# Guide to ECOLOGICAL SITES OF THE KAZAN UPLAND SUBREGION









Alberta

Agriculture and Forestry

Alberta

Environment and Parks

## ECOLOGICAL SITES OF THE KAZAN UPLAND SUBREGION

**First approximation** 

This is the first approximation of the Kazan Upland subregion.

2017

Prepared by:

Michael G. Willoughby, Margaret Meijer and Dave J. Downing

Cover Photo Credits: (Natural Regions and Subregions of Alberta 2006, A. Landals, D. Vujnovic, L. Allen)

Ecological Site Photo Credits: Allen et al. (2002,2004)

Environment and Parks Land Policy Policy and Planning Edmonton

## ISBN No.: 978-1-4601-3321-7 (Printed Edition)

### ISBN No.: 978-1-4601-3320-0 (PDF)

For more information regarding this guide contact:

Michael G. Willoughby Range Management Alberta Environment and Parks 4th Flr. Great West Life Building Edmonton, Alta. T5K 2M4 email: mike.willoughby@gov.ab.ca

This publication is the property of the Government of Alberta and is available under the Alberta Open Government Licence (http://open.alberta.ca/licence) and the publication is available online at: <a href="http://open.alberta.ca/publications/9781460133200">http://open.alberta.ca/licence</a>) and the publication is available online at: <a href="http://open.alberta.ca/publications/9781460133200">http://open.alberta.ca/licence</a>) and the publication is available online at:

© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Alberta, as represented by the Minister of Environment and Parks, 2017

## **Table of Contents**

ECOLOGICAL SITES OF THE KAZAN UPLAND SUBREGION	i
Table of Contents	
Executive Summary	vi
Acknowledgements	vii
Introduction and Background	1
Physiography, Climate and Soils	2
Approach and Methods of Classification	
Correlation of Soils and Ecological Sites	6
Guidelines for Determining Ecological Sites	7
How to use the Guide	9
Results	11
General Ecological Descriptions	
Plant Community Keys	15
Plant Community Tables	17
KU Kazan Upland (n=73)	20
a lichen stone fields (n=1)	21
a1 lichen stonefields (n=1)	
KUA1 Lichen stonefield (n=1)	23
aa Sand heather/moss (xeric/poor) (n=0)	24
aa1 grass/Sand heather (n=0)	25
b bearberry/lichen(subxeric/poor) (n=18)	26
b1 bearberry/lichen - Pj (n=15)	27
KUE1 Pj/Lichen (n=9)	
KUE2 Pj/Bearberry (n=6)	29
b2 bearberry/lichen - shrub (n=3)	
KUA2 Bearberry/Indian ricegrass (n=2)	
KUB1 Juniper/Lichen(Pj) (n=1)	
c blueberry(submesic/medium) (n=12)	
c1 blueberry - Pj-Aw(Bw) (n=7)	
KUD3 Pj-Aw(Bw)/Bearberry-Bog cranberry (n=4)	
KUE3 Pj/Blueberry (n=3)	
c2 blueberry - Aw(Bw) (n=1)	
KUC1 Aw/Rose/Spreading dogbane (n=1)	
c6 blueberry grassland (n=4)	
KUA3 Hay sedge-Slender wheatgrass (n=4)	
c7 tame/disturbed (n=0)	
d Labrador tea-mesic(mesic/poor) (n=10)	
d1 Labrador tea-mesic Pj-Sb (n=10)	
KUC4 Aw-Pj-Sb/Labrador tea (n=1)	
KUD1 Sb-Aw/Moss (n=3)	
KUE11 Pj/Bog cranberry/lichen (n=1)	
KUE4 Pj- Sb/Bog cranberry/lichen (n=5)	
e buffaloberry/alder(mesic/medium) (n=16)	
e1 buffaloberry-alder/ Aw (n=8)	
KUC2 Aw(Bw)/Alder (n=3)	
KUC3 Aw/Buffaloberry (n=4)	
KUC7 Aw-Pb/Saskatoon-Red Osier Dogwood/Spreading dogbane (n=1)	
e2 buffaloberry-alder/ Pj-Sw-Sb-Aw-Bw (n=5)	
KUD2 Aw-Sw/Buffaloberry (n=3)	
KUD4 Aw- Pj/Alder (n=2)	

e3 buffaloberry-alder shrubland (n=0)	56
e4 buffaloberry-alder/ Pj-Sw-Sb (n=3)	57
KUE5 Pj/Alder (n=1)	58
KUE6 Sb-Sw/Moss (n=2)	59
f red osier dogwood/horsetail (subhygric/rich) (n=2)	60
f1 red osier dogwood/horsetail Sw (n=0)	61
f2 red osier dogwood/horsetail Pb-Sw (n=0)	62
f3 red osier dogwood/horsetail - Pb-Aw(Ba) (n=2)	63
KUC5 Pb/Alder-Red osier dogwood/Horsetail (n=1)	64
KUC6 Bw-Aw-Pb/Willow (n=1)	65
f4 shrub (n=0)	66
f5 tame/disturbed (n=0)	67
g Labrador tea-hygric(hygric/medium) (n=2)	68
g1 Labrador tea-hygric Sb-Pj (n=2)	69
KUE10 Lt-Sb/River alder/Sedge (n=1)	70
KUE7 Sb/Alder/Tufted moss (n=1)	71
h bog (subhydric/very poor) (n=3)	72
h1 bog - treed (n=1)	73
KUE8 Sb/Labrador tea/peat moss (n=1)	74
h2 bog - shrubby (n=2)	75
KUB2 Labrador tea/Peat moss (Sb) (n=2)	
h3 bog -graminoid (n=0)	
i poor fen (subhydric/medium) (n=3)	78
i1 poor fen - treed (n=1)	79
KUE9 Sb-Lt/Labrador tea/Golden moss (n=1)	
i2 poor fen - shrubby (n=0)	
i3 poor fen - graminoid (n=2)	82
KUA4 Shooting star/Mat muhly (saline) (n=1)	83
KUA5 Sedge/Brown moss (saline) (n=1)	
j rich fen (subhydric/rich) (n=3)	
j1 rich fen - treed (n=0)	
j2 rich fen - shrubby (n=3)	
KUB3 Willow/Marsh reed grass (n=1)	88
KUB4 Willow/Sedge (n=1)	
KUC8 Willow/Brown moss/ Aw(Bw) (n=1)	90
j3 rich fen - graminoid (n=0)	
k marsh (hydric/rich) (n=3)	
k1 marsh (n=3)	
KUA6 Water parsnip/Northern manna grass (n=1)	94
KUA7 Northern quillwort (n=1)	95
KUA8 Water arum/Sedge (n=1)	96
Literature Cited	97

# List of Figures

Figure 1. Edatope grid and ecological sites for the Kazan Upland subregion......14

## List of Tables

## **Executive Summary**

The Kazan Upland Natural Subregion occurs in the far northeastern corner of Alberta and occupies 1.5% of the province (Natural Regions Committee 2006). The main area lies north of Lake Athabasca. It is bordered on the east and north by the Alberta–Saskatchewan and Alberta–Northwest Territories boundaries, respectively, on the west by the Slave River, and on the south by Lake Athabasca. There is a small outlier east of the Athabasca River between Fort McMurray and Fort Chipewyan embedded within the Athabasca Plain Natural Subregion. Elevations range from about 150 m to over 400 m. Approximately 60% of the landscape of the Kazan Upland subregion is exposed precambrian bedrock (Natural Regions Committee 2006).

This guide represents the analysis of 73 plots described in the Kazan Upland subregion. The 73 plots represent 34 community types. These types are split into: A. Native grasslands 8 community types B. Native shrublands 4 community types C. Deciduous types 8 community types D. Mixedwood types 4 community types E. Conifer types 10 community types. The dominant plant species, canopy cover, soil and environmental conditions are outlined for each type.

## Acknowledgements

Landscape classification is the process of breaking the landscape into definable and manageable pieces through a hierarchical classification. In the early 1990's the forested landscape of Alberta was classified using a well organized hierarchical system (Archibald/ Beckingham / Klappstein). Unfortunately this left about 50% of the remaining natural landscapes of the province unclassified. Starting in the late 1990's rangelands undertook efforts to classify the rangelands of Alberta. A need for consistency across the province was recognized. Therefore a hierarchical classification that built on the forested classification was used for all forest dominated subregions in the province.

These classifications involve taking large amounts of vegetation, soils and landscape inventory data taken from the ECOSYS database and compiling the data into succinct descriptive summaries for each ecological site, ecological site phase and plant community within a subregion.

In 2010 funding was provided by Policy and Planning Division, Alberta Environment and Parks in order to produce hard copy pdf documents from the ECOSYS website.

## Introduction and Background

The province of Alberta is covered by a broad spectrum of vegetation regions from prairie in the South, to alpine vegetation in the mountains and dense forests and extensive wetlands in the Central and Northern parts of the province. These broad vegetation regions have been classified into 6 natural regions and 21 subregions for the province (Natural Regions and Subregions of Alberta 2006). Each of the regions consists of groups of plant communities which are influenced by environmental conditions and human impacts. Intensive management of these regions requires the ability to recognize the vegetative communities that have similar productivities and respond to disturbance in the same way. These ecological classification guides are highly regarded by most resource managers as a tool which may assist in decision making processes related to livestock grazing, prime habitat for wildlife, productive watersheds and recreational areas, addressing biodiversity and conservation matters and numerous other potential related topics.

The purpose of this guide was to develop a framework that would easily group the ecological sites and vegetative community types in the Kazan Upland Natural Subregion of the province. Ecological site classification helps to organize our current understanding about ecosystem function. This organization is achieved by grouping research plots into similar and functional units that respond to disturbance in a similar and predictable manner.

The ecological site classification system outlined in this document organizes ecological information into a format that facilitates understanding and provides a structure for ecologically based management. The system has been developed primarily as a field tool to complement the user's knowledge about ecological site classification, soil description, and plant identification. The objectives of the ecological site classification are to provide a consistent overview of the common vegetation communities and site characteristics in order to:

1. to facilitate the application of ecological information to decisions on a wide variety of activities within the realm of land resource management

2. to facilitate the collection and organization of information to expedite the development of resource management applications and decision support systems

3. to promote communication among resource managers and between managers and the public

4. to provide a common basis for integrated planning, and

5. to reduce resource management costs by integrating ecological information into the decision-making process.

This guide builds on the work outlined in the Field guide to Ecosites of Northern Alberta (Beckingham and Archibald 1996) for the Canadian Shield Natural Region. In 2006 (Natural Regions and Subregions of Alberta 2006) the original Canadian shield region was split into the Athabasca Plain and Kazan Upland subregions. This guide outlines the analysis of 73 plots described in the Kazan Upland subregion.

## Physiography, Climate and Soils

# Please note this summary of Natural Subregion characteristics is extracted directly from the Natural Subregions guide (Natural Regions Committee 2006) and is presented here for the reader's convenience.

The Kazan Upland Natural Subregion is 9719 km2, covering only 1.5% of the province. It features short, warm summers where July is the warmest month, but possesses the coldest winters of any Natural Subregion in Alberta due to continental polar and continental arctic weather systems. July is also the month of maximum precipitation. Winter snowfall accounts for 40% of the annual total (Natural Regions Committee 2006). The Alberta Climate Model indicates the Kazan Upland Natural Subregion receives about the same annual precipitation as the Dry Mixedgrass Natural Subregion, and has a potential summer moisture deficit (summer moisture index) comparable to that of the Northern Fescue Natural Subregion. Figure 4-6.1 and Table 3-2 in Natural Regions and Subregions guide of Alberta provides monthly and annual climate data summaries. The prevalence of rock barrens and well to rapidly drained glacial deposits, together with low rainfalls, produce conditions that are favorable for non-vascular and vascular plants that are adapted to dry conditions.

Extensive outcrops of Precambrian bedrock, composed of Aphebian and Archaen granitoids define the Kazan Upland subregion. The westernmost edge of the Canadian Shield, defines the limits of the Kazan Upland; approximately, 60 percent of the landscape is exposed bedrock. The surficial landscape is comprised of about 45 percent glacially scoured rock, about 40 percent sandy and gravelly ice-contact glacial drift, and about 15 percent organic accumulations in low-lying areas. Parent materials are ice-scoured bedrock and coarse textured glacial.

The terrain is hummocky to rolling with relief up to 50 m. Nonsoils occur across about half the area. Surficial deposits between bedrock outcrops are mainly coarse and acidic. Soils on these materials are predominantly eluviated Dystric Brunisols with associated Orthic, Gleyed and Lithic Subgroups, the latter on very thin deposits over bedrock. Wetlands are a complex of Typic and Fibric Mesisols, many with Terric Subgroups, Peaty Gleysols also occur (Natural Regions Committee 2006).

## Approach and Methods of Classification

#### Approach:

#### Ecological classification hierarchy and terminology

The system of classification in this guide was initially based on the community type approach of Mueggler (1988). Mueggler's system was chosen over the habitat type approach (Daubenmire 1952) or ecosystem association approach (Corns and Annas 1986) because it could classify plant communities irregardless of their successional status. However, as the philosophy of proper functioning condition of a site evolved, it became apparent (through data analysis) that there was a need to also organize the various plant communities based on their response to disturbance (i.e. disturbance vs. natural succession) within an area under similar environmental influences.

It was determined that the ecosystem classification system developed by Corns and Annas (1986) and Beckingham et al. (1996) could accommodate this additional requirement. Thus, this classification system is a combination of Mueggler (1988) and Beckingham et al. (1996). Consequently, this guide adopts a similar ecological unit classification hierarchy (ecodistrict, ecosection, ecological site, ecological site phase, plant community). The ecological classification system is nested within Alberta's geographically based natural region and subregion classification system (Natural Regions Committee 2006).

#### Ecodistrict

The ecodistrict level is a unique pattern of slope, landform, soils and vegetation. Mapping of this unit is usually done at a scale of 1:1,000,000 to 1: 250,000 within the whole province (Strong and Anderson 1980). This level of the classification hierarchy is spatially defined and may or may not be unique to a subregion.

#### Ecosection

The natural subregion used by the Alberta Government is equivalent to the ecoregion defined by the Canada Committee on Ecological Land Classification (CCELC) as part of a multi-level national mapping system for Canada and that was used for integrated resource planning in Alberta (Marshall et al. 1996). Similarly, the ecodistrict as presently used and its associated scale of mapping is equivalent to the ecodistrict defined by the CCELC. However, the ecosection has a somewhat different meaning in the current context than it did in the national system or than it did when it was applied to integrated planning maps in Alberta in the 1980's and 1990's. For those mapping projects, the ecosection was a subdivision of the ecodistrict and was mapped at 1:20 000 to 1:50 000 as a more specific delineation of recurring landform and vegetation patterns, usually with reference to major community type groups or soil subgroups. In the current scheme, the ecosection is a term used to define one ecodistrict or an aggregation of ecodistricts that represent one or more climatic variants within a natural subregion; therefore, its mapping scale is flexible. This level of the classification system is not spatially defined. The ecosection is a unique pattern of slope, landform, soils and vegetation and may also represent a slight change in the climate of a subregion. Mapping of this unit is usually done at a scale of 1:1,000,000 to 1:100,000 and can be a grouping of ecodistricts or at smaller scales outliers in a subregion. For example the Lower Boreal Highlands subregion is split into the foothills and boreal ecosections which are influenced by their proximity and location within the Boreal and Foothills Natural Regions. Spatially these two ecosections are split by grouping ecodistricts. In contrast an example of a smaller scale ecosection (1:100,000) is the Cypress Hills outlier of the Montane subregion. Subregion ecosections have a characteristic sequence of ecological sites according to soil moisture regime (SMR) and, to a lesser degree, soil nutrient regime (SNR). Currently there is no ecosection described for this subregion.

#### Ecological Site

Ecological sites are ecological units that develop under similar environmental influences (climate, moisture, nutrient regime). They are groups of one or more ecological site phases that occur within the same portion of

the edatope (moisture/nutrient grid). Each ecological site is designated with a small letter. These letters range from "a" the driest ecological site and the last letter being the wettest. Each ecological site has been given a name that conveys some information about the ecology of the unit. Ecological sites are typically named after plant species that are common or typical of the site (eg. e low-bush cranberry). The plant that the ecological site is named after, however, may not be present in every plot or stand belonging to the site. Ecological site in this classification system, is a functional unit defined by moisture and nutrients. It is based on the combined interaction of biophysical factors which together dictate the availability of moisture and nutrients for plant growth. Thus, different ecological sites vary in their moisture and nutrient regime and have similar characteristic plants and soils.

#### Ecological site phase

An ecological site phase is a subdivision of the ecological site based on the dominant species in the canopy. On lowland, meadow or grassland sites where tree canopy is not present the tallest structural vegetation layer with greater than 5% cover determines the ecological site phase. Generally, ecological site phases are mappable units and spatial ecological site phase land cover datasets have been developed from AVI (Alberta Vegetation Inventory) (Derived Ecosite Phase (DEP)) and PLVI (Primary Land Vegetation Inventory). Ecological site phases are identified by the ecological site letter "a" along with a number "a1" representing the phase within the ecological site. Ecological site phases have a distinct range in canopy composition, lower strata plant species and pedogenic processes. The ecological site phase has a strong ecological basis and correlates well with forest cover on forest inventory maps.

#### Plant community type

Ecological site phases may be subdivided into plant community types, which are the lowest taxonomic unit in the classification system. While plant community types of the same ecological site phase share vegetational similarities they differ in their understory species composition and abundance. Generally the plant community types are named by combining the name of the dominant plant species in each structural layer (eg. White spruce/Horsetail/Moss)

#### Methods:

#### Plant community classification

Data used to create this guide were collected from field plots within the Kazan Upland subregion. Seventy three plots were used to create the classification for this subregion. Field inventory for these plots generally followed the Ecological Land Survey Site Description Manual (2003) and uses various site, vegetation and soils forms. Plot data was analyzed using the multivariate analysis techniques of classification and ordination. Classification is the assignment of plots to classes or groups based on the similarity of species within each plot. A polythetic agglomerative approach was used to group the samples. This technique assigns each plot to a cluster which has a single measure. It then agglomerates these clusters into a hierarchy of larger and larger clusters until finally a single cluster contains all the plots (Gauch 1982). The cluster analysis was performed in SAS with Euclidean distance used as the Cluster Distance Measure and Ward's method was used in the Group Linkage Method. The groupings generated in cluster analysis were overlain on the site ordination to determine final groupings.

Ordination was used to find relationships among species, communities and environmental variables. Ordination reduces the dimensionality of the data to 1-3 most important axes to which environmental gradients can be assigned. The ordination technique used in the analysis of the data was DECORANA (Detrended Correspondence Analysis). Once final groupings were determined on the ordination specific environmental variables can be assigned to the variation outlined on the ordination axes.

Plant community summaries were generated by averaging plant species composition, range in composition, and percent constancy of occurrence, among vegetation inventory plots which were part of a community type. Environmental data was sorted into the same plant community groupings to create the plant community descriptions outlined in this guide. The number of sample plots on which the description was based is also

#### provided (e.g. n=16).

#### Ecological Health and Ecological Status Score

Ecological health is determined by comparing the functioning of ecological processes on an area (e.g. plant community polygon) of to a standard (i.e. Reference Plant Community) described within an ecological site description. An ecological site is defined by the Task Group on Unity and Concepts (1995) as, "a distinctive kind of land with specific physical characteristics that differs from other kinds of land in its in its ability to produce a distinctive kind and amount of vegetation". This guide can be used to determine the appropriate reference plant community, within an ecological site, for a health assessment. We use health terminology (healthy, healthy with problems, or unhealthy), to rank the ability of the land to perform certain ecological functions. These functions include: net primary production, maintenance of soil/site stability, capture and beneficial release of water, nutrient and energy cycling and plant species functional diversity. For a detailed description on how to assess health for various plant communities please refer to "Rangeland Health Assessment for Grassland, Forest and Tame Pasture" (Adams et al. 2009).

An ecological status score (i.e. the integrity of the plant community composition compared to the reference plant community) has been added to each community type description. These values are based on what is currently known about how a reference plant community (RPC) responds to various kinds and levels of disturbance or successional processes. The values indicate how a particular plant community fits in the state and transition model relative to the RPC. If an experienced observer wishes to estimate the health of a plant community without completing a health form, (e.g. a small riparian area), these values can be used as a guide. Occasionally there are 2 options provided for the ecological status score. This was done for two reasons: 1) to express the range of divergence from the RPC possible for a particular plant community; or 2) to allow for different health forms to be used in communities with variable shrub or tree cover (e.g. on sites with high woody cover and/or an obvious LFH layer use the forest rangeland health form and the corresponding ecological status score; on sites dominated by herbaceous cover and/or an obvious herbaceous litter layer use the native grassland form). Late seral plant communities tend to be superior in the efficient capture of solar energy, in cycling of organic matter and nutrients, in retaining moisture, in supporting wildlife habitat values and in providing the highest potential productivity for the site (Adams et al. 2009). In contrast, early seral disturbed stages ability to produce a distinctive kind and amount of vegetation" represent plant communities with diminished ecological processes, which are less stable and more vulnerable to erosion and invasion by weeds and non-native species. In most cases these late seral plant communities are used as the RPC, but sometimes management goals influence the choice of RPC (e.g. a cut block to be maintained as untimbered rangeland).

## **Correlation of Soils and Ecological Sites**

# Please note this summary of Natural Subregion characteristics is extracted directly from the Natural Subregions guide (Natural Regions Committee 2006) and is presented here for the reader's convenience.

The acidic characteristics of granitic bedrock exposures, the well to rapidly drained glacial drift, and frequent fires significantly impact the vegetation in this subregion. Communities are associated with dry rock barrens are widespread, and although species diversity is low in any given locale, the variety of habitat results in high species variety across the barrens. Various lichen communities occupy south-facing and steep rock faces and slopes--many found only in the Kazan Upland (Natural Regions Committee 2006). "Pocket" communities grow in rock crevices and sheltered locations where mineral soil has accumulated and moisture conditions are right. The species associated with these types of areas are stunted jack pine and Alaska birch forming open stands, with a sparse understory of bearberry, ground juniper, bog cranberry, and a variety of drought-tolerant ferns and other herbs, mosses, and lichens (Natural Regions Committee 2006).

