

Lithocarpus dahuensis (Fagaceae), a new species from Fujian Province based on morphology and genomic data

Miao Zhang¹, Xiao-Hui Zhang^{1,2}, Shi Shi³, Bing-Hua Chen^{1,2}

1 College of Life Sciences, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350117, China **2** The Public Service Platform for Industrialization Development Technology of Marine Biological Medicine and Products of the State Oceanic Administration, Fujian Key Laboratory of Special Marine Bioresource Sustainable Utilization, Southern Institute of Oceanography, College of Life Sciences, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350117, China **3** South China Limestone Plants Research Center, College of Forestry and Landscape Architecture, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, China

Corresponding author: Bing-Hua Chen (bhchen@fjnu.edu.cn)

Academic editor: N. Holstein | Received 29 December 2022 | Accepted 26 February 2023 | Published 17 March 2023

Citation: Zhang M, Zhang X-H, Shi S, Chen B-H (2023) *Lithocarpus dahuensis* (Fagaceae), a new species from Fujian Province based on morphology and genomic data. *PhytoKeys* 222: 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.222.99370>

Abstract

Lithocarpus dahuensis, a new Fagaceae species from Fujian Province, China, is described and illustrated. The new species is morphologically similar to *L. konishii*, but its oblanceolate leaf blade has more pairs of acute teeth on the margin, denser lateral veins, smaller cupules enclosing up to 1/4–1/3 of the nut, and its nut is only half as long as those of *L. konishii*. The plastome of *L. dahuensis* was 161,303 bp in length and displayed the typical quadripartite structure. Phylogenetic analyses distinguished *L. dahuensis* from *L. konishii* with strong support based on whole plastome and nrITS, respectively.

Keywords

biodiversity, chloroplast genome, morphology, phylogeny, taxonomy

Introduction

The subfamily Quercoideae, of the family Fagaceae, consists of seven genera, including *Castanea*, *Castanopsis*, *Chrysolepis*, *Lithocarpus*, *Notholithocarpus*, *Quercus*, and *Trigonobalanus*, containing 1,135 species (The plant list 2022). *Lithocarpus* consists of 341 species, making it the second largest genus after *Quercus*. These species are widely

distributed in tropical and sub-tropical broad-leaved evergreen forests throughout East and Southeast Asia, extending to New Guinea (Cannon 2001). The center of diversity is in East to Southeast Asia, with 123 species in China, mainly distributed in Guangdong, Guangxi, and Yunnan (Huang et al. 1999), 58 species in Thailand (Strijk et al. 2014), and 121 species in Vietnam (Ngoc et al. 2022).

Typically, *Lithocarpus* has spirally arranged leaves, which are glabrous, coriaceous, oblong-elliptical to oblong in shape, with the entire margin or with teeth along the margin. Its flowers are white to pale yellow. The male flowers are either solitary or in clusters of three or more, with campanulate or cup-shaped perianths, usually 6-lobed, partially united, and 12 stamens. The female flowers are usually solitary or in clusters of two to five, but only one or two of them are well developed, and they have perianths similar to male flowers, but smaller, and with 12 staminodes (Strijk et al. 2014). The sessile cupules are cup-shaped to discoid, with triangular to rhomboid bracts arranged in a diamond pattern on the cupule surface, enclosing the nuts completely or partially. The nuts are oblate to depressed with a concave or convex scar. Sometimes, the nut scar is concave at the margin but conspicuously convex at the center (Huang et al. 1999).

This paper describes a new species of *Lithocarpus* that was discovered during a field survey in a landscape forest behind the Xuefeng Village in Dahu Town, Minhou County, Fuzhou City in May 2017. It grows in well-preserved native broad-leaved evergreen forests in a valley and has leaves that resemble those of *Quercus engleriana* Seem, which were easily overlooked but were of constant concern. At the end of May 2018, the plants began to bloom and develop erect male inflorescences. By the end of September, fruit-bearing specimens were collected and measured. The newly found species is similar to *L. konishii* from Taiwan but differs in the leaf, cupule, and nut characters, as noted below. Considering the morphological differences, molecular data, and geographical isolation, here we describe it as a new species, *Lithocarpus dabuensis*.

Materials and methods

Morphological description

The morphological description of the new species was based on the study of specimens collected in 2019 from various locations. A Stereoscopic Zoom Microscope (Carl Zeiss, Axio zoom. v.16, Germany), equipped with an attached digital camera (AxioCam), and a Digital caliper were used to record the sizes of the morphological characters. Field observations provided habitats and phenology for the new species.

DNA extraction and sequencing

In this study, total DNA was extracted from fresh leaves of the new species using a DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). Purified total DNA of the new species was fragmented, and genome skimming was performed using next-generation

sequencing technologies on the Illumina Novaseq 6000 platform. The sequencing was conducted by Berry Genomics Co. Ltd. (Beijing, China) using 150 bp paired-end reads with a 480 bp insert size, resulting in 11.58 GB of reads.

Genome assembly, annotation and analysis

The phylogenetic position of the new species was determined through the analysis of nrITS and whole plastome sequences. The nrITS (ITS1-5.8S-ITS2) was assembled using GetOrganelle v1.7.5, with $-R$ of 7 and k-mer set of “35, 85, 115”. The emb-plant_nr library was selected as the reference genome database, then annotated and visualized using Geneious v2021.2.2.

