens examined: T. cachemiriana: INDIA, Jammu and Kashmir, Bandipora district, Gurez valley, N 34°31'31.08", E 75°17'20.4", 2864 m, 09.08.2021, Dangi 141703 (BSI); Budgam district, Doodhpathri, N 33°51'24", E 74°33' 48", 2750 m, 30.08.2021, Dangi & Malik 141702 (BSI). Ladakh, Leh-Kargil road, N 34°23'48", E 76°18'34", 3200 m, 27.07.2019, Dangi & Malik 141562 (BSI). T. gracilis: INDIA, Jammu and Kashmir, Shri Hama River glacier, N 33°41' 00", E 74°43'00",

05.08.2020, *Dangi* 141605 (BSI). *T. emodi* var. *fimbriata*: INDIA, **Himachal Pradesh**, Kullu, Rohtang viewpoint, N 32°21'54", E 77°14'30", 2050 m, 15.08.2019, *Dangi & Ingle* 141563 (BSI) *T. emodi*: Jammu and Kashmir, Shopian district, Hirpora Wildlife Sanctuary, 12 km from Shopian, N 33°41'00", E 74°43'00", 2800 m, 18.07.2020, *Dangi & Malik* 141603 (BSI).

Conservation status: According to the IUCN Red List Categories version 14 (IUCN, 2022) this taxon has been provisionally assessed here as Data Deficient (DD). Despite exhaustive and consecutive effort to various locations from 2018 to 2020, only a single population of *T. griffithii* was located.

Discussion

The genus *Trigonella* contains *c.* 106 species occurring mainly from the Mediterranean Sea to the Himalayan Mountain range (Andrella *et al.*, 2022). On the basis of morphological characters, the genus is divided into 12 sections, of which sect. *Ellipticae* with *c.* 60 species is the largest (Širjaev, 1928–1932; Aghaahmadi *et al.*, 2015). Sanjappa (1992) listed 5 species of sect. *Ellipticae* in the legume flora of India. The present study is the first report on the documentation of *T. griffithii* in the Indian flora. The placement of the species in sect. *Ellipticae* was supported by a preliminary phylogenetic analysis with approximately 25%

of species within the genus representing all 12 sections and four out of the c. 60 species (c. 7%) in the section included.

Trigonella griffithii was first described by Boissier (1872) and later by Širjaev (1928–1932) and Köie & Rechinger (1957) as a species of *Trigonella* sect. *Ellipticae*, morphologically very similar to the sympatric *T. cachemiriana* differing from it only in the legume apex and stipules (Fig. 2). It differs from other co-occurring members of sect. *Ellipticae* in India (*T. emodi*, *T. emodi* var. *fimbriata* and *T. gracilis*) majorly in its floral features (Fig. 2, Table 2).

The original description of T. griffithii mentions 1-5-flowered inflorescences. However, the second author noticed 7-8-flowered inflorescences in the sampled specimens of T. griffithii. A detailed study of high-resolution digital images of herbarium specimens of T. griffithii from CAL (CAL0000214435, CAL0000214436) and E (E00336653, E00336655, E00336656) along with the present collection revealed that the number of flowers in the inflorescence was inaccurately mentioned in the protologue. Moreover, several important morphological characters such as length of inflorescence, peduncle, pedicel, calyx tube, calyx teeth, ovary indumentum, exocarp nervation and seed morphology, documented in the present study were not fully described in the protologue.

Despite extensive searching, we were not able to locate and make new collections of another morphologically allied T. podperae for inclusion in our phylogenetic analysis. Nonetheless, detailed comparisons between T. griffithii and T. podperae based on high-resolution digital herbarium images (K000998739, CAL000214439) and taxonomic descriptions in the relevant literature (Širjaev, 1928-1932; Ali, 1967; Stewart, 1972; Balodi & Rao, 1991) revealed significant differences in the sizes of the legumes $(10-22 \times 2-4 \text{ mm } vs. 10-15 \times 10-15 \text{ mm } vs. 10-15 \text{ m$ 6–7 mm), legume apex (acute vs. obtuse) and plant indumentum (glabrous vs. hairy). Therefore, the addition of T. griffithii to India's Trigonella genetic resources is supported by its morphological and phylogenetic characteristics.