Places with course textured, rapidly drained and dry sandy or gravelly soils support more vigorous pine growth. The driest sites are vegetated by open jack pine stands with a patchy carpet of lichens below. Moister sites support more diverse understories of green alder, common blueberry, bearberry, common Labrador tea, Canada buffaloberry, bunchberry, and other herbs and feathermosses. Aspen, Alaska birch, and black spruce are locally common in places.

Small lakes occupy about 10% of the Kazan Upland Natural Subregion, and wetlands are common in the lower relief western half, covering approximately 20%. Adjacent to these areas, communities of moister adapted species can develop. These include aspen, balsam poplar, Alaska birch, white spruce, and a diverse shrub and forb understory. Bog communities are the dominant wetland type. Black spruce forms open-to-dense stands with an understory of common Labrador tea, leatherleaf, bog cranberry, cloudberry, and peat moss on Organic soils. Permafrost is discontinuous but widespread. Nutrient rich wetlands typically have open forests of tamarack, willow, dwarf birch, sedges, and rich-site mosses.

Nonsoils (e.g., bedrock outcrops) occur across about half the area. Surficial deposits between bedrock outcrops are mainly coarse and acidic. Soils on these materials are predominantly eluviated Dystric Brunisols with associated Orthic, Gleyed and Lithic Subgroups, the latter on very thin deposits over bedrock. Wetlands are a complex of Typic and Fibric Mesisols, many with Terric Subgroups. Peaty Gleysols also occur. Appendix 7 summarizes the proportional occurrence of soil types in the Kazan Upland Natural Subregion (Natural Regions Committee 2006).

## **Guidelines for Determining Ecological Sites**

Alberta currently uses two ecological classification methods to determine ecological sites. In the agricultural settlement area of the Province, resource managers can determine site soil conditions using AGRASID (Agricultural Region of Alberta Soil Inventory Database). In the Rocky Mountain, Foothills and Boreal Natural Regions, the Ecological Landscape Classification approach incorporates both vegetation and site conditions (climate, soils and geology) into a hierarchical ecological unit classification (e.g. subregion, ecodistrict, ecosection, ecological site, ecological site phase, plant community) (Strong and Thompson 1995). Ecological sites are areas of similar climate, moisture and nutrient regimes. The combination of moisture and nutrient regimes can be represented on a two-dimensional grid called the edatope grid. The edatope grid is a twodimensional table with soil moisture regime increasing from bottom to top along the vertical axis and soil nutrient regime increasing from left to right on the horizontal axis. Soil moisture regime (SMR) is defined as the average amount of soil water available annually for evapotranspiration by vascular plants (Meidinger and Pojar 1991). The SMR uses nine classes to define the available soil moisture, which range from the driest (very xeric) to the wettest (hydric). Soil nutrient regime (SNR) is defined as the amount of essential soil nutrients that are available to vascular plants over a period of several years (Meidinger and Pojar 1991). SNR is broken down into five classes that range from A (very poor) to E (very rich). Generally ecological sites are named from low moisture/low nutrient to high moisture/high nutrient. Ecological sites within a Natural subregion are defined unique combinations of soil moisture and nutrients. These conditions, in addition to climate, terrain, and elevations create conditions favourable to specific suite of plants referred to as Indicator species. For example a site with a subxeric moisture regime and poor nutrient regime site is characterized by the "a" [bearberry (subxeric/poor)] ecological site. A resource manager can review the indicator plant species of the ecological site, plant community types, soils and site conditions to see if the plant community in question fits the general descriptions. The following steps provide a framework for determining ecological sites.

Step 1 Review background information and pre-stratify the area to be classified

Review information about the area of interest to learn what you can about the landscape and ecology. Consult the natural subregions and Derived Ecosite Phase (DEP) or Primary Land Vegetation Inventory (PLVI) maps to ensure you are using the correct subregion guide. DEP and PLVI classification will also give you the common ecological site phase for a particular forest polygon.

Step 2 Carry out a quick reconnaissance of the site to be classified

Take note of the variability and relationship between topography and position on the landscape and the general plant species distribution including trees and understory. Check the DEP and PLVI ecological site phase maps.

Step 3 Choose a location that appears to be representative of the area to be classified

Locate an area for your assessment that appears to be representative of the site to be classified, and is homogeneous in slope, plant cover, and overstory canopy conditions as possible. Avoid locating the sample in areas that have received significant natural or artificial disturbance. Also avoid ecotone areas or relatively small areas that are transitional between homogenous ecological units such as slope breaks.

Step 4 Determine the plant species composition and abundance

Determine the plant species composition and abundance within a 10x10 m plot. Also record any species that appear to be representative of the ecological unit but occur outside the plot within the same slope position and on the same parent materials. Abundance is estimated by determining the amount of ground area that is covered by the plant species when its canopy is projected onto the ground surface (Ecological Land Survey Site Description Manual 2003).

Step 5 Determine the important soil properties

To collect soils data, a soil pit must be dug or augered. In most cases a soil pit 60 cm deep will be adequate. A deeper pit is required when the soil has a coarse to moderately coarse texture. In these cases the pit is dug deeper to see if there are finer-textured layers that are influencing ecological function below the 60 cm of coarse material. A deeper pit is also required when the plant community on the site cannot be explained by the site conditions and soil conditions above 60 cm. The minimum soils data that should be collected within a plot to classify it correctly are organic matter thickness, humus form, Ah horizon thickness, surface texture, effective texture, presence of seepage, depth to mottles, depth to gley, coarse fragment content, parent material/landform and drainage.

#### Step 6 Determine important site properties

Important site variables that should be collected include topographic position, slope, aspect, moisture regime, and nutrient regime.

Step 7 Determine the natural subregion, ecological site, ecological site phase and plant community type.

There are several ways to determine the ecological site, ecological site phase and plant community type. The first way is to assign an ecological classification to a site is to use the field data collected and go through the various subregion guides to identify the ecological site. You can also use the dichotomous keys to ecological site and ecological site phase. Once you find a potentially correct plant community type, check the soil, site and vegetational characteristics of your site to make sure it matches the ecological site, ecological site phase and plant community type on the various fact sheets. To consider all ecological site choices, you must compare the characteristics of your site, with the descriptions on the fact sheets for all ellipses that overlap the moisture and nutrient classes of your site on the edatope grid for the subregion and adjacent subregions within the area (Ecological Land Survey Site Description Manual 2003).

## How to use the Guide

#### Organization of the guide

This guide is an expansion of the Ecosites of Northern Alberta guide (Beckingham and Archibald 1996). It contains new information and it is recommended that the reader has access to relevant information from both guides. The community types in this guide are closely related to the ecosites and ecosite phases outlined in Ecosites of Northern Alberta (Beckingham and Archibald 1996), and are similarly arranged (e.g. Table 1). Table 1 is a reproduction of Figure 20 in Ecosites of Northern Alberta with community types in this guide further separated into reference range plant communities, successional communities and harvesting and fire communities. The "Successional community types" or "Harvesting and Fire succession" categories outline the successional sequence the community types undergo with heavy grazing pressure, harvesting or fire disturbance.

The bulk of this guide consists of ecological sites, ecological site phase and vegetation community descriptions which include information on the dominant plant species, canopy cover and environmental conditions. Where available, plant community successional information (ie response to grazing) has been included to help you determine rangeland health and the successional relationships of an ecological site.

Ecological units within a subregion are classified by their position on the edatopic grid.

The information in this guide is presented and named by:

- 1. Subregion/Ecological area
- a. Kazan Upland (KU)
- 2. Dominant cover type
- a. A-grassland
- b. B-shrubland
- c. C-deciduous
- d. D-mixedwood
- e. E-conifer

3. A number- Generally, communities are named/numbered from low moisture /nutrient status to high moisture/nutrient status. For example, KUE1 Pj/Lichen community on the"b" subxeric/poor ecological site, while KUE10 Lt/Alder/Sedge community type on the "j" subhydric/rich ecological site.

#### Eg. KUE1 Pj/Lichen

NOTE: As additional information is collected and new ecological units are identified and described, an attempt is made to fit them into the pre-existing ones.

#### How to read the fact sheets

The field guide contains 4 types of fact sheets: One for ecosection, one for ecological site, one for ecological site phase and one for plant community type.

#### Ecosection

There is an identification code at the top of the ecosection fact sheet and a name followed by the number of sample sites (pg 20). Each ecosection has been given a name that conveys information about the location of the unit and are frequently named after a general location within the subregion (Ecosection: Cypress Hills (Mc) of the Montane subregion). A short text description of the site is given under the General Description (pg 20), this is followed by a picture or a cross section diagram and map of the ecosection(pg 20). The section on successional relationships gives a brief note about the spatial locations and differences in ecosections (pg

20). This is followed by a list of envrionmental variables (elevation), ecodistricts and ecological sites associated with the ecosection (pg 20).

### Ecological site

There is an identification letter at the top of the ecological site fact sheet and a name, moisture and nutrients followed by the number of sample sites (pg 21). Each ecological site has been given a name that conveys information about the ecology of the unit and are frequently named after a common plant species. A short text description of the site is given under the General Description (pg 21), this is followed by a picture or a cross section diagram of the ecological site (pg 21). The section on successional relationships gives a brief note about the temporal development of the ecological site (pg 21). It generally describes the successional relationships among the ecological site phases and plant community types. Plant species that are indicators of the ecological conditions on the site are listed (pg 21). Site index at 50 years of age at breast height (1.3 m) is presented next. The mean site index is presented in meters followed by the standard error and the number of trees used to calculate the mean (pg 21). Environment and soil variables are then listed and represent a rollup from the plant community and ecological site phase descriptions (pg 21). Variables that represent environment and soils have a number (1) that indicates the number of the samples in which each variable class occurred. Data has been collected and analyzed from many sources over 40 years and data gaps may exist for many variables. The frequency of occurrence value indicates the number of sampled plots for which data was collected for that variable at the Ecological site, Ecological site phase and plant community fact sheets. Optional variables such as soil exposure, LFH thickness, forage production and stocking rate for livestock may also be listed and represent a roll-up for the plant community and ecological site phase.

### Ecological site phase

There is an identification code at the top of the ecological site phase fact sheet and a name followed by the number of sample sites (pg 22). Each ecological site phase has been given a name that conveys information about the dominant tree species or lifeform (shrubland, grassland, tame/disturbance) of the unit and are frequently named after a common plant species. A short text description of the site and successional information maybe given under the General Description or Successional relationships (pg 22) if it is provides more detail than is available on the ecological site fact sheet. Plant species that are indicators of the ecological conditions on the site are listed with the average cover summarized from the various plant communities (pg 22). Indicator species for the ecological site fact sheet. Environment and soil variables are then listed and represent a roll-up from the plant community (pg 22). Optional variables such as soil exposure, LFH thickness, forage production and stocking rate for livestock may also be listed and represent a roll-up for the plant communities.

### Plant community

There is an identification code at the top of the plant community fact sheet and a name followed by the number of sample sites (pg 23). The name of the plant community is generally the common name of the indicator plant species within the various lifeform layers (tree, shrub, forb, grass, lichen, moss). This is followed by the latin name of each indicator species and a general description of the community type describing its unique ecology. Plant species that are indicators of the ecological conditions on the site are listed with the mean cover summarized, range in cover and overall constancy (frequency of plots that the species was described (pg 23)). Environment and soil variables are then listed and represent a roll-up from the various plots and assessements (pg 23). Optional variables such as soil exposure, LFH thickness, forage production and stocking rate for livestock may also be listed and represent a roll-up for various plots.

## Results

This guide represents the analysis of 73 grassland/lichen, shrubland, conifer and deciduous field plots described from the Kazan Upland subregion. These plots are distributed between 34 community types:

- A. Native grasslands 8 types
- B. Shrubland 4 types
- C. Deciduous 8 types
- D. Mixedwood 4 types
- E. Conifer 10 types

The dominant plant species, canopy cover and environmental conditions are outlined for each community type.

## **General Ecological Descriptions**

Please note this summary of Natural Subregion characteristics is largely extracted directly from the Natural Subregions guide (Natural Regions Committee 2006) and is presented here for the reader's convenience.

#### Forest Communities

Vegetation in the Kazan Upland Natural Subregion is strongly influenced by the distribution and acidic characteristics of granitic bedrock exposures and well to rapidly drained glacial drift, and by frequent fires. Beckingham and Archibald (1996) produced a general description of vegetation communities based on limited plot data. Coarse textured, rapidly drained and dry sandy or gravelly soils support vigorous jack pine growth. The driest sites are vegetated by open jack pine stands with a patchy carpet of lichens on the forest floor. Moister sites with submesic-mesic moisture regimes support more diverse understories of green alder, common blueberry, bearberry, common Labrador tea, Canada buffaloberry, bunchberry, other herbs and feathermosses with an overstory of jack pine, white spruce or aspen. Aspen, Alaska birch and black spruce are locally common in places. Brunisols are common soils on these upland forested community types. On moister sites with subhygric to hygric moisture regimes communities of aspen, balsam poplar, Alaska birch, white spruce and a diverse and lush shrub (red osier dogwood and willow on rich sites and Labrador tea on poorer sites) and forb (horsetail) understories develop in bands adjacent to wetlands and along lakes.

#### Wetlands

The Alberta Wetland Classification System (2015) recognizes the hydrological, biogeochemical and biotic processes that affect differing characteristics that can be used to define a wetland. The AWCS recognizes five classes of wetlands in Alberta: bogs, fens, marshes, shallow open water and swamps. Wetlands can be divided into two broad groups: **peatlands** and **mineral wetlands**. In general the AWCS considers bogs and fens to be peatlands and all other wetland classes (i.e. swamps, marshes and shallow open waters) are considered to be mineral wetlands. For the most part the ecological sites align with AWCS five classes of wetlands (Table A), however some willow, bog birch, sedge, marsh reedgrass and tufted hairgrass dominated ecological sites because of their moisture regime and species composition are classified as meadows and fens and have mineral soils but in the AWCS classification these sites are mineral wetlands which are considered marshes or swamps.

Table A. Cross walk of broad AWCS classes to general Ecological site

AWCS	Ecological Sites
Bog	Bog
Fen	Poor fen (Organic soils)
Fen	Rich fen (Organic soils)
Marsh	Poor fen, Rich fen (Mineral soils)
Marsh	Meadows
Marsh	Marsh
Swamp	Red osier dogwood, Honeysuckle, Horsetail and wetter Labrador tea, black spruce
dominated ecolo	gical sites.

Dozens of small lakes occupy about 10 percent of the Kazan Upland Natural Subregion, the largest being Cornwall, Colin, Charles, Wylie and Andrew Lakes. A few small streams drain into the Slave River. Wetlands are most common in the lower relief western half of the Natural Subregion, and cover about 20 percent of the total area. Treed poor fens and bogs on Organic soils are the most common wetland type. Shrubby fens and marshes on Organic and wet mineral soils also occur.

Bog communities are the dominant wetland type. Black spruce forms open-to-dense stands with an understory of common Labrador tea, leatherleaf, bog cranberry, cloudberry and peat moss on Organic soils. Permafrost is discontinuous but widespread. Nutrient-rich wetlands typically have open forests of tamarack, willow, dwarf

birch, sedges and rich-site mosses. Marshes can be locally extensive in sheltered lake bays or along creek channels, and are dominated by water and small bottle sedge, bulrushes, and in deeper water, pondweeds.

#### Grasslands


Upland dry grasslands are not common in the Kazan Upland subregion. Allen et al. 2004 did observe a hay sedge dominated community on upper to mid slope positions on steep south and west facing slopes with sandy or gravelly glacial deposits in the Colin-Cornwall Lakes Wildland Provincial Park. Saline meadow complexes were described within the La Butte Creek Wildland Provincial Park (Allen et al. 2002) at two sites. Site 1 which is described was slightly drier than site 2 and was dominated by mat muhly, shooting star and seaside arrow grass. The other site which was slightly wetter was dominated by brown moss, awned sedge and seaside arrowgrass on the drier margins. It was felt that the mat muhly dominated community was subjected to higher levels of salt through evaporation. Raup (1935) described similar saline complexes in Wood Buffalo National Park and Bailey et al. (1992) described saline complexes in the Yukon Territory. The wettest edges were dominated by samphire sea blight, the Nuttall's saltgrass, foxtail barley and reedgrass and willow communities on the drier edges.

Lowland sites dominated by water sedge and marsh reedgrass were also observed in the Colin-Cornwall Lakes Wildland Park (Allen et al. 2004). The marsh reedgrass community was observed in patches or in narrow bands besides lakes, rivers and streams. They also observed fairly extensive meadows of marsh reedgrass near ponds and lakes. Water sedge and beaked sedge communities were observed along the edges of small ponds or a linear community along drainages where moisture was sufficient. Marshes dominated by cattails tend to occur in small patches along creeks (Allen et al. 2004).

#### Shrublands

Upland shrub types develop when soil conditions are too poor for tree growth or tree canopy has been removed mechanically or by fire. On eroded glaciofluvial kames a Bearberry/Indian ricegrass community was described (Allen et al. 2002). Allen et al. (2004) found this community type graded into open jack pine stands in La Butte Creek Wildland Provincial Park. Upland forest sites disturbed by fire will often have a early successional shrub phase. Labrador tea, lichen and feather moss tend to dominate the poorer sites and rose, Saskatoon, green alder and Bebb's willow the more mesic sites. Shrub communities dominated by willow, water birch and bog birch dominate the moister and richer sites. Sedges and reed grasses (Calamagrostis spp) dominate the drier edges of marshes or areas that have standing water only during spring runoff (i.e. fens). Willow will invade into these fens to form the Willow/Sedge and Willow/Reed grass plant communities and bog birch and Labrador tea tend to dominate the poorer nutrient sites.

### Nutrient Regime



## **Ecological sites**

a=lichen stone fields xeric/very poor aa=Sand heather/moss xeric/poor b=bearberry/lichen subxeric/poor c=blueberry submesic/medium d=Labrador tea-mesic mesic/poor e= buffaloberry/alder mesic/medium g=red osier dogwood/horsetail subhygric/rich g=Labrador tea - hygric hygric/medium h=bog subhydric/very poor i=poor fen subhydric/medium j=rich fen subhydric/rich k=marsh hydric/rich

Figure 1. Edatope grid and ecological sites for the Kazan Upland subregion.

# Plant Community Keys

1.	Kazan Upland	2
2.	a. (xeric/very poor) exposed bedrock with crustose lichens (ecosite a lichen stonefield) aa. (xeric/poor) open sand dunes with sand heather, Plains wormwood or bearberry (ecosite aa Sand heather/moss)	5
	b. (subxeric/poor) coarse textured soils dominated by jack pine, bearberry, lichen or hair cap moss (ecosite b bearberry/lichen)	
Lab	<ul> <li>c. (submesic/medium) coarse textured soils with aspen, jackpine and blueberry understory (ecosite c blueberry)</li> <li>d. (mesic/poor) mesic sites with poor nutrient regimes dominated by black spruce, jack pine and labrador tea and aspen mixedwoods (ecosite addr tea - mesic)</li> </ul>	d
	e. (mesic/medium) mesic site with medium nutrient regimes dominated by aspen, jackpine, white spruce and understory of buffaloberry and all site e buffaloberry/alder)	der
red	f. (subhygric/rich) moist sites with aspen, balsam poplar, white spruce or balsam fir with an understory of red osier dogwood or horsetail (ecosi osier dogwood/horsetail)	
	g. (hygric/medium) very moist sites with poor nutrient regime dominated by black spruce and jack pine with labrador tea in the understory (ecorrador tea - hygric)	site g
	<ul> <li>h. (subhydric/very poor) bog dominated by black spruce, sphagnum, and labrador tea (ecosite h bog)</li> <li>i. (subhydric/medium) poor fen site codominated by black spruce and larch, understory has bog birch with some sphagnum and some golden r site i poor fen)</li> </ul>	23 noss
(000	<ul> <li>j. (subhydric/rich) rich fen dominated by larch, with willows and bog birch, little sphagnum (ecosite j fen)</li> <li>k. (hydric/rich) aquatic sites with open standing water, dominated by cattails, northern quillwort and rush species (ecosite k marsh)</li> </ul>	29
3.	exposed bedrock with crustose lichens (ecosite phase a1 lichen stonefields)	4
4.	Lichen stonefield (KUA1)	. p 23
5.	low shrub and grass dominated community types (ecosite phase aa1 grass/sand heather)	
6.	jack pine dominated phases (ecosite phase b1 bearberry/lichen - Pj) low shrub dominated phase (ecosite phase b2 bearberry/lichen - shrub)	
7.	Pj/Lichen (KUE1) Pj/Bearberry (KUE2)	
8.	Bearberry/Indian ricegrass (KUA2) Juniper/Lichen(Pj) (KUB1)	•
9.	jack pine and aspen dominated phase (ecosite phase c1 blueberry - Pj-Aw(Bw))	
	deciduous dominated phase little jack pine (ecosite phase c2 blueberry - Aw(Bw)site dominated by grasses (hay sedge, northern ricegrass) (ecosite phase c6 - grassland)site dominated by tame forage species (brome, creeping red fescue, timothy) (ecosite phase c7 tame/disturbed)	
10.	Pj-Aw(Bw)/Bearberry-Bog cranberry (KUD3) Pj/Blueberry (KUE3)	
11.	Aw/Rose/Spreading dogbane (KUC1)	. p 38
12.	Hay sedge-Slender wheatgrass (KUA3)	. p 40
	predominantly fine textured soils with forests dominated by black spruce, aspen and jack pine (ecosite phase d1 Labrador tea - mesic Pj-Sb)	
14.	Aw-Pj-Sb/Labrador tea (KUC4) Sb-Aw/Moss (KUD1)	
	Pj/Bog cranberry/lichen (KUE11)	•
	Pj- Sb/Bog cranberry/lichen (KUE4)	
15.	mesic site dominated by aspen (ecosite phase e1 buffaloberry/alder - Aw)	
	site dominated by a mixture of deciduous and conifer species (ecosite phase e2 buffaloberry/alder - Aw-Sw-Pj)site dominated by conifer trees (jack pine,white spruce) (ecosite phase e4 buffaloberry/alder - Pj-Sw)	
	mesic site dominated by shrubs (ecosite phase e3 buffaloberry/alder - shrubland)	10
16.	Aw(Bw)/Alder (KUC2)	•
	Aw/Buffaloberry (KUC3) Aw-Pb/Saskatoon-Red Osier Dogwood/Spreading dogbane (KUC7)	
17.	Aw-Sw/Buffaloberry (KUD2) Aw- Pj/Alder (KUD4)	
18.	Pj/Alder (KUE5)	
	Sb-Sw/Moss (KUE6)	•
19.	moist site dominated by deciduous species (aspen, balsam poplar) (ecosite phase f3 fern/horsetail - Pb-Aw) moist sites dominated by conifer trees (white spruce, balsam fir) (ecosite phase f1 fern/horsetail - Sw(Fb)) moist site dominated by mixture of conifer and deciduous species (ecosite phase f2 fern/horsetail - Pb-Sw)	20

	moist site dominated by shrub species (red osier dogwood) (ecosite phase f4 shrub) site dominated by tame species (brome, creeping red fescue,timothy) (ecosite phase f5 tame/disturbed)	
20.	Pb/Alder-Red osier dogwood/Horsetail (KUC5) Bw-Aw-Pb/Willow (KUC6)	-
21.	conifer dominated site (black spruce and jack pine)(ecosite phase g1 Labrador tea - hygric Sb-Pj)	,
22.	Sb/Alder/Tufted moss (KUE7)	p71
23.	site dominated by trees (black spruce) (ecosite phase h1 bog - treed) site dominated by shrub species (labrador tea) (ecosite phase h2 - bog shrubby) site dominated by graminoid and sphagnum species (mud sedge) (ecosite phase h3 - graminoid bog)	
24.	Sb/Labrador tea/peat moss (KUE8)	p 74
25.	Labrador tea/Peat moss (Sb) (KUB2)	p 76
26.	site dominated by tree species (larch, black spruce) (ecosite phase i1 poor fen - treed) site dominated by graminoid species (sedges) (ecosite phase i3 poor fen - graminoid) site dominated by shrub species (bog birch) (ecosite phase i2 poor fen - shrubby)	
27.	Sb-Lt/Labrador tea/Golden moss (KUE9)	p 80
28.	Shooting star/Mat muhly (saline) (KUA4) Sedge/Brown moss (saline) (KUA5)	
29.	site dominated by tree species (larch) (ecosite phase j1 rich fen - treed) site dominated by shrub species (willow) (ecosite phase j2 rich fen - shrubby) site dominated by graminoid species (sedge) (ecosite phase j3 rich fen - graminoid)	
30.	Lt-Sb/River alder/Sedge (KUE10)	p 70
31.	Willow/Marsh reed grass (KUB3) Willow/Sedge (KUB4) Willow/Brown moss/ Aw(Bw) (KUC8)	
32.	marsh sites with standing water (ecosite phase k1 marsh)	
33.	Water parsnip/Northern manna grass (KUA6) Northern quillwort (KUA7) Water arum/Sedge (KUA8)	