The paired-end reads were filtered and assembled into a complete plastome using a GetOrganelle v1.7.5.0 (Jin et al. 2020) with appropriate parameters, including a K-mer set of “21,45,65,85,105”, and a word size of 0.6. Following previous studies, our workflow includes five key steps as well: 1. Mapping reads to seed and assembling seed-mapped reads for parameter estimation; 2. Recruiting more target-associated reads through extending iterations; 3. Conducting de novo assembly; 4. Roughly filtering fortarget-like contigs; 5. Identifying target contigs and exporting all configurations (Bankevich et al. 2012; Camacho et al. 2009; Jin et al. 2020; Langmead and Salzberg 2012). Graphs of the final assembly were visualized by Bandage (Wick et al. 2015) to assess their completeness. Gene annotation was performed using CPGAVAS2 (Shi et al. 2019) and PGA (Qu et al. 2019). The different annotations of protein coding sequences were confirmed using BLASTx. The tRNAs were checked with tRNAscan-SE v2.0.3. Final chloroplast genome map was created using OGDRAW.

Phylogenetic analysis

The phylogenetic relationship was constructed using Maximum likelihood (ML) analyses with the combined nrITS sequence. In total, 92 samples of *Lithocarpus*, *Morella*, *Corylus* and *Carpinus* were included in our analysis (Suppl. material 1: table S1). Three species of *Morella rubra*, *Corylus fargesii* and *Carpinus cordata* were used as outgroups (Wu et al. 2022). Each individual locus was aligned using MAFFT 7.310 (Katoh and Standley 2013) with default settings. All missing data were treated as gaps. The best nucleotide substitution model according to the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) was TNe+R3, which was selected by ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017) implemented in IQ-TREE v.1.6.8. Maximum likelihood phylogenies were inferred using IQ-TREE (Nguyen et al. 2015) under the model automatically selected by IQ-TREE (‘Auto’ option in IQ-TREE) for 2000 ultrafast (Minh et al. 2013) bootstraps.

To construct a phylogenetic tree based on plastome sequences, a total of 33 plastome sequences of *Lithocarpus*, *Castanea*, *Castanopsis*, *Cyclobalanopsis*, *Fagus*, *Quercus*, *Trigonobalanus*, *Morella*, *Corylus* and *Carpinus* were included in our analysis (Suppl. material 1: table S2). Three species of *Morella rubra*, *Corylus fargesii* and *Carpinus cordata* were used as outgroups (Wu et al. 2022). Each individual locus was aligned using

MAFFT 7.310 (Katoh and Standley 2013) with default settings. The best nucleotide substitution model according to the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) was K3Pu+F+R5, which was selected by ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017) implemented in IQ-TREE v.1.6.8. Maximum likelihood phylogenies were inferred using IQ-TREE (Nguyen et al. 2015) under the model automatically selected by IQ-TREE ('Auto' option in IQ-TREE) for 2000 ultrafast (Minh et al. 2013) bootstraps. Bayesian Inference phylogenies were inferred using MrBayes 3.2.6 (Ronquist et al. 2012) under the GTR+F+I+G4 model (2 parallel runs, 2000000 generations), in which the initial 25% of sampled data were discarded as burn-in. Phylograms were visualized in iTOLv.5 (iTOL: Interactive Tree Of Life (embl.de)).

Genomic comparison with related species

The online tool IRscope (Amiryousefi et al. 2018) was employed to draw the genetic architecture of the IR/SC junctions. Then the sequences of 12 *Lithocarpus* species were aligned using MAFFT7.310 (Katoh and Standley 2013), the nucleotide diversity (π value) of single copy genes and intergenic regions was estimated by DnaSP v.6 (Rozas et al. 2003).

Results

Characteristics of *Lithocarpus dahuensis* plastome

The complete chloroplast genome of *Lithocarpus dahuensis* is 161,303 bp in length (Fig. 1), which exhibits a typical quadripartite structure, comprising a pair of IR regions (25,894 bp) divided by an SSC region (18,956 bp) and an LSC region (90,559 bp). The overall GC content of the genome was 36.75%, while the GC content of LSC, SSC, and IR regions were 34.58%, 30.79%, and 42.71%, respectively. The whole chloroplast genome of *L. dahuensis* encodes 129 genes, consisting of 85 protein-coding genes, 36 transfer RNA (tRNA), and 8 ribosomal RNA (rRNA) genes. Among 129 genes, 6 protein-coding genes (*ndhB*, *rpl2*, *rpl23*, *rps12*, *rps7*, *ycf2*), 7 tRNA and 4 RNA genes were duplicated in the genome (Table 1). Altogether, 10 protein-coding genes and 5 tRNA genes contained the intron, in which two genes (*clpP* and *ycf3*) harbored a double intron. The annotated plastome was deposited in GeneBank (accession number OP954095).