Acknowledgements

The first and second authors are thankful to the Department of Biotechnology, Government of India for providing the funding. The Director, Botanical Survey of India, Kolkata, West Bengal is thanked for providing herbarium facilities and to carry out morphological work at Western Regional Centre, Pune, MH. The second author is also thankful to the curators of the United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, The Western Regional Plant Introduction Station (USDA-ARS-WRPIS), the South Australian Research and Development Institute's (SARDI) Australian Medicago Genetic Resource Centre (AMGRC) South Australia, and the Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK), Germany, for the supply of the germplasm used in the present study.

Literature Cited

- ALI S.I. 1967. Revision of genus *Trigonella* Linn. from W. Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Forestry* 17: 255–266.
- AGHAAHMADI M., NEGARESH K., SAEIDI H., & M. ASSADI. 2015. Typification of two species names of *Trigonella* sect. *Ellipticae* (Leguminosae-Papilionoideae) from Afghanistan. Phytotaxa 238(1): 97–100. http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.238.1.6
- ANDRELLA G.C., ATAHUACHI BURGOS M., BAGNATORI SARTORI Â.L., BALAN A., BANDYOPADHYAY S., BARBOSA PINTO R., BARRETT R., BOATWRIGHT, J.S., BORGES L. M., BROICH S.L., BRULLO S., BRUNEAU A., CARDINAL-MCTEAGUE W., CARDOSO D., CASTRO SILVA I.C., CERVANTES A., CHOO L.M., CLARKE R., *et al.* 2022. The World Checklist of Vascular Plants (WCVP) Fabaceae. Facilitated by The Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew. Available at: http://sftp.kew.org/pub/data_collaborations/ Fabaceae/DwCA/ (Accessed on 21.05.2023).
- BAKER J.G. 1879. *Trigonella. In:* HOOKER J.D. (ed.), *The flora of British India.* Volume 2. L. Reeve & Co. Ltd., London. pp 87–89.
- BALODI B. & R.R. RAO 1991. The genus Trigonella L. (Fabaceae) in the Northwest Himalaya. Journal of Economic and Taxonomic Botany 15: 185–194.
- BOISSIER P.E. 1872. *Trigonella. In*: BOISSIER P.E. (ed.), *Flora Orientalis.* Volume 2. Geneva Basileae & Lugundi. pp. 64–91.
- CAMBESSÈDES J. 1844. Botanique. In: JACQUEMONT V. (ed.), Voyage dans l'Inde. Volume 4. Firmin Didot frères, Paris. p. 36
- CHEN J., WU G., SHRESTHA N., WU S., GUO W., YIN M., LI A., LIU J. & G. REN 2021.

72 Preliminary evaluation of the phylogenetic position of Trigonella griffithii

Phylogeny and species delimitation of Chinese *Medicago* (Leguminosae) and its relatives based on molecular and morphological evidence. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 11:619799. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.619799

- CHOI I-S., CARDOSO D., DE QUEIROZ L.P., DE LIMA H.C., LEE C., RUHLMAN T.A., JANSEN R.K. & M.F. WOJCIECHOWSKI 2022. Highly resolved papilionoid legume phylogeny based on plastid phylogenomics. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 13: 823190. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.823190
- DANGI R. 2013. *Diversity in genus Trigonella: molecular and chemical characterization.* Ph.D. Thesis, Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune. http://hdl.handle. net/10603/198985
- EDLER D., KLEIN J., ANTONELLI A. & D. SILVESTRO 2021. raxmlGUI 2.0 beta: a graphical interface and toolkit for phylogenetic analyses using RAxML. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 12: 373– 377. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13512
- IUCN 2022. Guidelines for using the IUCN Red list Categories and Criteria. Version 15. Available at: http://www. iucnredlist.org/documents/ RedListGuidelines.pdf (Accessed on 25.06. 2022).
- KÖIE M. & K.H. RECHINGER 1957. Enumeration and descriptions of the plants collected by L. Edelberg and M. Koeie on "The 3rd Danish expedition to central Asia" and by G. Kerstan, W. Koelz, H.F. Heubauer and O.H. Volk, and others in Afghanistan. *Biologiske Skrifter udgivet af Det Kongelige Danske Videnskabernes Selskab* 9(3): 1–18.
- KUMAR S., STECHER G., LI M., KNYAZ C. & K. TAMURA 2018. MEGA X: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 35(6): 1547–1549. https://doi. org/10.1093/molbev/msy096
- LANFEAR R., FRANDSEN P.B., WRIGHT A.M., SENFELD T. & B. CALCOTT 2017. PartitionFinder 2: new methods for selecting partitioned models of evolution for molecular and morphological phylogenetic analyses. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 34(3): 772–773. https://doi. org/10.1093/molbev/msw260
- MABBERLEY D.J. 2017. Mabberley's plant-book: a portable dictionary of vascular plants, their classification and uses. Fourth edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