# Plant Community Tables

### Table 1. Kazan Upland Communities

Ecological Site / Range Site	Ecosite Phase / Ecological Range Site	Reference Plant Community	Grazing Succession	Modified Plant Community	Harvesting Succession
a lichen stone fields	a1 lichen stonefields	KUA1 Lichen stonefield			
aa Sand heather/moss (xeric/poor)	aa1 grass/Sand heather				
b bearberry/lichen(subxeric/poor)	b1 bearberry/lichen - Pj	KUE1 Pj/Lichen			
		KUE2 Pj/Bearberry		_	
	b2 bearberry/lichen - shrub	KUA2 Bearberry/Indian ricegrass			
		KUB1 Juniper/Lichen(Pj)		-	
c blueberry(submesic/medium)	c1 blueberry - Pj-Aw(Bw)	KUD3 Pj-Aw(Bw)/Bearberry-Bog cranberry			
		KUE3 Pj/Blueberry		-	
	c2 blueberry - Aw(Bw)	KUC1 Aw/Rose/Spreading dogbane			
	c6 blueberry grassland	KUA3 Hay sedge-Slender wheatgrass			
	c7 tame/disturbed				
d Labrador tea-mesic(mesic/poor)	d1 Labrador tea-mesic Pj-Sb	KUC4 Aw-Pj-Sb/Labrador tea			
		KUD1 Sb-Aw/Moss		-	
		KUE11 Pj/Bog cranberry/lichen		-	
		KUE4 Pj- Sb/Bog cranberry/lichen		-	
e buffaloberry/alder(mesic/medium)	e1 buffaloberry-alder/ Aw	KUC2 Aw(Bw)/Alder			
		KUC3 Aw/Buffaloberry		-	
		KUC7 Aw-Pb/Saskatoon-Red Osier Dogwood/Spreading dogbane		-	
	e2 buffaloberry-alder/ Pj-Sw-Sb-Aw-	KUD2 Aw-Sw/Buffaloberry			

Ecological Site / Range Site	Ecosite Phase / Ecological Range Site	Reference Plant Community	Grazing Succession	Modified Plant Community	Harvesting Succession
	Bw	KUD4 Aw- Pj/Alder			
	e3 buffaloberry-alder shrubland				
	e4 buffaloberry-alder/ Pj-Sw-Sb	KUE5 Pj/Alder			
		KUE6 Sb-Sw/Moss		_	
f red osier dogwood/horsetail (subhygric/rich)	f1 red osier dogwood/horsetail Sw				
	f2 red osier dogwood/horsetail Pb- Sw				
	f3 red osier dogwood/horsetail - Pb- Aw(Ba)	KUC5 Pb/Alder-Red osier dogwood/Horsetail			
		KUC6 Bw-Aw-Pb/Willow			
	f4 shrub				
	f5 tame/disturbed				
g Labrador tea-hygric(hygric/medium)	g1 Labrador tea-hygric Sb-Pj	KUE10 Lt-Sb/River alder/Sedge			
		KUE7 Sb/Alder/Tufted moss		-	
h bog (subhydric/very poor)	h1 bog - treed	KUE8 Sb/Labrador tea/peat moss			
	h2 bog - shrubby	KUB2 Labrador tea/Peat moss (Sb)			
	h3 bog -graminoid				
poor fen (subhydric/medium)	i1 poor fen - treed	KUE9 Sb-Lt/Labrador tea/Golden moss			
	i2 poor fen - shrubby				
	i3 poor fen - graminoid	KUA4 Shooting star/Mat muhly (saline)			
		KUA5 Sedge/Brown moss (saline)		-	
rich fen (subhydric/rich)	j1 rich fen - treed				
	j2 rich fen - shrubby	KUB3 Willow/Marsh reed grass			


Ecological Site / Range Site	Ecosite Phase / Ecological Range Site	Reference Plant Community	Grazing Succession	Modified Plant Community	Harvesting Succession
		KUB4 Willow/Sedge			
		KUC8 Willow/Brown moss/ Aw(Bw)			
	j3 rich fen - graminoid				
k marsh (hydric/rich)	k1 marsh	KUA6 Water parsnip/Northern manna grass			
		KUA7 Northern quillwort			
		KUA8 Water arum/Sedge			

#### KU Kazan Upland (n=73)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland

#### **General Description**

This subregion has not been split into ecosections. It includes the Uranium City and Hart Lake Plain ecodistricts. The subregion is located north of Lake Athabasca in the Northeastern part of the province with a small outlier at the headwaters of the Marguerite River. It is bordered by Athabasca Plain in the south and Northern Mixedwood and Peace Athabasca Delta subregions on its eastern boundary.





#### **Environmental Variables**

Elevation (range): 248.97 (180-330) M

Ec	ological Sites	Site Count
а	lichen stone fields	1
b	bearberry/lichen(subxeric/poor)	18
С	blueberry(submesic/medium)	12
d	Labrador tea-mesic(mesic/poor)	10
е	buffaloberry/alder(mesic/medium)	16
f	red osier dogwood/horsetail (subhygric/rich)	2
g	Labrador tea-hygric(hygric/medium)	2
h	bog (subhydric/very poor)	3
i	poor fen (subhydric/medium)	3
j	rich fen (subhydric/rich)	3
k	marsh (hydric/rich)	3

#### lichen stone fields (n=1) а

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland

#### **General Description**

This ecosite occurs on xeric rocky outcrops throughout the Kazan Upland subregion. Moisture and nutrients are virtually nonexistent on these rock outcrops, where nearly 60% of the landscape in the subregion is exposed bedrock. Where there is some moisture accumulation in the rock crevices Cladina species and some grasses, sedges and xeric forb species are found growing. These sites also have high potential for extreme exposure to wind and insolation. There is very little soil or organic material present; therefore, the lichens have to rely on the rock substrate and the air for their Topographic Position:Crest (1) moisture and nutrient requirements. Only lichen appears to tolerate the existent conditions on these rocky outcrops.

#### Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

#### **Environmental Variables**

Moisture Regime: Very Xeric (very dry) (0) Nutrient Regime: Oligotrophic (very poor) (0) Elevation (range): 295 (295-295) M Slope (%): very gentle slope (1) Aspect: Southerly (1)

#### Soil Variables

Soil Drainage: Rapidly drained (1) Soil Subgroup: Surface Texture: Effective Texture: Depth to Mottles/Gley: Not Applicable (0) Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (1) Parent Material: Rock (1) Humus Form

LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
cm:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0

#### Successional Relationships

This ecosite is characteristic of weakly or noncalcareous sites and is successionally mature.

#### **Indicator Species**

#### Lichen

N/A Umbilicaria muehlenbergii N/A Arctoparmelia centrifuga REINDEER LICHEN Cladina mitis



## a1 lichen stonefields (n=1)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

#### **Characteristic Species**

#### Shrub

[	2.0]	COMMON BEARBERRY Arctostaphylos uva-ursi
[	1.0]	GROUND JUNIPER Juniperus communis
Lic	hen	
[	15.0 ]	REINDEER LICHEN* Cladina mitis
[	8.0]	N/A* Umbilicaria muehlenbergii

#### [ 5.0 ] N/A\* Arctoparmelia centrifuga

#### Moss and Liverwort

[ 1.0 ] AWNED HAIR-CAP Polytrichum piliferum Ecosite: a lichen stone fields

#### **Environmental Variables**

Moisture Regime: Very Xeric (very dry) (0) Nutrient Regime: Oligotrophic (very poor) (0) Elevation (range): 295 (295-295) M Slope (%): very gentle slope (1) Aspect: Southerly (1) Topographic Position:Crest (1)

#### **Soil Variables**

Soil Drainage: Rapidly drained (1)
Soil Subgroup:
Surface Texture:
Effective Texture:
Depth to Mottles/Gley: Not Applicable (0)
Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (1)
Parent Material: Rock (1)
Humus Form

LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
cm:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0

## KUA1 Lichen stonefield (n=1)

### (Umblicaria spp.)

This community type represents the lichen cover on rock outcrops throughout the subregion. These Precambrian rock outcrops represent 60% of the landscape in the subregion (Natural Regions Committee 2006)). There are no soil or organic material associated with the exposed bedrock which favours the growth of crustose lichens. In the rock crevices where some organic matter and moisture accumulates reindeer lichen is common.

## Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

**Ecosite:** a lichen stone fields **Ecosite Phase:** a1 lichen stonefields

Plant Composition	Canop	y Cover (%)		Environmental Varia	ables			
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 4	0-40			
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)				Moisture Regime: Very Xe	ric (very dry) (	D)		
GROUND JUNIPER				Nutrient Regime: Oligotrop		,		
(Juniperus communis)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	5 5 1		) (0)		
COMMON BEARBERRY	0.0		400	Elevation (range): 295 (29	5-295) M			
(Arctostaphylos uva-ursi)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	Slope (%): 2.5 - 5.99 (1)				
Low Forb (< 30 cm)				Aspect: Southerly (1)				
HAREBELL (Campanula rotundifolia)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Topographic Position: Cre	st (1)			
THREE-TOOTHED CINQUEFOIL	1.0	1.0-1.0	100		( )			
(Potentilla tridentata)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Soil Variables				
THREE-TOOTHED SAXIFRAGE								
(Saxifraga tricuspidata)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Soil Drainage: Rapidly dra	ined (1)			
RUSTY WOODSIA				Soil Subgroup:				
(Woodsia ilvensis)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Surface Texture:				
Graminoid				Effective Texture:				
SILVERY-FLOWERED SEDGE	1.0	1.0-1.0	100					
<i>(Carex aenea)</i> UMBELLATE SEDGE	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Depth to Mottles/Gley: Not	••• ••			
(Carex umbellata)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 c	m (1)			
SMALL BOTTLE SEDGE				Parent Material: Rock (1)				
(Carex utriculata)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Humus Form				
ROCKY MOUNTAIN FESCUE								
(Festuca saximontana)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Мах	Count
NORTHERN RICE GRASS	1.0	1010	100		0.00			2
(Oryzopsis pungens) TIMBERLINE BLUEGRASS	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	cm:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
(Poa glauca)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100					
Moss	1.0	1.0 1.0	100					
AWNED HAIR-CAP								
(Polytrichum piliferum)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100					
Lichen								
N/A								
(Arctoparmelia centrifuga)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100					
N/A								
(Umbilicaria muehlenbergii)	8.0	8.0-8.0	100					
REINDEER LICHEN	15.0		100					
(Cladina mitis)	15.0	15.0-15.0	100					

#### Sand heather/moss (xeric/poor) aa

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland

#### **General Description**

This ecological site represents the sand of paleodunes of various types, including parabolic and longitudinal dunes and is more common in the southern outlier of the Kazan Upland and Athabasca Plain subregions. This ecological site is primarily made up of large, distinctive dune ridges that rise well above the surrounding wetlands or sand plains. The dunes characteristically have steep slopes, dune crests and often include areas of active sand(blowouts). Tops of stabilized dune ridges have mature jack pine / lichen communities. Blowouts are generally unvegetated, with areas of sand heather / lichen crust beginning the stabilization process. Jack pine / green alder communities can be found in moister locations (e.g. bases of sand ridges). This ecological site is not common in the Kazan Upland subregion and currently no data has been collected.



### (n=0)

Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

#### **Environmental Variables**

Moisture Regime:
Nutrient Regime:
Elevation (range):
Slope (%):
Aspect:
Topographic Position:
Soil Variables

Soil Drainage:			
Soil Subgroup:			
Surface Texture:			
Effective Texture:			
Depth to Mottles/Gley:			
Organic Thickness:			
Parent Material:			
Humus Form			
	 	 -	

LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
cm:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0

#### Successional Relationships

Due to the dry nature of the site often only sand heather and lichen will dominate the site. Jack pine and alder will invade in the moister areas or on northerly aspects where the dunes have stablized. See the Athabasca Plain subregion guide for a complete description of this ecological site.

#### **Indicator Species**

## aa1 grass/Sand heather (n=0)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

#### **General Description**

A number of ecological site phases currently have no data. These ecological site phases have been created as place holders because they were described in adjacent subregions (Athabasca Plain). This ecosite phase is more common in the southern outlier of the Kazan Upland subregion. See the Athabasca Plain subregion guide for a description of the plant communities.

#### **Characteristic Species**

Ecosite: aa Sand heather/moss (xeric/poor)

## Environmental Variables

Moisture Regime: Nutrient Regime: Elevation (range): Slope (%): Aspect: Topographic Position:

#### Soil Variables

l FH Thickness	Mean	Min	Мах	Coun
Humus Form				
Parent Material:				
Organic Thickness:				
Depth to Mottles/Gley:				
Effective Texture:				
Surface Texture:				
Soil Subgroup:				
Soil Drainage:				

cm: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0	LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
	cm:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0

## b bearberry/lichen(subxeric/poor) (n=18)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland

#### **General Description**

This ecosite has dry conditions with rapidly drained acidic soils and poor nutrient status due to the coarse-textured glacial parent materials. These sites commonly have bedrock within 1m of the surface. Plants that are indicative of the nutrient-poor substrate include bearberry, lichen, bog cranberry, and blueberry. Open-canopied jack pine stands dominate this ecosite that commonly has a carpet of lichens covering the forest floor. The picture of this ecosite is for the bearberry/lichen shrub phase (b2) of this ecological site.



#### **Successional Relationships**

Due to the dry nature of this ecosite, succession to a black spruce canopy is slower than the fire return interval. Pine is maintained for relatively long periods from colonization of the site after fire to the climax stages. The pine phase of this ecosite can be considered a fire edaphic climax.

#### **Indicator Species**

#### Tree

JACK PINE Pinus banksiana Shrub

COMMON BEARBERRY Arctostaphylos uva-ursi GROUND JUNIPER Juniperus communis

#### Lichen

REINDEER LICHEN Cladina mitis

#### Moss and Liverwort

AWNED HAIR-CAP Polytrichum piliferum

Graminoid

NORTHERN RICE GRASS Oryzopsis pungens

#### Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

#### **Environmental Variables**

Moisture Regime: Xeric (dry) (1), Submesic (moderately fresh) (7), Subxeric (moderately dry) (9)

Nutrient Regime: Mesotrophic (medium) (1), Submesotrophic (poor) (16) Elevation (range): 248.25 (200-330) M

Slope (%): strong slope (1), nearly level (2), moderate slope (3), very gentle slope (4), gentle slope (6)

Aspect: Westerly (3), Easterly (4), Level (4), Southerly (5)

Topographic Position:Level (2), Lower Slope (3), Midslope (3), Crest (4), Upper Slope (5)

#### Soil Variables

Soil Drainage: Very poorly drained (1), Well drained (7), Rapidly drained (10)

Soil Subgroup: REGOSOL (1), DYSTRIC BRUNISOL (2), EUTRIC BRUNISOL (12)

Surface Texture: Sandy loam (0), Silt (1), Loamy fine sand (1), Sandy clay (1), Loamy sand (3), Sand (9)

Effective Texture: Sandy loam (0), Loamy fine sand (1), Sand (14)

Depth to Mottles/Gley: Not Applicable (0), 51 - 100 (1)

Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (16)

Parent Material: Glaciolacustrine (2), Morainal (2), Residual (2), Rock (7), Glaciofluvial (8)

Humus Form FIBRIMOR (1)

LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
cm:	3.00	2.00	5.00	15

#### bearberry/lichen - Pj (n=15) b1

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

#### **Characteristic Species**

Tree		Moisture Regime: Submesic (moderately fresh) (7), Subxeric (moderately dry) (8)						
[ 30.0]	JACK PINE*	Nutrient Regime: Mesotrophic (medium) (1), Submesotrophic (poor) (14)						
[ 00.0]	Pinus banksiana	Elevation (range): 254 (200-330) M						
Shrub [ 2.0 ]	COMMON BEARBERRY	Slope (%): nearly level (1), strong slope (1), moderate slope (2), very gentle slope (3), gentle slope (6)						
[ 20]	Arctostaphylos uva-ursi SAND HEATHER	Aspect: Westerly (2), Southerly (3), Easterly (4), Level (4)						
[ 2.0] [ 1.0]	Hudsonia tomentosa COMMON BLUEBERRY Vaccinium myrtilloides	Topographic Position:Level (2), Crest (2), Lower Slope (3), Midslope (3), Upper Slope (4)						
[ 1.0]	BOG CRANBERRY Vaccinium vitis-idaea	Soil Variables						
Forb		Soil Drainage: Very poorly drained (1), Well drained (7), Rapidly drained (7)						
[ 1.0]	WILD LILY-OF-THE-VALLEY	<b>5 1</b> (7)						
Lichen	Maianthemum canadense							
	REINDEER LICHEN*	Effective Texture: Loamy fine sand (1), Sand (14)						
[ 20.0]	Cladina mitis	Depth to Mottles/Gley: 51 - 100 (1)						
[ 1.0]	N/A	Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (15)						
Moss and I		Parent Material: Glaciolacustrine (2), Residual (2), Morainal (2), Rock (6), Glaciofluvial (8)						
[ 3.0]	AWNED HAIR-CAP* Polytrichum piliferum	Humus Form FIBRIMOR (1)						
		LFH Thickness Mean Min Max Count						

cm:

3.00

2.00

5.00

15

Ecosite: b bearberry/lichen(subxeric/poor)

**Environmental Variables** 

## KUE1 Pj/Lichen (n=9)

### (Pinus banksiana/Cladonia spp.)

This community type is found on very dry sandy or gravelly sites that are rapidly drained. Stunted jack pine and Alaska birch form open pocket communities with a sparse understory of bearberry, juniper and lichen in rock crevices or sheltered locations where mineral soil has accumulated (Natural Regions Committee 2006). Where the soil is better developed vigourous jack pine growth is evident. As the moisture increases the understory often becomes dominated by blueberry.

## Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

**Ecosite:** b bearberry/lichen(subxeric/poor) **Ecosite Phase:** b1 bearberry/lichen - Pj

Plant Composition	Canop	y Cover (%)	)	Environmental Variables					
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 25-25					
Overstory Tree JACK PINE				Moisture Regime: Submesic (moderately fresh) (3), Subx (moderately dry) (6)	eric				
(Pinus banksiana)	12.8	0.0-42.0	78	Nutrient Regime: Mesotrophic (medium) (1), Submesotro	phic (poor) (8)				
Understory Tree				Elevation (range): 268 (235-330) M					
JACK PINE ( <i>Pinus banksiana</i> ) Tall Shrub (2 to 5m)	8.8	0.0-30.0	67	Slope (%): 0.5 - 2.49 (1), 2.5 - 5.99 (1), 10 - 15.99 (1), 16 - 30.99 (1), 9.99 (4)					
JACK PINE				Aspect: Southerly (1), Westerly (2), Level (2), Easterly (3	)				
(Pinus banksiana) Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)	8.8	0.0-30.0	78	Topographic Position: Crest (1), Level (2), Midslope (2), Lower Slope (3)					
GREEN ALDER (Alnus crispa)	2.7	0.0-5.0	67	Soil Variables					
JACK PINE (Pinus banksiana)	3.3	0.0-20.0	56	Soil Drainage: Very poorly drained (1), Rapidly drained (4)	4), Well drained				
BOG CRANBERRY (Vaccinium vitis-idaea) COMMON BLUEBERRY	4.0	0.0-18.0	56	Soil Subgroup: DYSTRIC BRUNISOL ELUVIATED (1), REGOSOL ORTHIC (1), EUTRIC BRUNISOL ELUVIATED (7)					
(Vaccinium myrtilloides) COMMON BEARBERRY	5.5	0.0-12.0	89	Surface Texture: Loamy fine sand (1), Sandy clay (1), Loamy sand (3), Sand (4)					
(Arctostaphylos uva-ursi)	9.5	0.0-30.0	89	Effective Texture: Loamy fine sand (1), Sand (8)					
Moss				Depth to Mottles/Gley:					
AWNED HAIR-CAP (Polytrichum piliferum)	2.2	0.0-10.0	22	Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (9)					
Lichen N/A	<u></u> _	0.0 10.0	LL	Parent Material: Glaciolacustrine (1), Morainal (1), Reside (5), Glaciofluvial (5)	ual (1), Rock				
(Stereocaulon tomentosum) REINDEER LICHEN	1.6	0.0-10.0	22	Humus Form FIBRIMOR (1)					
<i>(Cladina rangiferina)</i> REINDEER LICHEN	2.0	0.0-18.0	11	LFH Thickness Mean Min Max	c Count				
(Cladina mitis)	51.8	30.0-90.0	100	<b>cm:</b> 3.00 2.00 4.00	) 9				

## KUE2 Pj/Bearberry (n=6)

## (Pinus banksiana/Arctostaphylos uva-ursi)

This community type is very similar to the jack pine/lichen community type that was previously described but appears to be slightly moister and more diverse. The understory of this community type is dominated by bearberry and there is an increase in cover of more mesic plant species like alder, rose, bedstraw and wild lily of the valley. However despite the increase in moisture this community type still occupies very coarse textured sites that are well to rapidly drained.

# Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

**Ecosite:** b bearberry/lichen(subxeric/poor) **Ecosite Phase:** b1 bearberry/lichen - Pj

Plant Composition	Canopy Cover (%)			Environmental Variables				
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 25	5-25			
Overstory Tree JACK PINE				Moisture Regime: Subxeric fresh) (4)	(moderately	dry) (2), S	Submesic (	moderately
(Pinus banksiana) Understory Tree	29.8	15.0-50.0	100	Nutrient Regime: Submeso	trophic (poor)	) (6)		
JACK PINE				Elevation (range): 240 (200	-300) M			
(Pinus banksiana)	5.8	0.0-20.0	50	Slope (%): 10 - 15.99 (1), 6	- 9.99 (2), 2.	5 - 5.99 (2	2)	
Tall Shrub (2 to 5m)				Aspect: Easterly (1), Level	(2), Southerly	(2)		
JACK PINE (Pinus banksiana)	1.3	0.0-4.0	33	Topographic Position: Cres	t (1), Midslop	e (1), Upp	ber Slope (	4)
GREEN ALDER (Alnus crispa)	3.3	0.0-8.0	50	Soil Variables				
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)				Soil Drainage: Rapidly drair	ned (3) Well	drained (3	3)	
PRICKLY ROSE ( <i>Rosa acicularis)</i> COMMON BLUEBERRY	1.0	0.0-3.0	50	Soil Subgroup: DYSTRIC B BRUNISOL GLEYED ELU	RUNISOL EI	LUVIATEI	, D (1), EUT	
(Vaccinium myrtilloides)	3.6	2.0-8.0	100	(4)				
TWINFLOWER				Surface Texture: Silt (1), Sa	and (5)			
(Linnaea borealis)	6.0	0.0-20.0	83	Effective Texture: Sand (6)				
BOG CRANBERRY (Vaccinium vitis-idaea)	10.6	0.0-20.0	83	Depth to Mottles/Gley: 51 -	100 (1)			
COMMON BEARBERRY				Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cn	n (6)			
(Arctostaphylos uva-ursi) Graminoid	31.5	15.0-60.0	100	Parent Material: Glaciolacu (1), Glaciofluvial (3)	strine (1), Mo	orainal (1),	Rock (1),	Residual
NORTHERN RICE GRASS (Oryzopsis pungens)	1.3	0.0-2.0	83	Humus Form				
Lichen					Magn	Min	May	Count
REINDEER LICHEN				LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Мах	Count
(Cladina mitis)	22.5	3.0-42.0	100	cm:	3.00	2.00	5.00	6

#### bearberry/lichen - shrub (n=3) **b2**

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

## **Characteristic Species**

#### Tree

#### Ecosite: b bearberry/lichen(subxeric/poor)

Characteristic Species	Environmental Variables	
Tree	Moisture Regime: Xeric (dry) (1), Subxeric (moderately dry) (1)	
[ 6.0] JACK PINE	Nutrient Regime: Submesotrophic (poor) (2)	
Pinus banksiana	Elevation (range): 242.5 (240-250) M	
Shrub	Slope (%): moderate slope (1), nearly level (1), very gentle slope (1)	
[ 32.5 ] COMMON BEARBERRY* Arctostaphylos uva-ursi	Aspect: Westerly (1), Southerly (2)	
[ 4.0 ] GROUND JUNIPER* Juniperus communis	Topographic Position:Upper Slope (1), Crest (2)	
[ 1.0 ] COMMON BLUEBERRY Vaccinium myrtilloides	Soil Variables	
Forb	Soil Drainage: Rapidly drained (3)	
[ 1.5 ] HAREBELL	Soil Subgroup:	
Campanula rotundifolia	Surface Texture: Sandy loam (0)	
[ 1.2 ] WILD STRAWBERRY Fragaria virginiana	Effective Texture: Sand (0), Sandy loam (0)	
[ 1.0 ] THREE-TOOTHED SAXIFRAGE	Depth to Mottles/Gley: Not Applicable (0)	
Saxifraga tricuspidata	Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (1)	
[ 1.0 ] THREE-TOOTHED CINQUEFOIL Potentilla tridentata	Parent Material: Glaciofluvial (0), Rock (1)	
Lichen	Humus Form	
[ 20.0 ] REINDEER LICHEN*		
Cladina mitis	LFH Thickness Mean Min Max	Count
[ 2.5 ] N/A Stereocaulon tomentosum		0
Grominaid		-

#### Graminoid

[	5.2]	NORTHERN RICE GRASS* Oryzopsis pungens
[	1.7 ]	SLENDER WHEAT GRASS Agropyron trachycaulum

[ 1.5] ROUGH HAIR GRASS Agrostis scabra

## KUA2 Bearberry/Indian ricegrass (n=2)

## (Arctostaphylos uva-ursi/Oryzopsis pungens)

This community type represents open areas on steep south and west facing slopes with coarse textured soils. One site was described on the top of an eroded glaciofluvial kame and graded into an open jack pine/buffaloberry community type. The other site was described in an opening amongst jack pine and bog cranberry and was relatively flat with bedrock at or near the surface. The first site had better developed soils and a higher cover of slender wheatgrass. In contrast the second site had a predominance of lichen and Indian ricegrass (Allen et al. 2002).

## Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

**Ecosite:** b bearberry/lichen(subxeric/poor) **Ecosite Phase:** b2 bearberry/lichen - shrub

Plant Composition	Canop	y Cover (%)	)	Environmental Varia	ables			
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 4	0-40			
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)				Moisture Regime: Xeric (dr	rv) (1)			
GROUND JUNIPER				Nutrient Regime: Submeso	., . ,	(1)		
(Juniperus communis)	1.0	0.0-2.0	50	6	· · · /	(1)		
Low Shrub (< 0.5m)				Elevation (range): 245 (240	0-250) M			
COMMON BEARBERRY				Slope (%): 0.5 - 2.49 (1), 2	2.5 - 5.99 (1)			
(Arctostaphylos uva-ursi)	65.0	50.0-80.0	100	Aspect: Southerly (1), Wes	sterly (1)			
Tall Forb (>= 30 cm)				Topographic Position: Cres	et (1) Linner S	lone (1)		
LONG-LEAVED ARNICA				ropographic rosition. cres	st (1), opper c	sope (1)		
(Arnica longifolia)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100					
Low Forb (< 30 cm)				Soil Variables				
PRAIRIE CROCUS				Soil Drainage: Rapidly drai	ined (2)			
(Anemone patens)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Soil Subgroup:				
HAREBELL	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	0	om (0)			
(Campanula rotundifolia) WILD LILY-OF-THE-VALLEY	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Surface Texture: Sandy loa				
(Maianthemum canadense)	1.0	0.0-2.0	50	Effective Texture: Sandy Ic	oam (0)			
MOUNTAIN GOLDENROD	1.0	0.0 2.0	00	Depth to Mottles/Gley: Not	Applicable (0	)		
(Solidago spathulata)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Organic Thickness:				
NORTHERN BEDSTRAW				0				
(Galium boreale)	2.0	1.0-3.0	100	Parent Material: Glaciofluv	iai (0)			
WILD STRAWBERRY				Humus Form				
(Fragaria virginiana)	2.5	2.0-3.0	100					
Graminoid				LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
PURPLE REED GRASS				cm:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
(Calamagrostis purpurascens)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100		0100	0.00	0.00	U U
SLENDER WHEAT GRASS								
(Agropyron trachycaulum)	3.5	0.0-7.0	50					
	10.5	1.0-20.0	100					
(Oryzopsis pungens) Lichen	10.5	1.0-20.0	100					
REINDEER LICHEN (Cladina mitis)	10.0	0.0-20.0	50					
	10.0	0.0-20.0	50					

## KUB1 Juniper/Lichen(Pj) (n=1)

## (Juniperus communis/Cladonia spp.(Pinus banksiana))

This community type is very similar to the jack pine/lichen community types that were previously described but appears to be early seral. Fire is extensive throughout the Kazan Upland and jack pine dominated stands will burn frequently. In the absence of disturbance these sites will slowly regrow jack pine.

## Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

**Ecosite:** b bearberry/lichen(subxeric/poor) **Ecosite Phase:** b2 bearberry/lichen - shrub

Plant Composition	Canopy Cover (%)			Environmental Variables					
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 2	5-25				
Overstory Tree				Moisture Regime: Subxerio	c (moderately	dry) (1)			
			100	Nutrient Regime: Submeso	otrophic (poor)	(1)			
(Pinus banksiana) Tall Shrub (2 to 5m)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	Elevation (range): 240 (24	0-240) M				
JACK PINE				Slope (%): 10 - 15.99 (1)	,				
(Pinus banksiana)	10.0	10.0-10.0	100	Aspect: Southerly (1)					
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)				Topographic Position: Cre	st (1)				
PIN CHERRY (Prunus pensylvanica)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100		5((1)				
WILD RED RASPBERRY	1.0	1.0 1.0	100	Soil Variables					
(Rubus idaeus)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Soil Drainage: Rapidly dra	ined (1)				
COMMON BLUEBERRY (Vaccinium myrtilloides)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	Soil Subgroup:					
GROUND JUNIPER	2.0	2.0 2.0	100	Surface Texture:					
(Juniperus communis)	7.0	7.0-7.0	100	Effective Texture: Sand (0)	)				
Tall Forb (>= 30 cm)				Depth to Mottles/Gley: Not					
SHOWY GOLDENROD (Solidago nemoralis)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 c					
Low Forb (< 30 cm)	1.0	1.0 1.0	100	Parent Material: Rock (1)	(1)				
RUSTY WOODSIA									
(Woodsia ilvensis)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Humus Form					
HAREBELL (Campanula rotundifolia)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Мах	Count	
PARSLEY FERN					0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
(Cryptogramma acrostichoides)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	on.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
THREE-TOOTHED CINQUEFOIL (Potentilla tridentata)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100						
THREE-TOOTHED SAXIFRAGE									
(Saxifraga tricuspidata)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100						
Graminoid									
ROCKY MOUNTAIN FESCUE (Festuca saximontana)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100						
ROUGH HAIR GRASS									
(Agrostis scabra)	3.0	3.0-3.0	100						
Lichen									
N/A (Stereocaulon tomentosum)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100						
REINDEER LICHEN									
(Cladina mitis)	30.0	30.0-30.0	100						

## c blueberry(submesic/medium) (n=12)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland

### **General Description**

This ecosite tends to be subxeric to submesic partly due to coarse-textured parent materials. It is intermediate in both moisture and nutrient regime between the bearberry ecosite (b) and the buffaloberry/alder ecosite (e) as there is some moisture at depth. As such it has species characteristic of the bearberry ecosite such as jack pine, blueberry, bearberry, bog cranberry, Labrador tea, and reindeer lichen and also species characteristic of the buffaloberry ecosite such as aspen, buffaloberry, bunchberry, alder, and fireweed.



## **Successional Relationships**

The pine, aspen, and white birch-dominated phases of this ecosite may, in some cases, succeed to white spruce, however the process is expected to be relatively slow due to the dry nature of these sites.

Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Site Index at 50 Years	Height (m)	Variation (m)	Count
ASPEN (Populus tremuloides)	17.20	0.40	0

#### **Environmental Variables**

Moisture Regime: Subxeric (moderately dry) (1), Mesic (fresh) (3), Submesic (moderately fresh) (4), Xeric (dry) (4)

Nutrient Regime: Mesotrophic (medium) (3), Submesotrophic (poor) (9)

Elevation (range): 277.75 (240-330) M

Slope (%): moderate slope (1), nearly level (1), strong slope (2), gentle slope (2), very strong slope (2), very gentle slope (3)

Aspect: Northerly (1), Easterly (2), Level (2), Southerly (6)

Topographic Position:Level (1), Midslope (3), Upper Slope (8)

## Soil Variables

Soil Drainage: Rapidly drained (6), Well drained (6) Soil Subgroup: DYSTRIC BRUNISOL (2), EUTRIC BRUNISOL (5) Surface Texture: Sandy loam (0), Sand (7) Effective Texture: Sandy clay (1), Sand (6)

Depth to Mottles/Gley: Not Applicable (0)

Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (7)

Parent Material: Fluvial (0), Glaciolacustrine (1), Lacustrine (1), Rock (1), Morainal (2), Glaciofluvial (4)

<sup>1</sup> Humus Form

be relatively slow due to the dry hattie of these sites.					
	LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Мах	Count
Indicator Species	cm:	3.50	2.00	6.00	7
Tree					
ASPEN Populus tremuloides JACK PINE Pinus banksiana					
Shrub					
PRICKLY ROSE Rosa acicularis GREEN ALDER Alnus crispa COMMON BEARBERRY Arctostaphylos uva-ursi COMMON BLUEBERRY Vaccinium myrtilloides BOG CRANBERRY Vaccinium vitis-idaea					
Forb					
PLAINS WORMWOOD Artemisia campestris SPREADING DOGBANE Apocynum androsaemifolium					
Lichen					
REINDEER LICHEN Cladina mitis					
Graminoid					

#### Graminoid

HAY SEDGE Carex siccata

#### blueberry - Pj-Aw(Bw) с1

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

#### **Characteristic Species**

#### Tree

[ 32.5	] JACK PINE*	(moderately fresh) (4)								
-	Pinus banksiana	Nutrient Regime: Mesotrophic (media	um) (2), Submeso	otrophic (p	000r) (5					
[ 7.5]	] WHITE BIRCH	Elevation (range): 287.5 (240-330) M								
[ 31]	Betula papyrifera ] ASPEN*	Slope (%): moderate slope (1), nearly	y level (1), very g	entle slop	e (2), g					
[ 0.1	Populus tremuloides	Aspect: Northerly (1), Southerly (1), I	Easterly (2), Leve	el (2)						
Shrub		Topographic Position:Level (1), Mids	lope (2), Upper S	Slope (4)						
[ 14.8]	Alnus crispa	Soil Variables		• • • •						
[ 12.2	Vaccinium vitis-idaea	Soil Drainage: Rapidly drained (2), Well drained (5)								
[ 11.2]	COMMON BEARBERRY* Arctostaphylos uva-ursi	Soil Subgroup: DYSTRIC BRUNISOL (2), EUTRIC BRUNISOL (5)								
[ 10.5]	COMMON BLUEBERRY* Vaccinium myrtilloides	Surface Texture: Sand (7) Effective Texture: Sandy clay (1), Sand (6)								
[ 4.0	] COMMON BEARBERRY Arctostaphylos uva-ursi	Depth to Mottles/Gley:								
[ 3.5]		Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (7)								
[ 3.0]	Vaccinium vitis-idaea ] TWINFLOWER Linnaea borealis	Parent Material: Lacustrine (1), Rock (1), Glaciolacustrine (1), Moraina (4)								
[ 2.0]	] PRICKLY ROSE Rosa acicularis	Humus Form								
[ 1.2]	LOW-BUSH CRANBERRY	LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Мах					
Forb	Viburnum edule	cm:	3.50	2.00	6.00					
[ 1.6	] WILD SARSAPARILLA Aralia nudicaulis									
[ 1.1]	] NORTHERN BASTARD TOADFLAX Geocaulon lividum									
[ 1.0]	] WILD LILY-OF-THE-VALLEY Maianthemum canadense									

#### Lichen

- 8.5] REINDEER LICHEN\* [
- Cladina mitis

#### Moss and Liverwort

[	8.5]	SCHREBER'S MOSS
		Pleurozium schreberi

- [ 2.7 ] WAVY DICRANUM
- Dicranum polysetum

(n=7)

Ecosite: c blueberry(submesic/medium)

## **Environmental Variables**

Moisture Regime: Subxeric (moderately dry) (1), Mesic (fresh) (2), Submesic (moderately fresh) (4) (5) gentle slope (2)

Soil Drainage: Rapidly drained (2), Well drained (5)
Soil Subgroup: DYSTRIC BRUNISOL (2), EUTRIC BRUNISOL (5)
Surface Texture: Sand (7)
Effective Texture: Sandy clay (1), Sand (6)
Depth to Mottles/Gley:
Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (7)
Parent Material: Lacustrine (1), Rock (1), Glaciolacustrine (1), Morainal (2), Glaciofluvial (4)
Humus Form

LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Мах	Count	
cm:	3.50	2.00	6.00	7	

## KUD3 Pj-Aw(Bw)/Bearberry-Bog cranberry (n=4)

# (Pinus banksiana-Populus tremuloides(Betula papyrifera)/Arctostaphylos uva-ursi-Vaccinium vitis-idaea)

Community types KUE3 and KUD3 are variants occurring modal conditions for this ecological site differing mainly in their initial secondary successional pathways. This community is very similar to the jack pine/blueberry community type but appears to be slightly moister. The understory of this community type is dominated by blueberry and bearberry and there is an increase in cover of more mesic plant species like alder, rose, bedstraw and wild sarsaparilla. However despite the increase in moisture this community type still occupies very dry coarse textured sites that are rapidly drained at the soil surface.

## Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Ecosite: c blueberry(submesic/medium) Ecosite Phase: c1 blueberry - Pj-Aw(Bw)

Plant Composition	Canopy Cover (%)			Environmental Variables				
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 25-25				
Overstory Tree				Moisture Regime: Submesi	c (moderately	/ fresh) (2	), Mesic (fr	resh) (2)
ASPEN				Nutrient Regime: Submeso	trophic (poor)	(2) Mes	otrophic (m	nedium) (2
(Populus tremuloides)	6.2	0.0-20.0	50	5	,	(2), 11000		
WHITE BIRCH	15.0	0.0-42.0	50	Elevation (range): 290 (240	,			
<i>(Betula papyrifera)</i> JACK PINE	15.0	0.0-42.0	50	Slope (%): 10 - 15.99 (1), 6	- 9.99 (2)			
(Pinus banksiana)	32.0	20.0-50.0	100	Aspect: Level (1), Easterly	(2)			
Tall Shrub (2 to 5m)				Topographic Position: Leve	l (1), Upper S	Slope (3)		
GREEN ALDER						• • • •		
(Alnus crispa)	27.7	0.0-63.0	75	Soil Variables				
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)								
LOW-BUSH CRANBERRY				Soil Drainage: Rapidly drain	ned (2), Well	drained (2	2)	
(Viburnum edule)	2.5	0.0-8.0	75	Soil Subgroup: DYSTRIC E			D (2), EUT	RIC
PRICKLY ROSE				BRUNISOL ELUVIATED (2	2)			
(Rosa acicularis)	4.0	0.0-15.0	50	Surface Texture: Sand (4)				
	6.0	2.0.10.0	100	Effective Texture: Sand (4)				
(Linnaea borealis) COMMON BEARBERRY	6.0	2.0-10.0	100	Depth to Mottles/Gley:				
(Arctostaphylos uva-ursi)	22.5	0.0-42.0	75					
BOG CRANBERRY		0.0 .2.0		Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cr	n (4)			
(Vaccinium vitis-idaea)	24.5	0.0-40.0	75	Parent Material: Glaciolacu	strine (1), La	custrine (1	I), Moraina	al (1), Rock
Гall Forb (>= 30 cm)				(1), Glaciofluvial (2)				
WILD SARSAPARILLA				Humus Form				
(Aralia nudicaulis)	3.2	1.0-10.0	100					
₋ow Forb (< 30 cm)				LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Мах	Count
NORTHERN BASTARD TOADFLAX				cm:	4.00	3.00	6.00	4
(Geocaulon lividum)	2.2	0.0-8.0	50					
Graminoid								
NORTHERN RICE GRASS								
(Oryzopsis pungens)	1.0	0.0-2.0	75					
Moss								
WAVY DICRANUM	<b>5 5</b>	0 0 19 0	50					
(Dicranum polysetum) SCHREBER'S MOSS	5.5	0.0-18.0	50					
(Pleurozium schreberi)	10.0	0.0-18.0	75					
	10.0	0.0 10.0	10					

## KUE3 Pj/Blueberry (n=3)

## (Pinus banksiana/Vaccinium myrtilloides)

Community types KUE3 and KUD3 are variants occurring modal conditions for this ecological site differing mainly in their initial secondary successional pathways. This community is very similar to the jack pine/bearberry community type but appears to be slightly moister. The understory of this community type is dominated by blueberry and there is an increase in cover of more mesic plant species like alder, rose, bedstraw and wild lily of the valley. However despite the increase in moisture this community type still occupies very dry coarse textured sites that are rapidly drained at the soil surface.