Comparative analysis of the plastomes

The plastome of *Lithocarpus dahuensis* was compared to those of the other 11 *Lithocarpus* species. The plastome size of these species is very similar (Table 2), ranging from 161,020 bp for *L. balansae* to 161,974 bp for *L. polystachyus*. These genomes displayed a typical circular quadripartite structure consisting of a pair of IR regions (25,606 bp to 25,899 bp) separated by an LSC region (90,407 bp to 90,731 bp) and an SSC region




Figure 1. Representative cp genome of *Lithocarpus dahuensis*. Genes drawn inside and outside of the circle are transcribed in clockwise and counter-clockwise directions, respectively. The colored bar indicates chloroplast gene groups. The dark gray bar graphs inner circle shows the GC content, and the light gray bar graphs show the AT content.

(18,239 bp to 19,255 bp) (Table 2). The overall GC content was identical (~36.7%) across all compared plastomes, and was clearly higher in the IR region (~42.7%) than in the other regions (LSC ~34.5% and SSC ~30.8%), possibly because of the high GC content of the rRNA that was located in the IR regions.

A chloroplast genome identification analysis was performed on the 12 *Lithocarpus* species described above, with the *Lithocarpus dahuensis* chloroplast genome used as a reference (Suppl. material 1: fig. S1). We identified a considerable number of variations in the noncoding cp sequences, including *rbcl-accD* (highest, 0.03019), *rpl20-rps12*, *trnK-rps16*, *trnF-ndhJ* and *ccsA-ndhD*, as well as a number of variations in the coding regions, including *accD* (highest, 0.03019), *ycf1*, *rps16*, *ndhF* and *rpl32*. These

Table 1. Gene contents in the plastid genome of *Lithocarpus dahuensis*.

Category, group of genes	Gene names
Photosynthesis:	
Subunits of photosystem I	<i>psaA, psbA, psaB, psaC, psal, psaj</i>
Subunits of photosystem II	<i>psbA, psbB, psbC, psbD, psbE, psbF, psbH, psbI, psbJ, psbK, psbL, psbM, psbN, psbT, psbZ</i>
Subunits of NADH dehydrogenase	<i>ndhA*, ndhB*(2), ndhC, ndhD, ndhE, ndhF, ndhG, ndhH, ndhI, ndhJ, ndhK</i>
Subunits of cytochrome b/f complex	<i>petA, petB*, petD, petG, petL, petN</i>
Subunits of ATP synthase	<i>atpA, atpB, atpE, atpF*, atpH, atpI</i>
Large subunit of rubisco	<i>rbcL</i>
Subunits photochlorophyllide reductase	–
Self-replication:	
Proteins of large ribosomal subunit	<i>rpl14, rpl16, rpl2*(2), rpl20, rpl22, rpl23(2), rpl32, rpl33, rpl36</i>
Proteins of small ribosomal subunit	<i>rps11, rps12*(2), rps14, rps15, rps16*, rps18, rps19, rps2, rps3, rps4, rps7(2), rps8</i>
Subunits of RNA polymerase	<i>rpoA, rpoB, rpoC1*, rpoC2</i>
Ribosomal RNAs	<i>rrn16S(2), rrn23S(2), rrn4.5S(2), rrn5S(2)</i>
Transfer RNAs	<i>trnA-UGC*(2), trnC-GCA, trnD-GUC, trnE-UUC, trnF-GAA, trnG-GCC, trnH-GUG, trnI-CAU(2), trnI-GAU*(2), trnK-UUU*, trnL-CAA(2), trnL-UAA*, trnL-UAG, trnM-CAU, trnN-GUU(2), trnP-UGG, trnQ-UUG, trnR-ACG(2), trnR-UCU, trnS-GCU, trnS-GGA, trnS-UGA, trnT-CGU*, trnT-GGU, trnT-UGU, trnV-GAC(2), trnW-CCA, trnY-GUA, trnY-M-CAU</i>
Other genes:	
Maturase	<i>matK</i>
Protease	<i>clpP**</i>
Envelope membrane protein	<i>cemA</i>
Acetyl-CoA carboxylase	<i>accD</i>
c-type cytochrome synthesis gene	<i>ccsA</i>
Translation initiation factor	<i>infA</i>
Genes of unknown function:	
Conserved hypothetical chloroplast ORF	<i>ycf1, ycf2(2), ycf3**, ycf4</i>

Notes: Gene*: Gene with one introns; Gene**: Gene with two introns; Gene(2): Number of copies of multi-copy genes.

Table 2. Statistics on the basic features of the plastid genomes of *Lithocarpus dahuensis* and related taxa.