- MITTAL K., INGLE P. & R. DANGI 2020. *Trigonella glabra* (Leguminosae): a new addition to *Trigonella* genetic resources in India. *Journal of Economic and Taxonomic Botany* 44: 5–7.
- POSADA D. & K.A. CRANDALL 1998. ModelTest: testing the model of DNA substitution. *Bioinformatics* 14: 817–818. https://doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/14.9.817
- RAMBAUT A., DRUMMOND A.J., XIE D., BAELE G., & M.A. SUCHARD 2018. Posterior summarization in Bayesian phylogenetics using Tracer 1.7. *Systematic Biology* 67(5): 901–904. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syy032
- RANJBAR M., HAJMORADI Z. & R. KARAMIAN 2014. Novelty in *Trigonella* sect. *Ellipticae* (Fabaceae) from Iran. *Novon* 23: 209–216. http://dx.doi. org/10.3417/2011024
- RANJBAR M., KARAMIAN R., HAJMORADI Z. & M.R. JOHARCHI 2012. A revision of *Trigonella* sect. *Ellipticae* (Fabaceae) in Iran. *Nordic Journal of Botany* 30(1): 17–35. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1756-1051.2009.00171.x
- RONQUIST F., TESLENKO M., VAN DER MARK P., AYRES D.L., DARLING A., HÖHNA S., LARGET B., LIU L., SUCHARD M. & J.P. HUELSENBECK 2012. MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Systematic Biology* 61(3): 539–542. https://doi.org/10.1093/ sysbio/sys029
- ROYLE J.F. 1839. Illustrations of the Botany and other branches of the Natural History of the Himalayan Mountains and of the Flora of Cashmere. Volume 1.
 Wm. H. Allen & Co., Leadenhall Street, London, p. 197.
- SANJAPPA M. 1992. *Legumes of India*. Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh, Dehra Dun.
- SHARGHI H.R., AZIZI M., MOAZZENI H. & M.R. JOHARCHI 2021. Notes on the taxonomy of some perennial species of *Trigonella* sect. *Ellipticae* (Fabaceae) in Iran. *Nordic Journal of Botany* 39(2): 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1111/njb.02819
- SHAW J., LICKEY E.B., SCHILLING E.E. & R.L. SMALL 2007. Comparison of whole chloroplast genome sequences to choose noncoding regions for phylogenetic studies in angiosperms: the tortoise and the hare III. *American Journal of Botany* 94 (3): 275–288. https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.94.3.275

- ŠIRJAEV 1928–1932. Generis Trigonella L. Revisio Critica I. Spisyvydavane' Prirodovedecka' Fakultou Masarykovy University 102: 1–57.
- SMALL E. 1987. Polythetic generic separation in the tribe Trifolieae subtribe Trigonellinae (Leguminosae). *Canadian Journal of Botany* 67(5): 1480–1492. https:// doi.org/10.1139/b89-197
- STEWART R.R. 1972. An annotated catalogue of the vascular plants of West Pakistan and Kashmir. *In*: NASIR E. & S.I. ALI (eds.), *Flora of West Pakistan*. Fakhri Printing Press, Karachi. p. 423.
- TECHNELYSIUM PTY LTD. 1998–2018. Chromas (Version 2.6.6). Available at: http://technelysium. com.au/wp/chromas/ (Accessed on 20.01.2021).