Species	Accession No.	Number of Genes			Length (bp)				GC Content (%)			
		PCGs	tRNA	rRNA	Total	LSC	SSC	IR	Total	LSC	SSC	IR
<i>Lithocarpus dahuensis</i>	OP954095	79	29	4	161,303	90,559	18,956	25,894	36.75	34.58	30.79	42.71
<i>Lithocarpus konishii</i>	ON422319.1	80	30	4	161,385	90,660	18,927	25,899	36.77	34.61	30.83	42.71
<i>Lithocarpus litseifolius</i>	NC_063927.1	79	29	4	161,322	90,551	18,977	25,897	36.73	34.57	30.71	42.71
<i>Lithocarpus hancei</i>	MW375417.1	80	31	4	161,304	90,585	18,959	25,897	36.72	34.57	30.68	42.70
<i>Lithocarpus longinux</i>	NC_062048.1	80	29	4	161,420	90,407	19,255	25,879	36.76	34.58	31.01	42.71
<i>Lithocarpus dealbatus</i>	NC_063459.1	80	29	4	161,476	90,731	18,987	25,879	36.75	34.58	30.88	42.71
<i>Lithocarpus balansae</i>	KP299291.1	80	31	4	161,020	90,596	19,160	25,632	36.71	34.53	30.83	42.77
<i>Lithocarpus fenestratus</i>	OM112300.1	80	31	4	161,184	90,524	19,052	25,804	36.73	34.55	30.78	42.74
<i>Lithocarpus polystachyus</i>	OL569560.1	75	27	4	161,974	90,523	18,239	26,606	36.70	34.58	30.86	42.30
<i>Lithocarpus cleistocarpus</i>	OM112296.1	80	31	4	161,178	90,558	19,096	25,762	36.78	34.61	30.83	42.78
<i>Lithocarpus obscurus</i>	OM112297.1	80	31	4	161,349	90,616	18,969	25,882	36.79	34.63	30.92	42.71
<i>Lithocarpus glaber</i>	MZ750954.1	81	30	4	161,171	90,466	18,939	25,883	36.75	34.61	30.71	42.71

10 genes or spacer regions were all in the non-IR region, with *rbcL-accD*, *rpl20-rps12*, *trnK-rps16*, *trnF-ndhJ*, *accD*, *rps16* in the LSC region, and *ccsA-ndhD*, *ycf1*, *ndhF*, *rpl32* in the SSC region (Suppl. material 1: fig. S2). This result is consistent with the highest GC content in the IR region (IR42.71>LSC34.58>SSC30.79 (Table 2).




Figure 2. Phylogenetic tree of 33 complete plastid sequences derived from the Fagales species in genus *Lithocarpus*, *Castanea*, *Castanopsis*, *Cyclobalanopsis*, *Fagus*, *Quercus*, *Trigonobalanus*, *Morella*, *Corylus* and *Carpinus*. Numbers above and below branches indicate RAxML (left) bootstrap probabilities (BP) and Bayesian (right) posterior probabilities (PP), respectively. Three species of *Morella rubra* (Myricaceae), *Corylus fargesii* (Betulaceae) and *Carpinus cordata* (Betulaceae) were included as outgroups.

Phylogenetic analysis

The present study confirmed *Lithocarpus dahuensis* as a new species based on phylogenetic analysis based on plastome data, as well as the nrITS sequence. The plastome tree clearly indicated the distinctiveness of *L. dahuensis* from *L. konishii*, with strong support. *Lithocarpus dahuensis* is sister to *L. konishii*, and nested in a clade formed by 4 other *Lithocarpus* species, including *L. litseifolius*, *L. glaber*, *L. polystachyus* and *L. hancei* (Fig. 2). In addition, the phylogenetic analysis based on the nrITS sequence also separates the new species from *L. konishii* with strong support (Fig. 3).

Taxonomic treatment

***Lithocarpus dahuensis* H.X.Su, Miao Zhang & B.Hua Chen, sp. nov.**

urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77315865-1

Figs 4A–C, F–H, 5, 6A, D–J, 7

Diagnosis. *Lithocarpus dahuensis* differs from *L. konishii* by having an oblanceolate leaf blade with 7–10 pairs of acute teeth on the leaf margin from the second to third lateral




Figure 3. Phylogenetic tree of 92 sequences based on nrITS genes. The boldness of the branches indicates RAXML bootstrap probabilities (BP). Three species of *Morella rubra* (Myricaceae), *Corylus fargesii* (Betulaceae) and *Carpinus cordata* (Betulaceae) were included as outgroups.

veins above the leaf base (compared to 3–6 pairs of obtuse teeth for *L. konishii*), and its lateral veins are numerous and dense, reaching up to 15 pairs; it has 4–10 female flowers, borne singly in the lower part of staminate catkins; the cupules are smaller, encrusting up to 1/4–1/3 of the nut, and the nut is only half as high as those of *L. konishii* (1.4–1.8 vs. 1.8–2.4 cm) (Table 3).

Type. CHINA. Fujian Province, Fuzhou City, Minhou County, Dahu town, Ni-umu Mountain, forest margins, 26°25'N, 119°3'E, elevation 1035 m, 10 Sep. 2017, B. Hua Chen *CBH02292* (Holotype, FNU, barcode FNU0039021; Isotypes, FNU, barcode FNU0038769).

Description. Trees usually less than 8 m tall, evergreen. Branchlets densely grayish yellow tomentose, soon glabrescent. Bud scales compact, densely covered with




Figure 4. *Lithocarpus dabuensis* H.X.Su, Miao Zhang & B.Hua Chen, sp. nov. **A** fruiting stem with mature cupule **B, C** adaxial and abaxial side of mature leaf, respectively **D, E** adaxial and abaxial side of mature leaf of *Lithocarpus konishii* (Hayata) Hayata, respectively (photographed by Shi Shi) **F** bark **G** lower young leaf surface, showing abaxially hairy **H** petiole and buds, showing grayish yellow short hairs. Scale bars: 1 cm (**B–E**); 1 mm (**G**); 2 mm (**H**).

grayish yellow silky short hairs. Leaf blade oblanceolate or ovate-elliptic, 3.7–9.8 × 1.1–3.2 cm, leathery, concolorous, apex acuminate to caudate, ca. 9 mm long, base cuneate and inaequilateral, margin with 7–10 acute teeth except basally entire, abaxially with tufts of hairs along veins; midvein adaxially puberulent; secondary veins 7–16 on each side of midvein, adaxially slightly impressed; tertiary veins slender, evident. Petiole 0.5–1.3 cm, tomentose, soon glabrescent. Rachis of inflorescences densely tawny tomentose. Inflorescences male, or androgynous, 2–6, in leaf axils toward base of branchlets or in a dense paniculate cluster on subterminal shoots, erect; rachis of male inflorescences, 5.6 cm long; flowers usually 3 in dichasial clusters; perianth 6-lobed; stamens 12. Female flowers 4–11, borne on basal part of androgynous inflorescences, perianth 6-lobed, styles 3, 3 mm. Infructescences 1.4–4.3 cm; rachis 4.5 mm thick,

Table 3. Morphological differences between *Lithocarpus dabuensis* and *L. konishii*.

Characters	<i>Lithocarpus dabuensis</i>	<i>Lithocarpus konishii</i>
Leaf margin	Acute teeth; 7–10 pairs	Obtuse teeth; 3–6 pairs
Leaf surface	Glabrous on both upper and lower surfaces, only biaxially retaining fascicled hairs on axil of veins	Glabrous on both upper and lower surfaces, only biaxially retaining fascicled hairs on axil of veins
Leaf shape	Oblanceolate, ovate-elliptic	Ovate, obovate, elliptic, or obovate-elliptic
Leaf blade size (cm)	3.7–9.8 × 1.1–3.2	4.0–9.0 × 1.0–4.0
Petiole length	0.5–1.3 cm long	0.5–1.5 cm long
Number of secondary veins	9–13 (–15) pairs	7–10 (–11) pairs
Fruiting stalk length	Almost sessile	Almost sessile
Cupule	Usually solitary, few in clusters of 2 or 3 (–4), 0.5–0.7 cm high by 2.2–2.7 cm in diam.	Solitary (or 2), 0.7–1.1 cm high by 2.3–3.2 cm in diam.
Cupule outside	Very faintly visible hairs	Faintly visible hairs
Scale arrangement	Imbricate	Imbricate
Nut size	1.4–1.8 cm high by 1.5–2.6 cm in diam.	1.8–2.4 cm high by 2.3–3.3 cm in diam.
Nut enclosure by cupule	Enclosing ca. 1/4–1/3 of the nut	Enclosing basal part of nut
Basal scar of the nut	Margin concave but center convex, ca 1.7 cm in diam.	Margin concave but center ± convex, ca 2.0 cm in diam.
Infructescence length	1.5–4.0 cm long	2.0–3.0 cm long




Figure 5. *Lithocarpus dabuensis* H.X.Su, Miao Zhang & B.Hua Chen, sp. nov. **A** branch with mature male and androgynous inflorescences **B** male inflorescences **C** androgynous, showing female flowers borne on basal part of inflorescences (red arrows) and male flowers (white arrows) **D** male flower **E** female flower. Scale bars: 5 mm (**B**, **C**); 2 mm (**D**, **E**).

glabrescent, lenticellate. Cupule usually 1 (or in clusters of 2–3–(4)), saucer-shaped, 4.6–7.4 mm × 2.2–2.7 cm, enclosing ca. 1/4–1/3 of nut, wall 1.0–2.5 mm thick; bracts imbricate, broadly triangular, covered with grayish brown, shortly tomentose




Figure 6. *Lithocarpus dabuensis* H.X.Su, Miao Zhang & B.Hua Chen, sp. nov. **A** branch with mature cupule **a** infructescence, showing mature cupule enclosing 1/4 of nut **B, C** *Lithocarpus konishii* (Hayata) Hayata (photographed by Shi Shi and Jin-Long Zhang from Hainan and HongKong, respectively) **D** vertical section of mature fruit **E–G** outside, inside and side view of the cupule, respectively **H–J** top, side and bottom view of mature nut, respectively. Scale bars: 2 cm (**A**); 1 cm (**a**); 5 mm(**D–J**).

hairs, midvein ridged. Nut depressed globose, 1.0–1.9 × 1.5–2.6 cm, glabrous, apex flat, wall 3.3–6.9 mm thick and horny; scar 1.5–1.9 cm in diam., margin concave but center convex.

Distribution and habitat. *Lithocarpus dabuensis* is only found in Dahu town, Minhou County, Fujian, China (Fig. 8), where it grows in valleys of subtropical evergreen broad-leaved forest. Many other plants grow in the surrounding habitat, whose tree layer includes *Castanopsis eyrei* (Champ. & Benth.) Tutcher (Fagaceae), *Quercus sessilifolia* Blume (Fagaceae), *Schima superba* Gardner & Champ. (Theaceae), *Semiliquidambar chingii* (F.P.Metcalf) H.T.Chang (Altingiaceae), *Ilex elmerrilliana* S.Y.Hu (Aquifoliaceae), *Dendropanax dentiger* (Harms) Merr. (Araliaceae) and others; the shrub layer includes *Rhododendron ovatum* (Lindl.) Planch. (Ericaceae), *Syzygium buxifolium* Hook. & Arn. (Myrtaceae), *Lindera aggregata* (Sims) Kosterm. (Lauraceae), *Symplocos stellaris* Brand (Symplocaceae), *Ardisia crispa* (Thunb.) A. DC. (Primulaceae), *Ilex asprella* (Hook. & Arn.) Champ. ex Benth. (Aquifoliaceae), *Cleyera japonica* Thunb. (Pentaptylaccaceae), *Pyrrularia edulis* (Wall.) A. DC. (Santalaceae), *Oligostachyum oedogonatum* (Z.P. Wang & G.H.Ye) Q.F.Zhang et K.F.Huan (Poaceae), *Ilex serrata* Thunb. (Aquifoliaceae), *Rubus buergeri* Miq. (Rosaceae), *Rubus impressinervis* F.P.Metcalf (Rosaceae), *Ligustrum sinense* Lour. (Oleaceae), *Clerodendrum cyrtophyllum* Turcz. (Lamiaceae), *Erythroxylum sinense* Y.C.Wu (Erythroxylaceae) and others; the vegetation layer includes *Dicranopteris pedata* (Hout.) Nakaike (Gleicheniaceae), *Hypolepis punctata* (Thunb.) Mett. (Dennstaedtiaceae),




Figure 7. *Lithocarpus dahuensis* H.X.Su, Miao Zhang & B.Hua Chen, sp. nov. **A** fruiting branch with mature cupule **B** abaxial side of mature leaf **C** female flower **D** male flower **E, F** outside and inside view of the cupule, respectively **G** inflorescence, mature cupule usually solitary **H** mature cupule enclosing 1/4 of nut **I** vertical section of mature fruit **J–L** side, top and bottom view of mature nut, respectively. Scale bars: 1 cm (**A, B, E–I**); 1 mm (**C, D**).




Figure 8. Distribution of *Lithocarpus dahuensis* and *L. konishii* in China. Legend: (red star) *L. dahuensis*, (blue triangle) *L. konishii*.

Diplopterygium chinense (Rosenst.) De Vol (Gleicheniaceae), *Woodwardia japonica* (L. f.) Sm. (Blechnaceae), *Lobelia sessilifolia* Lamb. (Campanulaceae), *Oenanthe linearis* Wall. & DC. (Apiaceae), *Melastoma dodecandrum* Lour. (Melastomataceae), *Carex perakensis* C.B. Clarke (Cyperaceae), *Anoectochilus roxburghii* (Wall.) Lindl. (Orchidaceae), *Tainia dunnii* Rolfe (Orchidaceae) and others; the interlayer plants include *Trachelospermum brevistylum* Hand.-Mazz. (Apocynaceae) and others.

Phynology. Florescence May to June, fruiting season September to October of the following year.

Etymology. Chinese name: 大湖柯 (da hu ke). The epithet *dahuensis* (大湖) refers to Dahu town, Minhou County, Fujian Province where this new species was found.

Taxonomic notes. The following morphological characteristics were used to classify the species, including the acute teathed leaf blade margins, a concave nut scar, and cupules that do not completely enclose the nut. There are four other plants share similar characteristics with *L. dahuensis*, including cupule encrustation (the cupule base is sessile, encasing the base of the nut or about half of it) and a fruit umbilicus (the surrounding margin of the fruit umbilicus is clearly concave), the differences between which are shown in the key.

Conservation status. During our fieldwork from 2017 to 2022, fruit-bearing large trees of *Lithocarpus dahuensis* were only found in the landscape forest of the Xuefeng village valley, Dahu town, Minhou County, Fujian Province, China. They were also found in the surrounding secondary coniferous and broad-leaved mixed forest,

but these were mostly small trees that sprouted after the large trees were felled and did not bear fruit. As the location was discovered to be the only known position, we suggest its placement in the Data Deficient category of IUCN (2022).

Discussion

Phylogenetic analysis was completed on the whole chloroplast genomes, and nrITS sequences of the Fagaceae species. Based on the well-supported phylogenetic trees (Figs 2, 3), *Lithocarpus dabuensis* is a new species most closely related to *L. konishii*. It is worth noting that *L. konishii* is found mainly in the central and southern regions of Taiwan, the eastern regions of Hainan and Hong Kong, all of which are islands. Nevertheless, *L. dabuensis* was found to be endemic in the mountains of central Fujian, separated by a strait and over 385 km from *L. konishii*. *Lithocarpus konishii* is found at altitudes between 100–1150 m, usually 500–700 m (Huang et al. 1999), whereas *L. dabuensis* only occurs at altitudes above 1000 m.

The new species has an overall morphology similar to *Lithocarpus konishii* from Taiwan (Huang et al. 1999). However, there are some obvious differences, especially in the morphology of the leaf, cupules and nuts, such as the oblanceolate leaf blade of *L. dabuensis* has up to 15 pairs of dense lateral veins and 7–10 pairs of acute teeth on the leaf margin from the 2nd–3rd lateral veins above the leaf base, whereas *L. konishii* has 5–8 pairs of obtuse teeth from the 3rd lateral vein above the leaf base. *L. dabuensis* has a smaller cupule that encloses up to 1/4–1/3 of the nut, and its nut is only half as long as those of *L. konishii* (1.4–1.8 vs. 1.8–2.4 cm).

As a result of its simple, stable genetic structure and ease of sequencing, the chloroplast genome has become increasingly popular for species identification, phylogeny reconstruction, demographic history tracing and species divergence studies (Liu et al. 2020). However, genomic information on *Lithocarpus*, particularly the complete chloroplast genome in the NCBI database was very limited. In the current study, we sequenced and assembled the whole chloroplast genome of the new *Lithocarpus* species and found that the chloroplast genome of *L. dabuensis* was 161,303 bp in length, within the expected range (107–218 kb) of most angiosperm chloroplast genomes (Heslop-Harrison 2017), and it had the typical quadripartite structure (Jansen et al. 2005; Daniell et al. 2016). The GC content of *L. dabuensis* chloroplast genome was low (36.75%), which is similar to that reported from other Fagaceae genomes (Yang et al. 2017; Hinsinger and Strijk 2019; Pang et al. 2019).

The chloroplast genome of the new species was compared with the other members of *Lithocarpus* to understand its structural variations and rearrangements. The results showed that all 12 *Lithocarpus* plastomes were remarkably similar in terms of size, genes, and genome structures. Genomic comparison between the species revealed a relatively higher level of divergence in non-coding regions than in coding regions, similar to what has been reported for the genus *Quercus* and *Carya* from the family Fagaceae (Li et al. 2018; Shen et al. 2022). We also identified a considerable number of variations in the

noncoding chloroplast genome sequences, such as *rbcL-accD*, *rpl20-rps12*, *trnK-rps16*, *trnF-ndhJ* and *ccsA-ndhD*. These noncoding sites may be useful in understanding the ecological significance of the species in terms of spatial distribution and adaptability besides the evolutionary relationship of the new species within Fagaceae.

Key to the related species of *Lithocarpus dahuensis*

- 1 Female flower solitary **2**
- Female flowers in clusters of (2 or)3(–5) **4**
- 2 Nut covered with appressed minute hairs..... ***L. quercifolius***
- Nut glabrous..... **3**
- 3 Leaf blade margin with 7–10 acute teeth; cupules enclosing 1/4–1/3 of nut...
..... ***L. dahuensis***
- Leaf blade margin with 3–6 obtuse teeth; cupules enclosing the bottom of
nut..... ***L. konishii***
- 4 Young leaf blade abaxially with tufts of stellate hairs at axils of veins, wall
6–10 mm thick..... ***L. carolineae***
- Young leaf blades covered with appressed minute hairs, wall 10–14 mm
thick **5**
- 5 Leaf blade margin lobate-dentate ***L. cyrtocarpus***
- Leaf blade margin entire or rarely with 1–3 teeth near apex..... ***L. gymnocarpus***

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by Special Project of Orchid Survey of National Forestry and Grassland Administration (contract no. 2020-07), the Sub-project VI of National Program on Key Basic Research Project (Grant No. 2015FY110200), the National Special Fund for Chinese medicine resources Research in the Public Interest of China (Grant No.2019-39), the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (2020J05037 to MZ), the Foundation of Fujian Educational Committee (JAT190089 to MZ), and the scientific research innovation program “Xiyuanjiang River Scholarship” of College of Life Sciences, Fujian Normal University (22FSSK018).

References

- Amiryousefi A, Hyvönen J, Poczai P (2018) IRscope: An online program to visualize the junction sites of chloroplast genomes. *Bioinformatics* 34(17): 3030–3031. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty220>
- Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA (2012) SPAdes: A new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to

- single-cell sequencing. *Journal of Computational Biology* 19(5): 455–477. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021>
- Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL (2009) BLAST+: Architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics* 10(1): 421–429. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421>
- Cannon CH (2001) Morphological and molecular diversity in *Lithocarpus* (Fagaceae) of Mount Kinabalu. *Saban Parks Nature Journal* 4: 45–69.
- Daniell H, Lin CS, Yu M, Chang WJ (2016) Chloroplast genomes: Diversity, evolution, and applications in genetic engineering. *Genome Biology* 17(1): e304. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016-1004-2>
- Heslop-Harrison JS (2017) Plant genomes. *Encyclopedia of Applied Plant Sciences* (2nd edn.), volume 2: 243–247. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-394807-6.00198-2>
- Hinsinger DD, Strijk JS (2019) Plastome of *Quercus xanthoclada* and comparison of genomic diversity amongst selected *Quercus* species using genome skimming. *PhytoKeys* 132: 75–89. <https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.132.36365>
- Huang CC, Chang YT, Bruce B (1999) *Lithocarpus*. In: Wu ZY, Peter HR (Eds) *Flora of China* (Vol. 4). Science Press, Beijing, 333–369.
- IUCN (2022) Guidelines for using the IUCN red list categories and criteria. Version 15. Prepared by the Standards and Petitions Subcommittee. <https://www.iucnredlist.org/resources/redlistguidelines>
- Jansen RK, Raubeson LA, Boore JL, dePamphilis CW, Chumley TW, Haberle RC, Wyman SK, Alverson AJ, Peery R, Herman SJ, Fourcade HM, Kuehl JV, McNeal JR, Leebens-Mack J, Cui L (2005) Methods for obtaining and analyzing whole chloroplast genome sequences. *Methods in Enzymology* 395: 348–384. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879\(05\)95020-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(05)95020-9)
- Jin JJ, Yu WB, Yang JB, Song Y, Li DZ (2020) GetOrganelle: A fast and versatile toolkit for accurate de novo assembly of organelle genomes. *Genome Biology* 21(1): 241–272. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-020-02154-5>
- Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, Haeseler AV, Jermiin L (2017) ModelFinder: Fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nature Methods* 14(6): 587–589. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4285>
- Katoh K, Standley DM (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30(4): 772–780. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010>
- Langmead B, Salzberg SL (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature Methods* 9(4): 357–359. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923>
- Li X, Li Y, Zang M, Li M, Fang Y (2018) Complete chloroplast genome sequence and phylogenetic analysis of *Quercus acutissima*. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 19(8): e2443. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19082443>
- Liu Q, Li X, Li M, Xu W, Heslop-Harrison JS (2020) Comparative chloroplast genome analyses of *avena*: Insights into evolutionary dynamics and phylogeny. *BMC Plant Biology* 20(1): e406. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-020-02621-y>

- Minh BQ, Nguyen MAT, von Haeseler A (2013) Ultrafast approximation for phylogenetic bootstrap. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30(5): 1188–1195. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst024>
- Ngoc NV, Son HT, Binh HT (2022) *Lithocarpus pacoensis* (Fagaceae) a new species from Hoa Binh Province, Vietnam. *Tu Nhien Va Cong Nghe: Khoa Hoc* 38(4): 64–70. <https://doi.org/10.25073/2588-1140/vnunst.5459>
- Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ (2015) IQ-TREE: A fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 32(1): 268–274. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300>
- Pang X, Liu H, Wu S, Yuan Y, Li H, Dong J, Liu Z, An C, Su Z, Li B (2019) Species identification of Oaks (*Quercus* L., Fagaceae) from gene to genome. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 20(23): e5940. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20235940>
- Qu XJ, Moore MJ, Li DZ, Yi TS (2019) PGA: A software package for rapid, accurate, and flexible batch annotation of plastomes. *Plant Methods* 15(1): 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13007-019-0435-7>
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP (2012) MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Systematic Biology* 61(3): 539–542. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029>
- Rozas J, Sánchez-DelBarrio JC, Messeguer X, Rozas R (2003) DnaSP, DNA polymorphism analyses by the coalescent and other methods. *Bioinformatics* 19(18): 2496–2497. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg359>
- Shen J, Li X, Chen X, Huang X, Jin S (2022) The complete chloroplast genome of *Carya cathayensis* and phylogenetic analysis. *Genes* 13(2): e369. <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes13020369>
- Shi L, Chen H, Jiang M, Wang L, Wu X, Huang L, Liu C (2019) CPGAVAS2, an integrated plastome sequence annotator and analyzer. *Nucleic Acids Research* 47(W1): W65–W73. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz345>
- Strijk JS, Sirimongkol S, Rueangruea S, Ritphet N, Chamchumroon V (2014) *Lithocarpus orbicarpus* (Fagaceae), a new species of Stone Oak from Phang Nga province, Thailand. *PhytoKeys* 34: 33–45. <https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.34.6429>
- Wick RR, Schultz MB, Zobel J, Holt KE (2015) Bandage: Interactive visualization of *de novo* genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 31(20): 3350–3352. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv383>
- Wu C, Lin L, Yao K, Yang R, Deng M (2022) The complete chloroplast genome sequence of *Lithocarpus longinux* (Fagaceae). *Mitochondrial DNA, Part B, Resources* 7(7): 1229–1231. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23802359.2022.2093664>
- Yang J, Vázquez L, Chen X, Li H, Zhang H, Liu Z, Zhao G (2017) Development of chloroplast and nuclear DNA markers for Chinese oaks (*Quercus* subgenus *Quercus*) and assessment of their utility as DNA barcodes. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 8: e816. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00816>

Supplementary material I

Supplementary data

Authors: Miao Zhang, Xiao-Hui Zhang, Shi Shi, Bing-Hua Chen

Data type: tables and figures

Explanation note: The information of the phylogenetic analysis of the species used in the present study (nrITS). Note: “-” indicate the data is not yet public. The information of the phylogenetic analysis of the species used in the present study (plastid genome). Comparison of the LSC, SSC and IR regions among twelve chloroplast genomes of *Lithocarpus* species. Genes are denoted by colored boxes. The gaps between the genes and boundaries are proportional to the distances in bps. Gene nucleotide variability (pi) values of twelve *Lithocarpus* species. The Y-axis shows the pi values; the X-axis shows the genes.

Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (<http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/>). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.

Link: <https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.222.99370.suppl1>