Ecosite: c blueberry(submesic/medium) Ecosite Phase: c1 blueberry - Pj-Aw(Bw)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland	

Plant Composition	Canopy Cover (%)			Environmental Variables				
	Mean	Range	Const.	st. Ecological Status Score: 25-25				
Overstory Tree				Moisture Regime: Subxeri	c (moderately	dry) (1), S	Submesic	(moderately
JACK PINE				fresh) (2)				
(Pinus banksiana)	33.3	25.0-45.0	100	Nutrient Regime: Submes	otrophic (poor)	) (3)		
Understory Tree				Elevation (range): 285 (26	0-330) M			
WHITE BIRCH (Betula papyrifera)	3.3	0.0-10.0	33	Slope (%): 0.5 - 2.49 (1), 2.5 - 5.99 (2)				
JACK PINE	5.5	0.0-10.0	55					
(Pinus banksiana)	6.6	0.0-10.0	67	Aspect: Level (1), Norther	ly (1), Souther	ly (1)		
Tall Shrub (2 to 5m)				Topographic Position: Upper Slope (1), Midslope (2)				
JACK PINE								
(Pinus banksiana)	3.3	0.0-6.0	67	Soil Variables				
GREEN ALDER				Soil Drainage: Well drained (3)				
(Alnus crispa)	4.3	3.0-7.0	100	Soil Subgroup: EUTRIC BRUNISOL ELUVIATED (3)				
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)								
JACK PINE	4.0			Surface Texture: Sand (3)				
(Pinus banksiana)	1.0	0.0-2.0	67	Effective Texture: Sandy clay (1), Sand (2)				
COMMON LABRADOR TEA (Ledum groenlandicum)	1.3	0.0-4.0	33	Depth to Mottles/Gley:				
TWINFLOWER	1.0	0.0 4.0	00	Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 c	rm (3)			
(Linnaea borealis)	2.0	0.0-5.0	67	•		- 1 (0)		
GREEN ALDER				Parent Material: Morainal	(1), Glaciofiuvi	ai (2)		
(Alnus crispa)	2.3	0.0-4.0	67	Humus Form				
BOG CRANBERRY		70400	400					
(Vaccinium vitis-idaea)	9.6	7.0-12.0	100	LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
COMMON BEARBERRY (Arctostaphylos uva-ursi)	11.6	5.0-15.0	100	cm:	3.00	2.00	5.00	3
COMMON BLUEBERRY	11.0	0.0 10.0	100					
(Vaccinium myrtilloides)	15.6	10.0-25.0	100					
Low Forb (< 30 cm)								
GREENISH-FLOWERED WINTE	RGREEN							
(Pyrola chlorantha)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100					
Lichen								
REINDEER LICHEN								
(Cladina mitis)	21.6	0.0-35.0	67					

#### blueberry - Aw(Bw) (n=1) **c2**

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

### **Characteristic Species**

## Troo

Tree		Moisture Regime: Mesic (fresh) (1)					
[ 70.0]	ASPEN	Nutrient Regime: Mesotrophic (medium)	(1)				
[]	Populus tremuloides	Elevation (range): 245 (245-245) M					
Shrub		Slope (%): very gentle slope (1)					
[ 15.0]	PRICKLY ROSE* Rosa acicularis	Aspect: Southerly (1)					
[ 5.0]	COMMON BEARBERRY Arctostaphylos uva-ursi	Topographic Position:Midslope (1)					
[ 5.0]	BUNCHBERRY Cornus canadensis	Soil Variables					
[ 2.0]	BOG CRANBERRY	Soil Drainage: Well drained (1)					
[ 40]	Vaccinium vitis-idaea	Soil Subgroup:					
[ 1.0]	TWINFLOWER Linnaea borealis	Surface Texture: Sand (0)					
Forb		Effective Texture: Sand (0)					
[ 20.0]	SPREADING DOGBANE*	Depth to Mottles/Gley:					
[ 1.0]	Apocynum androsaemifolium WILD LILY-OF-THE-VALLEY	Organic Thickness:					
[]	Maianthemum canadense	Parent Material: Fluvial (0)					
Graminoid	l	Humus Form					
[ 5.0]	HAIRY WILD RYE Elymus innovatus	LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Мах	Count	

Ecosite: c blueberry(submesic/medium)

**Environmental Variables** 

LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
cm:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0

#### Aw/Rose/Spreading dogbane KUC1 (n=1)

## (Populus tremuloides/Rosa acicularis/Androsace androsaefolium)

In La Butte Creek Wildland Provincial Park, Allen et al. (2002) described this community type on gently sloping fluvial, sandy terraces. This community type also appears to be transitional to the Peace-Athabasca Delta subregion and is associated with the large river systems described in these two subregions. This community type has species characteristic of mesic sites rose, bunchberry and peavine, but it also has species characteristic of drier sites bearberry, spreading dogbane and hairy wildrye. It is intermediate in moisture and nutrients between the blueberry and the buffaloberry dominated ecological sites.

Ecosite: c blueberry(submesic/medium)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland
Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Ecosite Phase: c2 blueberry - Aw(Bw)						
•						
Count						
0						

## c6 blueberry grassland (n=4)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

#### **Characteristic Species**

		-	
Shr	ub		Moisture Regime: Xeric (dry) (4)
1	1.0]	GROUND JUNIPER	Nutrient Regime: Submesotrophic (poor) (4)
L		Juniperus communis	Elevation (range): 291 (290-292) M
[	1.0]	SASKATOON Amelanchier alnifolia	Slope (%): very strong slope (2), strong slope (2)
For	b		Aspect: Southerly (4)
[	1.0]	PLAINS WORMWOOD* Artemisia campestris	Topographic Position:Upper Slope (4)
Lic	hen		Soil Variables
[	15.0]	REINDEER LICHEN Cladina mitis	Soil Drainage: Rapidly drained (4)
Gra	minoid		Soil Subgroup:
[	15.0]	HAY SEDGE*	Surface Texture: Sandy loam (0)
r	201	Carex siccata SLENDER WHEAT GRASS*	Effective Texture: Sand (0)
L	2.0]	Agropyron trachycaulum	Depth to Mottles/Gley: Not Applicable (0)
[	2.0]	ROCKY MOUNTAIN FESCUE	Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (0)
		Festuca saximontana	Parent Material: Glaciolacustrine (0)

Humus Form

Ecosite: c blueberry(submesic/medium)

**Environmental Variables** 

LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
cm:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0

## KUA3 Hay sedge-Slender wheatgrass (n=4)

## (Carex siccata-Agropyron trachycaulum)

This community type is found on coarse textured, sandy soils. with southerly facing slope. It is generally found on hilltops and steep (>20%) southfacing slopes throughout the Colin Cornwall Lakes Wildland Provincial Park (Allen et al. 2004). This community type generally has better soil conditions than bearberry dominated communities previously described in the bearberry ecological site. This community type was also described on benches and south facing slopes in the Athabasca Plain subregion.

## Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

**Ecosite:** c blueberry(submesic/medium) **Ecosite Phase:** c6 blueberry grassland

Plant Composition	Canop	y Cover (%)	)	Environmental Variables					
	Mean	Range	Const.	st. Ecological Status Score: 40-40					
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)				Moisture Regime: Xeric (dry) (4)					
GROUND JUNIPER	4.0			Nutrient Regime: Submesotrophic (poor) (4)					
(Juniperus communis) Tall Forb (>= 30 cm)	1.3	0.0-5.0	50	Elevation (range): 291 (290-292) M					
COMMON BLUE-EYED GRASS				Slope (%): 31 - 45.99 (2),	, 16 - 30.99 (2)				
(Sisyrinchium montanum)	0.1	0.0-0.5	25	Aspect: Southerly (4)	( )				
PLAINS WORMWOOD (Artemisia campestris)	1.2	0.0-3.0	75	Topographic Position: Upp	er Slope (4)				
Low Forb (< 30 cm)	1.2	0.0-3.0	15		( )				
ROCK LITTLE CLUB-MOSS				Soil Variables					
(Selaginella rupestris)	0.1	0.0-0.5	25	Soil Drainage: Rapidly dra	ined (4)				
RUSTY WOODSIA (Woodsia ilvensis)	0.1	0.0-0.5	25	Soil Subgroup:					
THREE-TOOTHED SAXIFRAGE				Surface Texture: Sandy loam (0)					
(Saxifraga tricuspidata)	0.2	0.0-0.5	50	Effective Texture: Sand (0)					
				Depth to Mottles/Gley: Not	,	)			
SLENDER WHEAT GRASS (Agropyron trachycaulum)	1.8	0.5-3.0	100	Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 c	•• •	/			
ROCKY MOUNTAIN FESCUE				Parent Material: Glaciolaci	( )				
(Festuca saximontana)	2.3	0.0-6.0	75	Humus Form					
HAY SEDGE (Carex siccata)	14.5	10.0-25.0	100						
Moss				LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count	
HAIRY SCREW MOSS					0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
(Tortula ruralis)	0.2	0.0-1.0	25	-				-	
REINDEER LICHEN (Cladina mitis)	15.2	1.0-50.0	100						
(									

## c7 tame/disturbed (n=0)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Ecosite: c blueberry(submesic/medium)

General Description	Environmental Variables
A number of ecological site phases currently have no data. These ecological site phases have been created as place holders because they were described in adjacent subregions (Central Mixedwood).	Moisture Regime:
	Nutrient Regime:
	Elevation (range):
	Slope (%):
Characteristic Species	Aspect:
	Topographic Position:
	Soil Variables

cm:

LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Мах	Count
Humus Form				
Parent Material:				
Organic Thickness:				
Depth to Mottles/Gley:				
Effective Texture:				
Surface Texture:				
Soil Subgroup:				
Soil Drainage:				

0.00

0.00

0.00

0

## d Labrador tea-mesic(mesic/poor) (n=10)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland

## **General Description**

This ecosite generally occurs in submesic to subhygruic nutrient-poor substrates. Labrador tea and bog cranberry are indicative of the relatively acidic surface soil conditions. It occurs in upland (midslope, upper slope, and crest) or level topographic positions dominantly on till or fluvial over till parent materials. There is commonly a two-tiered even-aged canopy with faster growing jack pine in the higher layer and slower growing black spruce as a secondary canopy. While the Labrador tea-mesic ecosite has plant community types similar to the Labrador tea-hygric ecosite (g), the mesic ecosite tends to occur in upper topographic positions and the presence of mottles in the upper 50 cm. is less common (Beckingham and Archibald 1996).



## **Successional Relationships**

Successionally mature stands that develop on these ecosites may be dominated by black spruce. Residual pine occuring in the climax community are generally very old. The successionally mature stage is rare due to high fire frequency.

#### **Indicator Species**

#### Tree

ASPEN Populus tremuloides BLACK SPRUCE Picea mariana JACK PINE Pinus banksiana

#### Shrub

COMMON LABRADOR TEA Ledum groenlandicum BOG CRANBERRY Vaccinium vitis-idaea

#### Lichen

REINDEER LICHEN Cladina mitis

#### Moss and Liverwort

STAIR-STEP MOSS Hylocomium splendens

#### Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

#### Environmental Variables

Moisture Regime: Mesic (fresh) (5), Subhygric (moderately moist) (5) Nutrient Regime: Permesotrophic (rich) (2), Submesotrophic (poor) (4), Mesotrophic (medium) (4)

Elevation (range): 246.5 (180-300) M

Slope (%): gentle slope (2), nearly level (3), very gentle slope (5)

Aspect: Level (1), Northerly (1), Westerly (2), Easterly (3), Southerly (3)

Topographic Position:Level (1), Midslope (2), Upper Slope (3), Lower Slope (4)

## Soil Variables

Soil Drainage: Rapidly drained (1), Well drained (2), Moderately well drained (3), Imperfectly drained (4)

Soil Subgroup: GLEYSOL (1), LUVIC GLEYSOL (1), DYSTRIC BRUNISOL (2), EUTRIC BRUNISOL (2), GRAY LUVISOL (4)

Surface Texture: Sandy loam (1), Silty clay loam (1), Clay loam (2), Sand (3), Silt (3)

Effective Texture: Heavy clay (1), Loam (1), Silt (1), Silt loam (1), Sand (6) Depth to Mottles/Gley:

Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (10)

Parent Material: Glaciofluvial (2), Lacustrine (2), Morainal (3), Glaciolacustrine (3)

Humus Form

LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
cm:	4.75	2.00	11.00	10

## d1 Labrador tea-mesic Pj-Sb (n=10)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

#### **Characteristic Species**

Ecosite: d Labrador tea-mesic(mesic/poor)

**Environmental Variables** 

•					
Ггее	Moisture Regime: Mesic (fresh) (5	), Subhygric (mode	rately moi	st) (5)	
[ 20.6 ] JACK PINE* Pinus banksiana	Nutrient Regime: Permesotrophic (poor) (4)	(rich) (2), Mesotrop	hic (mediı	um) (4), Su	bmesotrophi
[ 12.4 ] ASPEN*	Elevation (range): 246.5 (180-300)	) M			
Populus tremuloides	Slope (%): gentle slope (2), nearly	level (3), very gent	le slope (	5)	
[ 19.1 ] BLACK SPRUCE* Picea mariana	Aspect: Level (1), Northerly (1), W	esterly (2), Easterly	/ (3), Sout	herly (3)	
[ 3.3 ] WHITE BIRCH Betula papyrifera	Topographic Position:Level (1), Mi	idslope (2), Upper S	Slope (3),	Lower Slop	be (4)
Shrub	Soil Variables				
[ 6.0 ] BOG CRANBERRY*					
Vaccinium vitis-idaea	Soil Drainage: Rapidly drained (1) Imperfectly drained (4)	, Well drained (2), N	Noderately	y well drain	ed (3),
[ 5.0 ] COMMON LABRADOR TEA* Ledum groenlandicum	1 3 ()				(0)
[ 4.3] BUNCHBERRY	Soil Subgroup: GLEYSOL (1), LU DYSTRIC BRUNISOL (2), GRAY I	( ).	EUTRICE	BRUNISOL	. (2),
Cornus canadensis				(2) 6:14 (2)	$\mathbf{C}$ and $(2)$
[ 2.5 ] LOW-BUSH CRANBERRY	Surface Texture: Silty clay loam (1				,. ()
Viburnum edule [ 1.7 ] TWINFLOWER	Effective Texture: Silt (1), Silt loam	n (1), Heavy clay (1)	), Loam (1	), Sand (6)	)
Linnaea borealis	Depth to Mottles/Gley:				
[ 1.2 ] CANADA BUFFALOBERRY	Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (10)				
Shepherdia canadensis	Parent Material: Glaciofluvial (2), L	acustrine (2), Mora	ainal (3), G	Glaciolacus	trine (3)
Lichen	Humus Form				
[ 7.9 ] REINDEER LICHEN*					
Cladina mitis Moss and Liverwort	LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
	cm:	4.75	2.00	11.00	10
[ 13.7 ] STAIR-STEP MOSS* Hylocomium splendens	ciii.	4.75	2.00	11.00	10
[ 13.4 ] SCHREBER'S MOSS					
Pleurozium schreberi					

## KUC4 Aw-Pj-Sb/Labrador tea (n=1)

## (Populus tremuloides-Picea mariana-Pinus banksiana/Ledum groenlandicum)

This community type is a moisture variant of the ecological with a slightly richer nutrient regime which favours the growth of aspen and more medium nutrient species like wild sarsaparilla. Successionally mature sites are often dominated by black spruce within this ecological site. The presence of a high cover of aspen and alder indicate the slightly better nutrients. This community type is rapidly drained and has a sandy soil texture at the surface. In the absence of disturbance this community type will likely succeed to a black spruce dominated community.

## Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Ecosection. No Nazari opiariu				ECOSILE FILASE. UT LADIA		rj-30		
Plant Composition	Canop	oy Cover (%	)	Environmental Vari	ables			
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 2	25-25			
Overstory Tree				Moisture Regime: Subhyg	ric (moderately	y moist) (*	1)	
JACK PINE	10.0	10.0-10.0	100	Nutrient Regime: Permeso	otrophic (rich)	(1)		
(Pinus banksiana) ASPEN	10.0	10.0-10.0	100	Elevation (range): 230 (23	0-230) M			
(Populus tremuloides)	35.0	35.0-35.0	100	Slope (%): 6 - 9.99 (1)	,			
Understory Tree				Aspect: Southerly (1)				
BLACK SPRUCE (Picea mariana)	8.0	8.0-8.0	100	Topographic Position: Lov	ver Slope (1)			
ASPEN (Populus tremuloides)	8.0	8.0-8.0	100	Soil Variables				
Tall Shrub (2 to 5m)	0.0	0.0 0.0	100					
GREEN ALDER				Soil Drainage: Imperfectly	drained (1)			
(Alnus crispa)	4.0	4.0-4.0	100	Soil Subgroup: GRAY LU	/ISOL GLEYE	D (1)		
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)				Surface Texture: Sandy lo	am (1)			
TWINFLOWER	• •		400	Effective Texture: Sand (1	)			
(Linnaea borealis) PRICKLY ROSE	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	Depth to Mottles/Gley:				
(Rosa acicularis)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 c	:m (1)			
LOW-BUSH CRANBERRY				Parent Material: Morainal				
(Viburnum edule)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	Humus Form	(')			
BLACK SPRUCE (Picea mariana)	4.0	4.0-4.0	100					
COMMON LABRADOR TEA				LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
(Ledum groenlandicum)	15.0	15.0-15.0	100	cm:	6.00	6.00	6.00	1
Tall Forb (>= 30 cm)				cm.	0.00	0.00	0.00	I
COMMON FIREWEED (Epilobium angustifolium) WOODLAND HORSETAIL	1.0	1.0-1.0	100					
(Equisetum sylvaticum) WILD SARSAPARILLA	1.0	1.0-1.0	100					
(Aralia nudicaulis)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100					
Low Forb (< 30 cm)								
WILD LILY-OF-THE-VALLEY (Maianthemum canadense)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100					
ONE-SIDED WINTERGREEN (Orthilia secunda) BUNCHBERRY	1.0	1.0-1.0	100					
(Cornus canadensis)	8.0	8.0-8.0	100					
Moss								
STAIR-STEP MOSS (Hylocomium splendens) SCHREBER'S MOSS	2.0	2.0-2.0	100					
(Pleurozium schreberi)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100					

## KUD1 Sb-Aw/Moss (n=3)

## (Picea mariana-Populus tremuloides/Stair step moss)

This community type is a moist variant with a slightly richer nutrient regime of the Labrador tea-mesic ecological site. Successionally mature sites are often dominated by black spruce within this ecological site. The presence of a high cover of aspen and stair step moss indicate the slightly better nutrients. This community type is well drained and has a sandy soil texture. In the absence of disturbance this community type will likely succeed to a black spruce dominated community.

## Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Plant Composition	Canop	y Cover (%)		Environmental Variables						
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 2	5-25					
Overstory Tree				Moisture Regime: Mesic (f	resh) (1), Subl	hygric (mo	oderately m	noist) (2)		
ASPEN (Populus tremuloides) WHITE BIRCH	5.0	0.0-10.0	67	Nutrient Regime: Submeso Permesotrophic (rich) (1)	otrophic (poor)	(1), Meso	otrophic (m	edium) (1),		
(Betula papyrifera)	13.3	0.0-35.0	67	Elevation (range): 222 (18	0-255) M					
BLACK SPRUCE				Slope (%): 0.5 - 2.49 (1), 2	2.5 - 5.99 (2)					
(Picea mariana)	23.3	20.0-30.0	100	Aspect: Westerly (1), Sout	( )					
Understory Tree					,	Airlana (	(d)			
ASPEN	4.0	0050	00	Topographic Position: Low	/er Slope (1), N	viidsiope (	1), Upper a	Slope (1)		
(Populus tremuloides) BLACK SPRUCE	1.6	0.0-5.0	33	O all Mariak la a						
(Picea mariana)	6.6	0.0-20.0	33	Soil Variables						
Tall Shrub (2 to 5m)	0.0	010 2010		Soil Drainage: Imperfectly	drained (1), M	oderately	well draine	ed (2)		
BEAKED WILLOW (Salix bebbiana)	2.3	0.0-4.0	67	Soil Subgroup: GRAY LUV (1), LUVIC GLEYSOL OR		D (1), GR	AY LUVISO	OL ORTHIC		
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)				Surface Texture: Silt (1), C	Clay loam (2)					
BOG CRANBERRY				Effective Texture: Heavy c	lav (1). Silt (1)	. Silt loam	n (1)			
(Vaccinium vitis-idaea)	0.6	0.0-2.0	33	Depth to Mottles/Gley:		,	( )			
CANADA BUFFALOBERRY (Shepherdia canadensis)	5.0	0.0-15.0	33							
Low Forb (< 30 cm)	5.0	0.0-13.0	55	Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 c	( )					
NORTHERN BASTARD TOADFLAX				Parent Material: Glaciolaci	ustrine (1), Lac	custrine (2	2)			
(Geocaulon lividum)	3.3	0.0-10.0	33	Humus Form						
Graminoid										
BLUEJOINT				LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count		
(Calamagrostis canadensis)	5.0	0.0-15.0	33	cm:	7.00	5.00	11.00	3		
Moss										
SCHREBER'S MOSS (Pleurozium schreberi)	13.3	5.0-30.0	100							
STAIR-STEP MOSS (Hylocomium splendens) Lichen	35.0	15.0-50.0	100							
REINDEER LICHEN (Cladina mitis)	2.6	2.0-3.0	100							

## KUE11 Pj/Bog cranberry/lichen (n=1)

## (Pinus banksiana/Vaccinium vitis idea/Cladina spp.)

This community type is a drier variant of the Labrador tea-mesic ecological site. Successionally mature sites are often dominated by black spruce within this ecological site. This community type is rapidly drained and has a coarse texture.

# Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Plant Composition	Canop	y Cover (%)		Environmental Varia	ables			
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 2	5-25			
Overstory Tree				Moisture Regime: Mesic (fi	resh) (1)			
JACK PINE				Nutrient Regime: Submeso	otrophic (poor)	(1)		
(Pinus banksiana) Understory Tree	42.0	42.0-42.0	100	Elevation (range): 300 (300	• • • •	( )		
JACK PINE				Slope (%): 2.5 - 5.99 (1)	0 000/11			
(Pinus banksiana)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100					
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)	-			Aspect: Easterly (1)				
COMMON BEARBERRY				Topographic Position: Upp	er Slope (1)			
(Arctostaphylos uva-ursi)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Soil Variables				
TWINFLOWER (Linnaea borealis)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100					
LOW-BUSH CRANBERRY	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	Soil Drainage: Well drained	d (1)			
(Viburnum edule)	8.0	8.0-8.0	100	Soil Subgroup: DYSTRIC	BRUNISOL EL	UVIATE	D (1)	
BOG CRANBERRY				Surface Texture: Silt (1)				
(Vaccinium vitis-idaea)	18.0	18.0-18.0	100	Effective Texture: Sand (1)	)			
Low Forb (< 30 cm)				Depth to Mottles/Gley:	/			
ONE-SIDED WINTERGREEN	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	,				
(Orthilia secunda) NORTHERN BASTARD TOADFLAX	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 c	( )			
(Geocaulon lividum)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	Parent Material: Glaciolacu	ustrine (1)			
BUNCHBERRY				Humus Form				
(Cornus canadensis)	8.0	8.0-8.0	100					
Moss				LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Мах	Count
STAIR-STEP MOSS	10.0	100100	100	cm:	3.00	3.00	3.00	1
(Hylocomium splendens) SCHREBER'S MOSS	18.0	18.0-18.0	100					
(Pleurozium schreberi)	29.0	29.0-29.0	100					
Lichen								
N/A								
(Cladonia multiformis)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100					
N/A								
(Cladonia uncialis)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100					
REINDEER LICHEN (Cladina mitis)	18.0	18.0-18.0	100					
	10.0	10.0-10.0	100					

## KUE4 Pj- Sb/Bog cranberry/lichen (n=5)

## (Pinus banksiana-Picea mariana/Vaccinium vitis idea/Cladina spp.)

This community type represents a successionally immature stand of this ecological site. Successionally mature sites are often dominated by black spruce within this ecological site. This community type is rapidly drained and has a coarse texture.

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Plant Composition	Canop	y Cover (%)	)	Environmental Varia	ables			
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 2	25-25			
Overstory Tree				Moisture Regime: Subhyg	ric (moderately	y moist) (2	2), Mesic (f	resh) (3)
JACK PINE				Nutrient Regime: Submes	otrophic (poor)	(2), Mes	otrophic (m	nedium) (3)
(Pinus banksiana) Understory Tree	20.2	15.0-25.0	100	Elevation (range): 234 (23	,			, , ,
•				Slope (%): 6 - 9.99 (1), 2.5	,	5 - 2 49 (2	)	
BLACK SPRUCE (Picea mariana)	6.4	0.0-15.0	80		( ).	· ·	,	
JACK PINE				Aspect: Level (1), Norther			,	
(Pinus banksiana)	9.6	5.0-15.0	100	Topographic Position: Lev Slope (2)	el (1), Midslop	e (1), Upp	per Slope (	1), Lower
Tall Shrub (2 to 5m)				Slope (2)				
JACK PINE (Pinus banksiana)	2.4	0.0-4.0	80	Soil Variables				
BLACK SPRUCE (Picea mariana)	15.4	5.0-40.0	100	Soil Drainage: Rapidly dra drained (1), Imperfectly dr		drained (1	I), Modera	tely well
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)								<b>(</b> 4)
TWINFLOWER (Linnaea borealis)	2.8	1.0-5.0	100	Soil Subgroup: DYSTRIC EUTRIC BRUNISOL ELU	VIATED (1), E	UTRIC BF	RUNISOL (	GLEYED
COMMON BLUEBERRY				ELUVIATED (1), GLEYSC				DRTHIC (1)
(Vaccinium myrtilloides)	3.8	0.0-12.0	60	Surface Texture: Silt (1), S	Silty clay loam	(1), Sand	(3)	
COMMON LABRADOR TEA (Ledum groenlandicum)	5.0	0.0-10.0	80	Effective Texture: Loam (1	I), Sand (4)			
BOG CRANBERRY	5.0	0.0-10.0	00	Depth to Mottles/Gley:				
(Vaccinium vitis-idaea)	5.6	0.0-10.0	80	Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 c	:m (5)			
BLACK SPRUCE	10.0			Parent Material: Glaciolac	ustrine (1), Mo	rainal (2).	Glaciofluv	/ial (2)
(Picea mariana) Low Forb (< 30 cm)	12.6	2.0-40.0	100	Humus Form		( ).		( )
BUNCHBERRY								
(Cornus canadensis)	1.2	0.0-3.0	60	LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
Moss				cm:	3.00	2.00	5.00	5
JUNIPER HAIR-CAP	0.0	0 0 40 0	00					
(Polytrichum juniperinum) SCHREBER'S MOSS	2.0	0.0-10.0	20					
(Pleurozium schreberi)	9.4	0.0-45.0	40					
Lichen								
REINDEER LICHEN (Cladina mitis)	11.2	0.0-16.0	80					
()								

## e buffaloberry/alder(mesic/medium) (i

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland

## **General Description**

This is the reference ecosite for the Kazan Upland subregion because it has a mesic moisture regime and a medium nutrient regime. However, the conventional reference site concept of deep, medium textured, well drained and associated vegetation does not generally fit for most of this subregion. The majority of the characteristic sites in this subregion are rocky exposures or dry, rapidly drained coarse glacial deposits. Generally, this ecosite has fine to medium textured glaciolacustrine and glacialfluvial parent materials. This ecological site is located at a mid to lower slope positions where some moisture accumulates. These sites are often dominated by aspen, green alder and buffaloberry and have a high diversity of shrubs and forbs in the understory.



#### **Successional Relationships**

Pioneer jack pine and deciduous tree species such as aspen, balsam poplar, and white birch are replaced by white spruce, black spruce and balsam fir as the sites develop successionally. Along with a change in canopy composition is a change in understory structure and understory species composition and abundance. Generally, as a stand successionally matures, the coniferous canopy cover increases, and understory species structure and diversity declines. This results in stands with low cover of shrub, forb, and grass species and high moss cover.

#### **Indicator Species**

#### Tree

ASPEN Populus tremuloides WHITE SPRUCE Picea glauca JACK PINE Pinus banksiana

#### Shrub

CANADA BUFFALOBERRY Shepherdia canadensis GREEN ALDER Alnus crispa

#### Moss and Liverwort

STAIR-STEP MOSS Hylocomium splendens SCHREBER'S MOSS Pleurozium schreberi

#### Graminoid

HAIRY WILD RYE Elymus innovatus

## (n=16)

Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

WHITE SPRUCE (Picea glauca)12.000.000WHITE BIRCH (Betula papyrifera)10.400.600	_	Site Index at 50 Years	Height (m)	Variation (m)	Count
	9	<i>(Picea glauca)</i> WHITE BIRCH			

#### **Environmental Variables**

Moisture Regime: Subhygric (moderately moist) (2), Submesic (moderately fresh) (3), Mesic (fresh) (10)

Nutrient Regime: Permesotrophic (rich) (2), Submesotrophic (poor) (6), Mesotrophic (medium) (7)

Elevation (range): 243.86 (180-330) M

Slope (%): extreme slope (1), nearly level (1), strong slope (1), level (2), gentle slope (3), very gentle slope (7)

Aspect: Level (2), Southerly (2), Westerly (3), Easterly (3), Northerly (4)

Topographic Position:Depression (1), Upper Slope (2), Level (3), Lower Slope (3), Midslope (7)

#### Soil Variables

Soil Drainage: Rapidly drained (1), Moderately well drained (6), Well drained (9)

Soil Subgroup: DYSTRIC BRUNISOL (3), EUTRIC BRUNISOL (5), GRAY LUVISOL (7)

Surface Texture: Sandy clay loam (1), Sandy loam (1), Silt (1), Loam (1), Silty clay (1), Silty clay loam (1), Silt loam (2), Sand (6)

Effective Texture: Clay (1), Silt loam (1), Silty clay loam (1), Sandy clay loam (2), Silty clay (3), Sand (6)

Depth to Mottles/Gley:

Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (15)

Parent Material: Colluvial (1), Morainal (1), Rock (2), Fluvial (2), Lacustrine (3), Glaciolacustrine (5), Glaciofluvial (7)

Humus Form

LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
cm:	5.50	3.00	11.00	14

#### buffaloberry-alder/ Aw **e1** (n=8)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

## **Characteristic Species**

## Troo

Tree	9		Moisture Regime: Submesic (mode	rately fresh) (1), M	lesic (fres	h) (6)	
	67.4]	ASPEN*	Nutrient Regime: Submesotrophic (	poor) (2), Mesotro	phic (med	lium) (5)	
L	01.11	Populus tremuloides	Elevation (range): 231.33 (200-260)	) M			
Shr [	<b>ub</b> 16.4 ]	BOG CRANBERRY	Slope (%): extreme slope (1), gentle (1), very gentle slope (3)	e slope (1), level (	1), nearly	level (1), s	trong slope
		Vaccinium vitis-idaea	Aspect: Easterly (1), Level (1), Sout	therly (1), Westerly	v (2), Nort	herly (2)	
l	11.6]	Shepherdia canadensis	Topographic Position:Lower Slope (				pe (3)
]	7.1 ] 5.5 ]	PRICKLY ROSE Rosa acicularis GREEN ALDER*	Soil Variables				
L	0.0 ]	Alnus crispa	Soil Drainage: Moderately well drair	ned (3), Well drain	ed (5)		
[	5.0]	SASKATOON Amelanchier alnifolia	Soil Subgroup: DYSTRIC BRUNISC	DL (1), EUTRIC BI	RUNISOL	(2), GRAY	( LUVISOL (4)
[	3.7]		Surface Texture: Sandy loam (1), S	ilt (1), Silty clay lo	am (1), Sa	and (2), Sil	t loam (2)
-	3.4]	Viburnum edule BUNCHBERRY	Effective Texture: Silty clay loam (1) clay (2)	), Silt loam (1), Sa	ndy clay l	oam (1), S	and (2), Silty
L		Cornus canadensis	Depth to Mottles/Gley:				
[	3.3 ]	RED-OSIER DOGWOOD Cornus stolonifera	Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (7)				
[	3.0 ]	TWINFLOWER Linnaea borealis	Parent Material: Fluvial (0), Moraina Glaciolacustrine (3)	al (1), Glaciofluvial	(1), Rock	(2), Lacus	strine (2),
[	2.3 ]	BEAKED WILLOW Salix bebbiana	Humus Form				
[	2.0]	DEWBERRY Rubus pubescens	LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
For	b		cm:	6.50	4.00	9.00	7
[	5.0]	SPREADING DOGBANE Apocynum androsaemifolium		0.00		0.00	
[	3.8]						
[	3.3 ]	CREAM-COLORED VETCHLING Lathyrus ochroleucus					
[	2.1 ]	WILD SARSAPARILLA Aralia nudicaulis					

#### Graminoid

[ 6.8] HAIRY WILD RYE\* Elymus innovatus

Ecosite: e buffaloberry/alder(mesic/medium)

**Environmental Variables** 

## KUC2 Aw(Bw)/Alder (n=3)

## (Populus tremuloides (Betula papyrifera))/Alnus crispa)

This reference plant community represents the deciduous phase of the buffaloberry-alder ecological site in the Kazan upland subregion. Moisture and nutrients are intermediate between the drier blueberry ecosite and the moister fern/horsetail ecological site. Succession in the absence of disturbance is to a white spruce climax community.

## Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

**Ecosite:** e buffaloberry/alder(mesic/medium) **Ecosite Phase:** e1 buffaloberry-alder/ Aw

Plant Composition	Canopy	v Cover (%)		Environmental Variable	es			
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 25-2	5			
Overstory Tree				Moisture Regime: Submesic (r	moderately	fresh) (1)	, Mesic (fr	esh) (2)
JACK PINE				Nutrient Regime: Mesotrophic				
(Pinus banksiana)	2.0	1.0-3.0	100	Elevation (range): 237 (200-26		(1), Oubli	loootiopint	(poor) ( <u></u>
ASPEN (Populus tremuloides)	45.0	35.0-60.0	100		,			
Understory Tree	40.0	33.0 00.0	100	Slope (%): 16 - 30.99 (1), 2.5	. ,			
ASPEN				Aspect: Northerly (1), Souther	ly (1), Wes	terly (1)		
(Populus tremuloides)	15.0	10.0-20.0	100	Topographic Position: Lower S	Slope (1), L	Jpper Slop	be (2)	
Tall Shrub (2 to 5m)								
GREEN ALDER				Soil Variables				
(Alnus crispa)	16.6	15.0-20.0	100	Soil Drainage: Moderately wel	l drained (1	). Well dr	ained (2)	
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)				Soil Subgroup: DYSTRIC BRL		,.	( )	
	4.0	0000	07	BRUNISOL ELUVIATED (1), (			( )/	
(Linnaea borealis) LOW-BUSH CRANBERRY	1.6	0.0-3.0	67	Surface Texture: Sand (1), Sil				
(Viburnum edule)	1.6	1.0-2.0	100		. ,	•	(1)	
PRICKLY ROSE				Effective Texture: Sandy clay	ioani (1), S	anu (z)		
(Rosa acicularis)	2.0	1.0-4.0	100	Depth to Mottles/Gley:				
GREEN ALDER	E 0	0.0.15.0	22	Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (3	3)			
(Alnus crispa) BOG CRANBERRY	5.0	0.0-15.0	33	Parent Material: Glaciofluvial (	1), Lacustr	ine (1), M	orainal (1)	, Rock (2)
(Vaccinium vitis-idaea)	13.0	2.0-30.0	100	Humus Form				
Low Shrub (< 0.5m)								
DEWBERRY				LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Мах	Count
(Rubus pubescens)	3.3	0.0-10.0	33	cm:	6.00	4.00	8.00	3
Tall Forb (>= 30 cm)								-
	0.0		07					
<i>(Epilobium angustifolium)</i> WILD SARSAPARILLA	3.0	0.0-8.0	67					
(Aralia nudicaulis)	6.3	0.0-15.0	67					
Low Forb (< 30 cm)								
PALMATE-LEAVED COLTSFOOT								
(Petasites palmatus)	1.0	0.0-3.0	33					
NORTHERN BASTARD TOADFLAX		0.0.45.0	07					
(Geocaulon lividum) BUNCHBERRY	5.6	0.0-15.0	67					
(Cornus canadensis)	8.3	6.0-12.0	100					
Moss								
SCHREBER'S MOSS								
(Pleurozium schreberi)	1.6	0.0-4.0	67					
Lichen								
STUDDED LEATHER LICHEN								
(Peltigera aphthosa)	1.6	0.0-5.0	33					

## KUC3 Aw/Buffaloberry (n=4)

## (Populus tremuloides/ Shepherdia canadensis)

This community type was found on mesic sites, with shallow slopes and had medium nutrient regimes. Beckingham (1993) felt the Aw/Buffaloberry type was slightly drier and had a slightly poorer nutrient regime than the more modal Aw/Alder or Aw/Low bush cranberry dominated community types. A similar buffaloberry dominated community was described at upper elevations in the Birch and Saddle Hills of the Boreal Mixedwood Natural Region (Moisey et al. 2012).

## Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

**Ecosite:** e buffaloberry/alder(mesic/medium) **Ecosite Phase:** e1 buffaloberry-alder/ Aw

Plant Composition	Canop	y Cover (%)		Environmental Variab	les			
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 25-2	25			
Overstory Tree				Moisture Regime: Mesic (fres	sh) (4)			
JACK PINE				Nutrient Regime: Mesotrophi	, , ,	(4)		
(Pinus banksiana)	1.7	0.0-5.0	50	<b>o</b> 1	,	(4)		
ASPEN (Benulue tremulaidee)	46.2	40.0-55.0	100	Elevation (range): 212 (205-2	,			
(Populus tremuloides) Understory Tree	40.2	40.0-55.0	100	Slope (%): 0 - 0.49 (1), 0.5 - 2			2.5 - 5.99	(1)
ASPEN				Aspect: Level (1), Easterly (1	), Westerly	(1)		
(Populus tremuloides)	15.0	0.0-30.0	75	Topographic Position: Level (	2), Midslop	e (2)		
Tall Shrub (2 to 5m)								
ASPEN				Soil Variables				
(Populus tremuloides)	1.2	0.0-4.0	50		2) Madarat	مايرينيوا المع	in ad (2)	
BEAKED WILLOW				Soil Drainage: Well drained (	,.		( )	
(Salix bebbiana)	2.0	1.0-3.0	100	Soil Subgroup: EUTRIC BRU			(1), GRAY	LUVISOL
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)				BRUNISOLIC (1), GRAY LUV				
ASPEN				Surface Texture: Sand (1), Si	ilt (1), Silty o	clay loam	(1), Silt loa	am (1)
(Populus tremuloides)	1.2	0.0-2.0	75	Effective Texture: Silty clay lo	oam (1), Silt	loam (1),	Silty clay	(2)
TWINFLOWER (Linnaea borealis)	2.5	1.0-5.0	100	Depth to Mottles/Gley:				
COMMON BEARBERRY				Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm	(4)			
(Arctostaphylos uva-ursi)	2.7	0.0-5.0	75	Parent Material: Lacustrine (1	<b>、</b> ,	ustring (3	3	
LOW-BUSH CRANBERRY				· ·	, <b>Olaciola</b>		)	
(Viburnum edule)	4.5	0.0-15.0	50	Humus Form				
BOG CRANBERRY (Vaccinium vitis-idaea)	6.2	0.0-15.0	50					0
PRICKLY ROSE	0.2	0.0-13.0	50	LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
(Rosa acicularis)	9.5	3.0-20.0	100	cm:	7.00	4.00	9.00	4
CANADA BUFFALOBERRY								
(Shepherdia canadensis)	35.0	10.0-60.0	100					
Low Shrub (< 0.5m)								
DEWBERRY	o <b>-</b>							
(Rubus pubescens)	2.7	0.0-6.0	50					
Tall Forb (>= 30 cm)								
COMMON FIREWEED (Epilobium angustifolium)	3.5	0.0-6.0	75					
Low Forb (< 30 cm)	0.0	0.0 0.0	75					
NORTHERN BEDSTRAW								
(Galium boreale)	1.0	0.0-2.0	75					
PALMATE-LEAVED COLTSFOOT								
(Petasites palmatus)	1.0	0.0-3.0	50					
BUNCHBERRY			400					
(Cornus canadensis)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100					
Graminoid								
BLUEJOINT (Calamagrostis canadensis)	4.0	0.0-10.0	75					
HAIRY WILD RYE	ч. <b>0</b>	0.0-10.0	15					
(Elymus innovatus)	10.5	1.0-20.0	100					
- /								

## KUC7 Aw-Pb/Saskatoon-Red Osier Dogwood/Spreading dogbane (n=1)

# (Populus tremuloides-Populus balsamifera/ Amelanchier alnifolia-Cornus stolonifera/Apocynum androsaefolium)

This community type was found on mesic to subhygric sites, with shallow slopes and had medium nutrient regimes. The presence of Balsam poplar and red osier dogwood indicate the transition to the moisture and richer ecological site. A similar spreading dogbane community was described in the La Butte Creek Wildland Park but the other site was described on slightly drier sites. Spreading dogbane dominated community types appears to be a provincially rare plant community type and should be recognized as a special feature of provincial significance (Allen et al. 2002).

## Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

**Ecosite:** e buffaloberry/alder(mesic/medium) **Ecosite Phase:** e1 buffaloberry-alder/ Aw

Plant Composition	Canop	y Cover (%)		Environmental Variable	es			
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 25-25				
Overstory Tree				Moisture Regime:				
BALSAM POPLAR (Populus balsamifera) ASPEN	5.0	5.0-5.0	100	Nutrient Regime: Elevation (range): 245 (245-245	5) M			
(Populus tremuloides)	75.0	75.0-75.0	100	Slope (%): > 100.99 (1)	0)			
Tall Shrub (2 to 5m)				• • • • • • • •				
ASPEN				Aspect: Northerly (1) Topographic Position: Midslope	<b>a</b> (1)			
(Populus tremuloides)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100	Topographic Position. Midsiope	5(1)			
BEAKED WILLOW (Salix bebbiana)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100	Soil Variables				
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100	Soli variables				
TWINING HONEYSUCKLE				Soil Drainage: Well drained (1)				
(Lonicera dioica)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	Soil Subgroup:				
TWINFLOWER	2.0	2.0 2.0		Surface Texture: Sand (0)				
(Linnaea borealis)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100					
LOW-BUSH CRANBERRY				Effective Texture:				
(Viburnum edule)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100	Depth to Mottles/Gley:				
RED-OSIER DOGWOOD				Organic Thickness:				
(Cornus stolonifera)	10.0	10.0-10.0	100	-				
PRICKLY ROSE				Parent Material: Fluvial (0)				
(Rosa acicularis)	10.0	10.0-10.0	100	Humus Form				
SASKATOON (Amelanchier alnifolia)	15.0	15.0-15.0	100					
BOG CRANBERRY				LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
(Vaccinium vitis-idaea)	30.0	30.0-30.0	100	cm:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Tall Forb (>= 30 cm)								
COMMON FIREWEED (Epilobium angustifolium)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100					
CREAM-COLORED VETCHLING (Lathyrus ochroleucus)	10.0	10.0-10.0	100					
SPREADING DOGBANE (Apocynum androsaemifolium)	15.0	15.0-15.0	100					
Low Forb (< 30 cm)								
NORTHERN BEDSTRAW (Galium boreale) WILD LILY-OF-THE-VALLEY	5.0	5.0-5.0	100					
(Maianthemum canadense) Graminoid	5.0	5.0-5.0	100					
HAIRY WILD RYE (Elymus innovatus)	10.0	10.0-10.0	100					

#### buffaloberry-alder/ Pj-Sw-Sb-Aw-Bw **e2** (n=5)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

#### ....... . . Cha

Ecosite: e buffaloberry/alder(mesic/medium)

Characteristic Species	<b>Environmental Variables</b>	Environmental Variables								
Tree	Moisture Regime: Submesic (mode	rately fresh) (1), N	lesic (fres	h) (4)						
[ 28.2 ] ASPEN Populus tremuloides	Nutrient Regime: Mesotrophic (med Permesotrophic (rich) (2)	Nutrient Regime: Mesotrophic (medium) (1), Submesotrophic (poor) (2), Permesotrophic (rich) (2)								
[ 20.0 ] JACK PINE*	Elevation (range): 216.5 (180-240)	Μ								
Pinus banksiana	Slope (%): level (1), very gentle slop	pe (2), gentle slop	e (2)							
[ 6.3 ] WHITE SPRUCE* Picea glauca	Aspect: Easterly (1), Level (1), Sout	herly (1), Northerl	y (2)							
[ 1.3] BLACK SPRUCE Picea mariana	Topographic Position:Lower Slope	(1), Midslope (4)								
Shrub	Soil Variables									
[ 15.0 ] CANADA BUFFALOBERRY Shepherdia canadensis	Soil Drainage: Rapidly drained (1),	Well drained (2), N	/loderatel	y well drair	ned (2)					
[ 13.2 ] BOG CRANBERRY	Soil Subgroup: EUTRIC BRUNISOL	(2), GRAY LUVIS	SOL (3)							
Vaccinium vitis-idaea	Surface Texture: Sand (1), Silty clay	/ (1), Sandy clay lo	oam (1), l	_oam (1)						
Alnus crispa	Effective Texture: Silty clay (1), San	dy clay loam (1),	Sand (1),	Clay (1)						
[ 6.6 ] BUNCHBERRY Cornus canadensis	Depth to Mottles/Gley:									
[ 5.5] COMMON BEARBERRY	Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (5)									
Arctostaphylos uva-ursi	Parent Material: Lacustrine (1), Coll	uvial (1), Fluvial (2	2), Glaciot	luvial (5)						
[ 4.9 ] TWINFLOWER Linnaea borealis	Humus Form									
[ 2.0 ] DEWBERRY										
Rubus pubescens	LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Мах	Count					
[ 1.6 ] PRICKLY ROSE Rosa acicularis	cm:	4.50	4.00	6.00	4					
Lichen										

6.2] REINDEER LICHEN [ Cladina mitis

#### Moss and Liverwort

[ 8.3 ] STAIR-STEP MOSS\* Hylocomium splendens

## KUD2 Aw-Sw/Buffaloberry (n=3)

## (Populus tremuloides-Picea glauca/ Shepherdia canadensis)

This community type was found on mesic sites, with shallow slopes and had medium nutrient regimes. Beckingham (1993) felt the Aw/Buffaloberry type was slightly drier and had a slightly poorer nutrient regime than the more modal Aw/Alder or Aw/Low bush cranberry dominated community types. In the absence of disturbance Spruce will dominate this plant community type.

## Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Ecosite: e buffaloberry/alder(mesic/medium) Ecosite Phase: e2 buffaloberry-alder/ Pj-Sw-Sb-Aw-Bw

Plant Composition	Canopy Cover (%)			Environmental Variables					
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 2	5-25				
Overstory Tree				Moisture Regime: Mesic (fi					
BLACK SPRUCE				Nutrient Regime: Mesotrop	, , , ,	(1) Perm	esotrophic	(rich) (2)	
(Picea mariana)	6.0	5.0-8.0	100	Elevation (range): 193 (180	· · · ·	(1),10111	oootiopine	(11011) (上)	
WHITE SPRUCE (Picea glauca)	6.6	0.0-15.0	67		,				
ASPEN	0.0	0.0 10.0	07	Slope (%): 0 - 0.49 (1), 2.5		9.99 (1)			
(Populus tremuloides)	18.3	5.0-35.0	100	Aspect: Level (1), Northerly	y (2)				
Understory Tree				Topographic Position: Low	er Slope (1), N	/lidslope (	2)		
WHITE SPRUCE									
(Picea glauca)	6.0	2.0-10.0	100	Soil Variables					
BLACK SPRUCE (Picea mariana)	6.6	2.0-10.0	100	Soil Drainage: Well drained	d (1). Moderat	elv well dı	ained (2)		
ASPEN	0.0	2.0-10.0	100	Soil Subgroup: GRAY LUV	( ).		. ,		
(Populus tremuloides)	18.3	5.0-40.0	100	ORTHIC (2)	ISOL BIONIC			NISOL	
Tall Shrub (2 to 5m)				Surface Texture: Loam (1)	Sandy clay lo	nam (1) S	ilty clay (1	)	
BLACK SPRUCE				Effective Texture: Clay (1),					
(Picea mariana)	2.6	0.0-6.0	67		, Sanuy Clay IC	ann (1), S	iity ciay (1	)	
WHITE SPRUCE ( <i>Picea glauca</i> )	3.3	2.0-5.0	100	Depth to Mottles/Gley:					
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)	0.0	2.0 0.0	100	Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 ci	m (3)				
LOW-BUSH CRANBERRY				Parent Material: Colluvial (	1), Lacustrine	(1), Fluvia	al (2)		
(Viburnum edule)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	Humus Form					
PRICKLY ROSE									
(Rosa acicularis)	2.3	0.0-4.0	67	LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count	
BLACK SPRUCE (Picea mariana)	3.0	1.0-5.0	100	cm:	5.00	5.00	6.00	3	
TWINFLOWER	0.0	1.0 0.0	100						
(Linnaea borealis)	3.3	0.0-5.0	67						
WHITE SPRUCE									
(Picea glauca)	5.0	2.0-10.0	100						
GREEN ALDER (Alnus crispa)	9.0	5.0-12.0	100						
CANADA BUFFALOBERRY	0.0	0.0 12.0	100						
(Shepherdia canadensis)	30.0	20.0-50.0	100						
Low Shrub (< 0.5m)									
DEWBERRY									
(Rubus pubescens)	4.0	2.0-8.0	100						
Tall Forb (>= 30 cm)									
COMMON FIREWEED (Epilobium angustifolium)	1.6	1.0-3.0	100						
Low Forb (< 30 cm)	1.0	1.0 0.0	100						
BUNCHBERRY									
(Cornus canadensis)	12.3	7.0-20.0	100						
Moss									
STAIR-STEP MOSS									
(Hylocomium splendens)	16.6	15.0-20.0	100						

## KUD4 Aw- Pj/Alder (n=2)

## (Populus tremuloides-Pinus banksiana/Alnus crispa)

A better water supply in the rooting zone due to aspect, finer soil textures at depth or local groundwater flow contributes to better understory growth of alder and feather mosses. Typically these sites are often dominated by a mixture of aspen and jackpine.

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Ecosite: e buffaloberry/alder(mesic/medium) Ecosite Phase: e2 buffaloberry-alder/ Pj-Sw-Sb-Aw-Bw

					,					
Plant Composition	Canop	by Cover (%)	)	Environmental Variables						
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 25-25						
Overstory Tree				Moisture Regime: Submes	sic (moderately	/ fresh) (1	), Mesic (f	resh) (1)		
ASPEN	45.0			Nutrient Regime: Submes	otrophic (poor)	(2)				
(Populus tremuloides) JACK PINE	15.0	0.0-30.0	50	Elevation (range): 240 (24	.0-240) M	( )				
(Pinus banksiana)	25.0	20.0-30.0	100	Slope (%): 2.5 - 5.99 (1), 6	,					
Jnderstory Tree					( )					
ASPEN				Aspect: Easterly (1), South	,					
(Populus tremuloides)	5.0	0.0-10.0	50	Topographic Position: Mid	slope (2)					
JACK PINE	15.0	10.0-20.0	100	0						
(Pinus banksiana) Tall Shrub (2 to 5m)	15.0	10.0-20.0	100	Soil Variables						
GREEN ALDER				Soil Drainage: Rapidly dra	ined (1), Well	drained (*	1)			
(Alnus crispa)	17.5	5.0-30.0	100	Soil Subgroup: EUTRIC B	RUNISOL ELL	JVIATED	(2)			
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)				Surface Texture: Sand (1)						
PRICKLY ROSE				Effective Texture: Sand (1	)					
(Rosa acicularis)	1.0	0.0-2.0	50	Depth to Mottles/Gley:	,					
COMMON BLUEBERRY (Vaccinium myrtilloides)	2.5	2.0-3.0	100	Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 c	m (2)					
TWINFLOWER	2.0	2.0 0.0	100	0	( )					
(Linnaea borealis)	6.5	3.0-10.0	100	Parent Material: Glaciofluv	/ial (5)					
COMMON BEARBERRY			400	Humus Form						
(Arctostaphylos uva-ursi) BOG CRANBERRY	11.0	2.0-20.0	100					0		
(Vaccinium vitis-idaea)	26.5	3.0-50.0	100	LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Мах	Count		
Low Forb (< 30 cm)				cm:	4.00	4.00	4.00	1		
BUNCHBERRY										
(Cornus canadensis)	1.0	0.0-2.0	50							
WILD LILY-OF-THE-VALLEY (Maianthemum canadense)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100							
ONE-SIDED WINTERGREEN	1.0	1.0-1.0	100							
(Orthilia secunda)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100							
Moss										
JUNIPER HAIR-CAP										
(Polytrichum juniperinum)	2.5	0.0-5.0	50							
Lichen										
REINDEER LICHEN (Cladina mitis)	12.5	0.0-25.0	50							
(ciadina millo)	12.0	5.0 20.0	00							

## e3 buffaloberry-alder shrubland (n=0)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Ecosite: e buffaloberry/alder(mesic/medium)

General Description	Environmental Variables
A number of ecological site phases currently have no data.	Moisture Regime:
These ecological site phases have been created as place holders because they were described in adjacent subregions	Nutrient Regime:
(Athabasca Plain and Northern Mixedwood).	Elevation (range):
	Slope (%):
Characteristic Species	Aspect:
	Topographic Position:
	Soil Variables
	Soil Drainage:
	Soil Subgroup:
	Surface Texture:
	Effective Texture:
	Depth to Mottles/Gley:

Organic Thickness:

Parent Material:

Humus Form

LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
cm:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0

# e4 buffaloberry-alder/ Pj-Sw-Sb (n=3)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Dicranum polysetum

### **Characteristic Species**

Ecosite: e buffaloberry/alder(mesic/medium)

**Environmental Variables** 

Tree	Moisture Regime: Submesic (modera	ately fresh) (1), S	Subhygric	(moderatel	y moist) (2)
[ 30.0] JACK PINE	Nutrient Regime: Mesotrophic (mediu	um) (1), Submes	otrophic (	poor) (2)	
Pinus banksiana	Elevation (range): 290 (250-330) M				
[ 22.2 ] BLACK SPRUCE Picea mariana	Slope (%): very gentle slope (2)				
[ 10.5 ] WHITE SPRUCE	Aspect: Easterly (1), Westerly (1)				
Picea glauca [ 7.5 ] ASPEN Populus tremuloides	Topographic Position:Depression (1)	, Level (1), Lowe	er Slope (1	)	
Shrub	Soil Variables				
[ 20.0 ] GREEN ALDER	Soil Drainage: Moderately well draine	ed (1), Well drair	ned (2)		
Alnus crispa [ 1.5.] TWINFLOWER	Soil Subgroup: EUTRIC BRUNISOL	(1), DYSTRIC B	RUNISOL	(2)	
[ 1.5 ] TWINFLOWER Linnaea borealis	Surface Texture: Sand (3)				
[ 1.0 ] BEAKED WILLOW	Effective Texture: Sand (3)				
Salix bebbiana	Depth to Mottles/Gley:				
Forb [ 3.5 ] NORTHERN BASTARD TOADFLAX	Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (3)				
Geocaulon lividum	Parent Material: Glaciofluvial (1), Gla	ciolacustrine (2)			
Moss and Liverwort	Humus Form				
[ 38.2 ] SCHREBER'S MOSS* Pleurozium schreberi					<b>•</b> · ·
[ 7.0] STAIR-STEP MOSS	LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
Hylocomium splendens	cm:	5.50	3.00	11.00	3
[ 5.0 ] WAVY DICRANUM					

## KUE5 Pj/Alder (n=1)

## (Pinus banksiana/Alnus crispa)

The increase in moisture favours the growth of alder, aspen and feather moss in the understory of this community type. This community type occupies lower slope positions that accumulate some moisture. Typically these sites are often dominated by a mixture of aspen and jackpine.

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Ecosite: e buffaloberry/alder(mesic/medium) Ecosite Phase: e4 buffaloberry-alder/ Pj-Sw-Sb

Plant Composition	Canopy Cover (%)			Environmental Variables						
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 25-25						
Overstory Tree				Moisture Regime: Submes	sic (moderately	r fresh) (1	)			
JACK PINE				Nutrient Regime: Mesotro	phic (medium)	(1)				
(Pinus banksiana)	50.0	50.0-50.0	100	Elevation (range): 250 (25	,	(-)				
Understory Tree				Slope (%): 2.5 - 5.99 (1)	0 200) 10					
ASPEN (Populus tremuloides)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100							
JACK PINE				Aspect: Westerly (1)						
(Pinus banksiana)	10.0	10.0-10.0	100	Topographic Position: Low	ver Slope (1)					
Tall Shrub (2 to 5m)				<b>• •</b> • • • • •						
BLACK SPRUCE	4.0	1010	400	Soil Variables						
<i>(Picea mariana)</i> BEAKED WILLOW	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Soil Drainage: Moderately	well drained (	1)				
(Salix bebbiana)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	Soil Subgroup: EUTRIC B	RUNISOL ELL	JVIATED	(1)			
ASPEN				Surface Texture: Sand (1)						
(Populus tremuloides)	10.0	10.0-10.0	100	Effective Texture: Sand (1	)					
GREEN ALDER (Alnus crispa)	40.0	40.0-40.0	100	Depth to Mottles/Gley:	,					
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)	40.0	40.0 40.0	100	, ,	(1)					
BLACK SPRUCE				Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 c	( )					
(Picea mariana)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	Parent Material: Glacioflux	/ial (1)					
TWINFLOWER				Humus Form						
(Linnaea borealis)	3.0	3.0-3.0	100					_		
Low Forb (< 30 cm)				LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count		
NORTHERN BASTARD TOADFLAX (Geocaulon lividum)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	cm:	3.00	3.00	3.00	1		
GROUND-PINE	1.0	1.0-1.0	100							
(Lycopodium obscurum)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100							
ONE-SIDED WINTERGREEN										
(Orthilia secunda)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100							
Moss										
STAIR-STEP MOSS (Hylocomium splendens)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100							
SCHREBER'S MOSS		110 110	100							
(Pleurozium schreberi)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100							
Lichen										
REINDEER LICHEN		0.0.0.0	400							
(Cladina arbuscula)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100							

## KUE6 Sb-Sw/Moss (n=2)

## (Picea mariana-Picea glauca/Moss)

This community type represents the climax community type for the buffaloberry-alder ecological site in the Kazan Upland subregion. Pine, aspen and white birch dominated phases of this ecological site are seral to the white spruce dominated climax community. Black spruce once established may occupy a significant portion of the canopy in the climax community (Beckingham and Archibald 1996). On wetter sites in the absence of disturbance white and black spruce dominated communities are also found, but the understory species usually are dominated by a presence of horsetail species.

## Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Ecosite: e buffaloberry/alder(mesic/medium) Ecosite Phase: e4 buffaloberry-alder/ Pj-Sw-Sb

Plant Composition	Canopy Cover (%) Environmental Variables							
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 2	5-25			
Overstory Tree				Moisture Regime: Subhygr	ric (moderatel)	/ moist) (2	2)	
WHITE SPRUCE				Nutrient Regime: Submeso	otrophic (poor)	(2)	,	
(Picea glauca)	21.0	0.0-42.0	50	Elevation (range): 330 (330	,	(_)		
BLACK SPRUCE (Picea mariana)	31.5	0.0-63.0	50		0-330) 101			
Understory Tree	01.0	0.0 00.0	50	Slope (%): 2.5 - 5.99 (1)				
WHITE SPRUCE				Aspect: Easterly (1)				
(Picea glauca)	0.5	0.0-1.0	50	Topographic Position: Leve	el (1), Depress	sion (1)		
BLACK SPRUCE								
(Picea mariana)	13.0	8.0-18.0	100	Soil Variables				
Tall Shrub (2 to 5m)				Soil Drainage: Well drained	d (2)			
BLACK SPRUCE				5	( )			
(Picea mariana)	18.0	18.0-18.0	100	Soil Subgroup: DYSTRIC I	BRUNISOL EL		J (2)	
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)				Surface Texture: Sand (2)				
PRICKLY ROSE (Rosa acicularis)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Effective Texture: Sand (2)	)			
BOG CRANBERRY	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Depth to Mottles/Gley:				
(Vaccinium vitis-idaea)	1.5	1.0-2.0	100	Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 c	m (2)			
Low Forb (< 30 cm)				Parent Material: Glaciolacu	( )			
NORTHERN BASTARD TOADFLAX (Geocaulon lividum)	6.0	4.0-8.0	100	Humus Form				
Epiphyte								
OLD MAN'S BEARD				LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
(Usnea hirta)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	cm:	8.00	6.00	11.00	2
Moss								
PIPECLEANER MOSS								
(Rhytidium rugosum)	4.0	0.0-8.0	50					
WAVY DICRANUM (Dicranum polysetum)	10.0	2.0-18.0	100					
STAIR-STEP MOSS	10.0	2.0 10.0	100					
(Hylocomium splendens)	13.0	8.0-18.0	100					
SCHREBER'S MOSS								
(Pleurozium schreberi)	75.5	63.0-88.0	100					

#### red osier dogwood/horsetail (subhygric/rich) f (n=2)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland

### **General Description**

The red osier dogwood/horsetail ecosite is subhygric and nutrient rich. These sites are commonly found on level or depressional areas near the base of slopes or near watercourses where they receive nutrient-rich seepage or flood waters for a portion of the growing season. Upland tree dominated ecological sites in the Peace-Athabasca Delta subregion are often this ecological site. Fine-textured till and lacustrine parent materials are common. Horsetails commonly form a blanket over the forest floor.



## Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

## **Environmental Variables**

Moisture Regime: Subhygric (moderately moist) (2) Nutrient Regime: Permesotrophic (rich) (2) Elevation (range): 217.5 (200-235) M Slope (%): level (1), nearly level (1) Aspect: Level (1), Westerly (1) Topographic Position:Level (1), Upper Slope (1)

## Soil Variables

Soil Drainage: Imperfectly drained (1), Moderately well drained (1) Soil Subgroup: LUVIC GLEYSOL (1) Surface Texture: Silty clay (1) Effective Texture: Silt loam (1) Depth to Mottles/Gley: 26 - 50 (1) Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (1) Parent Material: Lacustrine (1) Humus Form

LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Мах	Count
cm:	5.00	5.00	5.00	1

## **Successional Relationships**

Succession on these rich sites proceeds slowly after disturbance due to the proliferation of grass, forb and shrub cover. This explosion of vegetational cover can make tree establishment (especially coniferous) difficult and can reduce early growth rates. Once white spruce becomes established, high growth rates can be expected.

#### **Indicator Species**

BALSAM POPLAR Populus balsamifera ASPEN Populus tremuloides
Shrub
BEAKED WILLOW Salix bebbiana RIVER ALDER Alnus tenuifolia RED-OSIER DOGWOOD
Cornus stolonifera
Forb
MEADOW HORSETAIL

Equisetum pratense

# f1 red osier dogwood/horsetail Sw (n=0)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Ecosite: f red osier dogwood/horsetail (subhygric/rich)

General Description	Environmental Variables				
A number of ecological site phases currently have no data.	Moisture Regime:				
These ecological site phases have been created as place	Nutrient Regime:				
holders because they were described in adjacent subregions ( Athabasca Plain and Northern Mixedwood).	Elevation (range):				
	Slope (%):				
Characteristic Species	Aspect:				
	Topographic Position:				
	Soil Variables				
	Soil Drainage:				
	Soil Subgroup:				
	Surface Texture:				
	Effective Texture:				
	Depth to Mottles/Gley:				
	Organic Thickness:				
	Parent Material:				
	Humus Form				
	LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Мах	Count
	cm:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0

## f2 red osier dogwood/horsetail Pb-Sw (n=0)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Ecosite: f red osier dogwood/horsetail (subhygric/rich)

General Description	Environmental Variables							
A number of ecological site phases currently have no data.	Moisture Regime:							
These ecological site phases have been created as place	Nutrient Regime:							
holders because they were described in adjacent subregions (Northern Mixedwood and Athabasca Plain).	Elevation (range):							
	Slope (%):							
Characteristic Species	Aspect:							
	Topographic Position:							
	Soil Variables							
	Soil Drainage:							
	Soil Subgroup:							
	Surface Texture:							
	Effective Texture:							
	Depth to Mottles/Gley:							
	Organic Thickness:							
	Parent Material:							
	Humus Form							
	LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count			
	cm:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0			

## f3 red osier dogwood/horsetail - Pb-Aw(Ba) (n=2)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

[ 1.5 ] COMMON FIREWEED

Epilobium angustifolium

#### **Characteristic Species**

Ecosite: f red osier dogwood/horsetail (subhygric/rich)

**Environmental Variables** 

onuluu									
Tree		Moisture Regime: Subhygric (mod	, ( )						
[ 32.0]		Nutrient Regime: Permesotrophic (rich) (2)							
1 00 0 1	Populus balsamifera	Elevation (range): 217.5 (200-235) M							
[ 20.0]	WHITE BIRCH Betula papyrifera	Slope (%): level (1), nearly level (1)							
[ 7.5]		Aspect: Level (1), Westerly (1) Topographic Position:Level (1), Upper Slope (1)							
<b>.</b>	Populus tremuloides								
Shrub									
[ 11.0]	RIVER ALDER* Alnus tenuifolia	Soil Variables							
[ 10.5]		Soil Drainage: Imperfectly drained (1), Moderately well drained (1)							
	Cornus stolonifera	Soil Subgroup: LUVIC GLEYSOL (1)							
[ 7.5]	BEAKED WILLOW* Salix bebbiana	Surface Texture: Silty clay (1)							
[ 5.0]		Effective Texture: Silt loam (1)							
	Linnaea borealis	Depth to Mottles/Gley: 26 - 50 (1)							
[ 5.0]	DEWBERRY Rubus pubescens	Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (1)							
[ 4.0]		Parent Material: Lacustrine (1)							
	Salix bebbiana	Humus Form							
[ 3.5]	PRICKLY ROSE Rosa acicularis								
[ 2.0]		LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count			
	Viburnum edule	cm:	5.00	5.00	5.00	1			
Forb		0	0.00	0.00	0.00				
[ 5.0]									
[ 2.5]	Pyrola asarifolia MEADOW HORSETAIL*								
[ 2.0]	Equisetum pratense								

## KUC5 Pb/Alder-Red osier dogwood/Horsetail (n=1)

## (Populus balsamifera/Alnus tenuifolia-Cornus stolonifera/Equisetum pratense)

This plant community is transitional to the Peace-Athabasca subregion and is found in lower slope topographic positions near water courses where it receives nutrient-rich seepage or flood waters for a portion of the growing season. Undisturbed, this plant community tends to have dense shrub cover. Light is limited for lower herbaceous layers and succession in the absence of disturbance will be to white spruce.

## Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Ecosite: f red osier dogwood/horsetail (subhygric/rich) Ecosite Phase: f3 red osier dogwood/horsetail - Pb-Aw(Ba)

Plant Composition	Canopy Cover (%)			Environmental Variables				
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 25-25				
Overstory Tree				Moisture Regime: Subhygric (moderately moist) (1)				
BALSAM POPLAR				Nutrient Regime: Permesotrophic (rich) (1		· · ·	,	
(Populus balsamifera)	50.0	50.0-50.0	100	Elevation (range): 200 (200-200) M				
Understory Tree					J-200) IVI			
BALSAM POPLAR (Populus balsamifera)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Slope (%): 0 - 0.49 (1)				
Tall Shrub (2 to 5m)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Aspect: Level (1)				
RIVER ALDER				Topographic Position: Leve	el (1)			
(Alnus tenuifolia)	20.0	20.0-20.0	100					
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)				Soil Variables				
WILD RED RASPBERRY	1.0		100	Soil Drainage: Moderately	well drained (	1)		
(Rubus idaeus)		1.0-1.0		Soil Subgroup:	,	,		
LOW-BUSH CRANBERRY	0.0		100	0				
(Viburnum edule) PRICKLY ROSE	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	Surface Texture:				
(Rosa acicularis)	5.0	5.0 5.0-5.0	100	Effective Texture:				
RED-OSIER DOGWOOD				Depth to Mottles/Gley:				
(Cornus stolonifera)	20.0	20.0-20.0	100	Organic Thickness:				
Low Shrub (< 0.5m)				Parent Material:				
DEWBERRY				Humus Form				
(Rubus pubescens)	10.0	10.0-10.0	100					
Tall Forb (>= 30 cm)				LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
COMMON FIREWEED (Epilobium angustifolium)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100		0.00	0.00	0.00	0
MEADOW HORSETAIL	1.0	1.0 1.0	100	cm:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
(Equisetum pratense)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100					
Low Forb (< 30 cm)								
COMMON PINK WINTERGREEN								
(Pyrola asarifolia)	10.0	10.0-10.0	100					
Lichen								
N/A			100					
(Melanelia albertana)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100					

### KUC6 Bw-Aw-Pb/Willow (n=1)

### (Betula papyrifera-Populus tremuloides-Populus balsamifera/Salix spp.)

This community type occupies moist rich seepage areas in lower slope positions. Succession in the absence of disturbance will likely be to White spruce.

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Ecosite: f red osier dogwood/horsetail (subhygric/rich) Ecosite Phase: f3 red osier dogwood/horsetail - Pb-Aw(Ba)

0 70				
0 70				
	Moisture Regime: Subhygric (moderately moist) (1)			
Nutrient Regime: Permeso	trophic (rich)	(1)		
-	• • •	( )		
· • · · ·	,			
Aspect: Westerly (1)				
	er Slope (1)			
Soil Variables				
Soil Drainage: Imperfectly	drained (1)			
Soil Subgroup: LUVIC GLE	EYSOL ORTH	IIC (1)		
Surface Texture: Silty clay	(1)			
Effective Texture: Silt loam	n (1)			
Depth to Mottles/Gley: 26 - 50 (1)				
Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (1)				
-				
LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
	5.00	5.00	5.00	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	•
	Slope (%): 0.5 - 2.49 (1) Aspect: Westerly (1) Topographic Position: Upp <b>Soil Variables</b> Soil Drainage: Imperfectly Soil Subgroup: LUVIC GLI Surface Texture: Silty clay Effective Texture: Silt loam Depth to Mottles/Gley: 26 Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 c	Aspect: Westerly (1) Topographic Position: Upper Slope (1) <b>Soil Variables</b> Soil Drainage: Imperfectly drained (1) Soil Subgroup: LUVIC GLEYSOL ORTH Surface Texture: Silty clay (1) Effective Texture: Silty clay (1) Effective Texture: Silty clay (1) Depth to Mottles/Gley: 26 - 50 (1) Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (1) Parent Material: Lacustrine (1) Humus Form <b>LFH Thickness</b> Mean	Slope (%): 0.5 - 2.49 (1)Aspect: Westerly (1)Topographic Position: Upper Slope (1)Soil VariablesSoil Drainage: Imperfectly drained (1)Soil Subgroup: LUVIC GLEYSOL ORTHIC (1)Surface Texture: Silty clay (1)Effective Texture: Silt loam (1)Depth to Mottles/Gley: 26 - 50 (1)Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (1)Parent Material: Lacustrine (1)Humus FormLFH ThicknessMeanMan	Slope (%): 0.5 - 2.49 (1)Aspect: Westerly (1)Topographic Position: Upper Slope (1)Soil VariablesSoil Drainage: Imperfectly drained (1)Soil Subgroup: LUVIC GLEYSOL ORTHIC (1)Surface Texture: Silty clay (1)Effective Texture: Silty loam (1)Depth to Mottles/Gley: 26 - 50 (1)Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (1)Parent Material: Lacustrine (1)Humus FormLFH ThicknessMeanMinMax

### f4 shrub (n=0)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

#### **General Description**

A number of ecological site phases currently have no data. These ecological site phases have been created as place holders because they were described in adjacent subregions (Northern Mixedwood and Athabasca Plain).

#### **Characteristic Species**

**Ecosite:** f red osier dogwood/horsetail (subhygric/rich)

### **Environmental Variables**

Moisture Regime:
Nutrient Regime:
Elevation (range):
Slope (%):
Aspect:
Topographic Position:

#### **Soil Variables**

Soil Drainage:				
Soil Subgroup:				
Surface Texture:				
Effective Texture:				
Depth to Mottles/Gley:				
Organic Thickness:				
Parent Material:				
Humus Form				
LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count

0.00

0.00

0.00

0

cm:

#### tame/disturbed f5 (n=0)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Ecosite: f red osier dogwood/horsetail (subhygric/rich)

General Description	Environmental Variables
A number of ecological site phases currently have no data.	Moisture Regime:
These ecological site phases have been created as place holders because they were described in adjacent subregions	Nutrient Regime:
(Central Mixedwood).	Elevation (range):
	Slope (%):
Characteristic Species	Aspect:
	Topographic Position:
	Soil Variables

cm:

Soil Drainage:				
Soil Subgroup:				
Surface Texture:				
Effective Texture:				
Depth to Mottles/Gley:				
Organic Thickness:				
Parent Material:				
Humus Form				
LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Мах	Count
	mean		max	oount

0.00

0.00

0.00

0

# g Labrador tea-hygric(hygric/medium) (

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland

### **General Description**

The Labrador tea-hygric ecosite has a nutrient poor substrate with imperfectly to very poorly drained soils. Labrador tea and bog cranberry are indicative of the relatively acidic surface soil conditions. The Labrador tea - hygric ecosite has plant community types similar to the Labrador tea - mesic ecosite the hygric ecosite tends to be in mid to lower slope positions, has distinct mottling within the top 50cm of soil and tends to be dominated by black spruce rather than pine.



### **Successional Relationships**

Young and mature stands developing in this ecosite often have a component of black spruce. The black spruce is often the same age as the pine but forms a secondary canopy due to slower growth rates. Successionally mature stands are dominated by black spruce with a small component of old residual pine.

### **Indicator Species**

#### Tree

BLACK SPRUCE Picea mariana TAMARACK Larix laricina

#### Shrub

SMALL BOG CRANBERRY Oxycoccus microcarpus GREEN ALDER Alnus crispa RIVER ALDER Alnus tenuifolia

#### Moss and Liverwort

TUFTED MOSS Aulacomnium palustre PEAT MOSS Sphagnum warnstorfii GOLDEN MOSS Tomenthypnum nitens

### Graminoid

WATER SEDGE Carex aquatilis

### (n=2)

Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

### **Environmental Variables**

Moisture Regime: Hygric (moist) (1), Subhydric (moderately wet) (1) Nutrient Regime: Mesotrophic (medium) (1), Permesotrophic (rich) (1) Elevation (range): 245 (240-250) M Slope (%): level (1), nearly level (1) Aspect: Level (2) Topographic Position:Depression (1), Midslope (1)

### Soil Variables

Soil Drainage: Imperfectly drained (1), Very poorly drained (1) Soil Subgroup: GLEYSOL (1), MELANIC BRUNISOL (1) Surface Texture: Sand (2) Effective Texture: Sand (2) Depth to Mottles/Gley: 26 - 50 (1) Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (2) Parent Material: Glaciofluvial (1), Lacustrine (1) Humus Form

LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
cm:	6.50	3.00	10.00	2

# g1 Labrador tea-hygric Sb-Pj (n=2)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

### **Characteristic Species**

### Tree

Iree		
[ 32.5]	BLACK SPRUCE* Picea mariana	Nutrient Regime: Permesotrophic (ri Elevation (range): 245 (240-250) M
[ 3.5]	TAMARACK Larix laricina	Slope (%): level (1), nearly level (1)
Shrub		Aspect: Level (2)
[ 10.0]	RIVER ALDER* Alnus tenuifolia	Topographic Position:Depression (1
[ 10.0]	GREEN ALDER* Alnus crispa	Soil Variables
[ 7.5]	RIVER ALDER Alnus tenuifolia	Soil Drainage: Imperfectly drained ( Soil Subgroup: GLEYSOL (1), MEL/
[ 5.0]	LEATHERLEAF Chamaedaphne calyculata	Surface Texture: Sand (2)
[ 2.5]	BEAKED WILLOW Salix bebbiana	Effective Texture: Sand (2) Depth to Mottles/Gley: 26 - 50 (1)
[ 2.5]	MYRTLE-LEAVED WILLOW Salix myrtillifolia	Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (2)
[ 2.5]	DWARF BIRCH Betula pumila	Parent Material: Lacustrine (1), Glac Humus Form
[ 2.5]	BOG ROSEMARY Andromeda polifolia	numus ronn
[ 1.0]	SMALL BOG CRANBERRY* Oxycoccus microcarpus	LFH Thickness
Moss and	Liverwort	om.
[ 25.0]	PEAT MOSS* Sphagnum warnstorfii	
[ 17.5]	TUFTED MOSS* Aulacomnium palustre	
[ 10.0]	GOLDEN MOSS* Tomenthypnum nitens	
[ 10.0]	STAIR-STEP MOSS Hylocomium splendens	
[ 2.5]	JUNIPER HAIR-CAP Polytrichum juniperinum	
Graminoid		

#### Graminoid [ 7.5] WATER SEDGE\*

Carex aquatilis

Ecosite: g Labrador tea-hygric(hygric/medium)

### **Environmental Variables**

Moisture Regime: Hygric (moist) (1), Subhydric (moderately wet) (1)
Nutrient Regime: Permesotrophic (rich) (1), Mesotrophic (medium) (1)
Elevation (range): 245 (240-250) M
Slope (%): level (1), nearly level (1)
Aspect: Level (2)
Topographic Position:Depression (1), Midslope (1)

Soil Drainage: Imperfe	ctly drained (1), Very poorly drained (1)	
Soil Subgroup: GLEYS	OL (1), MELANIC BRUNISOL (1)	
Surface Texture: Sand	(2)	
Effective Texture: San	d (2)	
Depth to Mottles/Gley:	26 - 50 (1)	
Organic Thickness: 0 -	5 cm (2)	
Parent Material: Lacus	trine (1), Glaciofluvial (1)	
Humus Form		

LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
cm:	6.50	3.00	10.00	2

### KUE10 Lt-Sb/River alder/Sedge (n=1)

### (Larix laricina-Picea mariana/Alnus tenuifolia/Carex spp)

Fen ecosites are not common in the Canadian Shield natural region. Most wetlands are situated in the bog or poor fen ecosites because of the acidic nature of the soils in the region. Micro-topography allows trees to grow on slightly higher ground and contributes to species richness. Increased flooding and prolonged water-logging may result in the disappearance of trees and a transition to a willow/sedge fen.

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Ecosite: g Labrador tea-hygric(hygric/medium) Ecosite Phase: g1 Labrador tea-hygric Sb-Pj

Plant Composition	Canop	y Cover (%	)	<sup>cm</sup> Environmental Variables				
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 25-25				
Overstory Tree				Moisture Regime: Subhyd	lric (moderatel <sup>,</sup>	v wet) (1)		
TAMARACK				Nutrient Regime: Mesotrophic (medium) (1)				
(Larix laricina)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	Elevation (range): 240 (240-240) M				
Tall Shrub (2 to 5m)					iu-240) ivi			
TAMARACK	5.0		400	Slope (%): 0 - 0.49 (1)				
(Larix laricina)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100	Aspect: Level (1)				
BLACK SPRUCE (Picea mariana)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100	Topographic Position: Depression (1)				
RIVER ALDER	0.0	0.0 0.0	100					
(Alnus tenuifolia)	10.0	10.0-10.0	100	Soil Variables				
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)								
TAMARACK				Soil Drainage: Very poorly	/ drained (1)			
(Larix laricina)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	Soil Subgroup: GLEYSOL	. REGO (1)			
SMALL BOG CRANBERRY				Surface Texture: Sand (1)	1			
(Oxycoccus microcarpus)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	Effective Texture: Sand (1	)			
BOG ROSEMARY (Andromeda polifolia)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100					
DWARF BIRCH	5.0	3.0-3.0	100	Depth to Mottles/Gley:				
(Betula pumila)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100	Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (1)				
MYRTLE-LEAVED WILLOW				Parent Material: Lacustrin	e (1)			
(Salix myrtillifolia)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100	Humus Form				
LEATHERLEAF								
(Chamaedaphne calyculata)	10.0	10.0-10.0	100	LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
RIVER ALDER (Alnus tenuifolia)	15.0	15.0-15.0	100	cm:	3.00	3.00	3.00	1
Tall Forb (>= 30 cm)	10.0	10.0 10.0	100	ciii.	3.00	3.00	3.00	I
MARSH CINQUEFOIL								
(Potentilla palustris)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100					
Graminoid	-							
WATER SEDGE								
(Carex aquatilis)	15.0	15.0-15.0	100					
Moss								
TUFTED MOSS								
(Aulacomnium palustre)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100					
GOLDEN MOSS								
(Tomenthypnum nitens)	20.0	20.0-20.0	100					
PEAT MOSS (Sphagnum warnstorfii)	50.0	50.0-50.0	100					
(Sphagnum warnstorfii)	50.0	50.0-50.0	100					

### KUE7 Sb/Alder/Tufted moss (n=1)

### (Picea mariana/Alnus crispa/Aulacomnium palustre)

This community type represents the transition between a treed bog and the upland jack pine/black spruce dominated community types. Alder and stair step-moss indicate the medium nutrient status of this community type.

# Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

**Ecosite:** g Labrador tea-hygric(hygric/medium) **Ecosite Phase:** g1 Labrador tea-hygric Sb-Pj

					,,,	,		
Plant Composition	Canop	y Cover (%)		Environmental Variables				
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 25-25				
Overstory Tree				Moisture Regime: Hygric (	(moist) (1)			
WHITE SPRUCE				Nutrient Regime: Permes	otrophic (rich) (	1)		
(Picea glauca)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Nutrient Regime: Permesotrophic (rich) (1)				
BLACK SPRUCE	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	Elevation (range): 250 (250-250) M				
(Picea mariana) JACK PINE	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	Slope (%): 0.5 - 2.49 (1)				
(Pinus banksiana)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	Aspect: Level (1)				
Tall Shrub (2 to 5m)				Topographic Position: Mid	lslope (1)			
BEAKED WILLOW								
(Salix bebbiana)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100	Soil Variables				
RIVER ALDER								
(Alnus tenuifolia)	10.0	10.0-10.0	100	Soil Drainage: Imperfectly				
GREEN ALDER	00.0		100	Soil Subgroup: MELANIC	BRUNISOL GI	_EYED (1)		
(Alnus crispa)	20.0	20.0-20.0	100	Surface Texture: Sand (1)	1			
BLACK SPRUCE (Picea mariana)	40.0	40.0-40.0	100	Effective Texture: Sand (1)				
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)	40.0	40.0 40.0	100	Depth to Mottles/Gley: 26	,			
BEAKED WILLOW								
(Salix bebbiana)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 c	cm (1)			
BLACK SPRUCE				Parent Material: Glaciofluv	vial (1)			
(Picea mariana)	20.0	20.0-20.0	100	Humus Form				
Low Forb (< 30 cm)								
BUNCHBERRY				LFH Thickness	Mean	Min	Max	Count
(Cornus canadensis)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100		10.00	10.00	10.00	1
VINE-LEAVED COLTSFOOT	4.0		400	cm:	10.00	10.00	10.00	1
(Petasites vitifolius)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100					
THREE-LEAVED SOLOMON'S-SEAI (Smilacina trifolia)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100					
Graminoid	2.0	2.0 2.0	100					
NORTHERN REED GRASS								
(Calamagrostis inexpansa)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100					
Moss								
JUNIPER HAIR-CAP								
(Polytrichum juniperinum)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100					
STAIR-STEP MOSS								
(Hylocomium splendens)	20.0	20.0-20.0	100					
TUFTED MOSS								
(Aulacomnium palustre)	30.0	30.0-30.0	100					
Liehen								
Lichen								
N/A (Cladonia gracilis)	3.0	3.0-3.0	100					

# h bog (subhydric/very poor) (n=3)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland

### **General Description**

Bog communities are the dominant wetland type in the Kazan Upland subregion. The bog ecosite has a very poor to poor nutrient regime and is poor to very poorly drained. Organic soils consisting of slowly decomposing peat moss are common. This ecosite occupies level and depressional areas where water tends to be stagnant and impeded drainage or high water tables enhance the accumulation of organic matter. Stunted black spruce forms a sparse canopy on the treed phase (h1) of the bog ecosite. Leatherleaf (Chamaedaphne calyculata) is very common in the bog ecosite.



### **Successional Relationships**

The bog ecosite is an edaphic climax that is maintained by water tables. The hydrarch succession that leads to the bog ecosite is extremely slow.

### **Indicator Species**

#### Tree

BLACK SPRUCE Picea mariana

#### Shrub

COMMON LABRADOR TEA Ledum groenlandicum SMALL BOG CRANBERRY Oxycoccus microcarpus LEATHERLEAF Chamaedaphne calyculata NORTHERN LAUREL Kalmia polifolia

### Moss and Liverwort

N/A Sphagnum nemoreum PEAT MOSS Sphagnum angustifolium

#### Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

### **Environmental Variables**

Moisture Regime: Subhydric (moderately wet) (3) Nutrient Regime: Oligotrophic (very poor) (1), Submesotrophic (poor) (2) Elevation (range): 267.5 (230-300) M Slope (%): level (1), very gentle slope (1) Aspect: Level (1), Northerly (1) Topographic Position:Lower Slope (1), Depression (2)

### Soil Variables

Soil Drainage: Poorly drained (1), Very poorly drained (2) Soil Subgroup: FIBRISOL (1), GLEYSOL (1), ORGANIC CRYOSOL (1) Surface Texture: Fibric (2) Effective Texture: Mesic (1), Sand (1) Depth to Mottles/Gley: Organic Thickness: 26 - 39 cm (1), 60 - 79 cm (1) Parent Material: Glaciofluvial (1), Undifferentiated Organic (2) Humus Form

## h1 bog - treed (n=1)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

### **Characteristic Species**

#### Tree

[	99.0]	BLACK SPRUCE Picea mariana
Shr	ub	
[	42.0]	COMMON LABRADOR TEA* Ledum groenlandicum
[	29.0]	CLOUDBERRY Rubus chamaemorus
[	8.0]	LEATHERLEAF* Chamaedaphne calyculata
[	8.0]	BOG CRANBERRY Vaccinium vitis-idaea
Mo	ss and L	_iverwort
[	42.0]	PEAT MOSS* Sphagnum angustifolium
[	42.0]	1 0 0
[	18.0]	1 0

Pleurozium schreberi

Ecosite: h bog (subhydric/very poor)

### **Environmental Variables**

Moisture Regime: Subhydric (moderately wet) (1) Nutrient Regime: Oligotrophic (very poor) (1) Elevation (range): 300 (300-300) M Slope (%): Aspect: Topographic Position:Depression (1)

### **Soil Variables**

Soil Drainage: Poorly drained (1) Soil Subgroup: ORGANIC CRYOSOL (1) Surface Texture: Fibric (1) Effective Texture: Mesic (1) Depth to Mottles/Gley: Organic Thickness: 60 - 79 cm (1) Parent Material: Humus Form

### KUE8 Sb/Labrador tea/peat moss (n=1)

### (Picea mariana/Ledum groenlandicum/Sphagnum spp.)

This community type is typical if a treed bog ecological site phase. Rich fens tend to be dominated by larch, willow and golden moss, whereas, bogs are dominated by black spruce, Labrador tea and peat moss.

# Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Ecosite: h bog (subhydric/very poor) Ecosite Phase: h1 bog - treed

Plant Composition	Canopy Cover (%)			Environmental Variables	
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 25-25	
Overstory Tree				Moisture Regime: Subhydric (moderately wet) (1)	
BLACK SPRUCE (Picea mariana)	29.0	29.0-29.0	100	Nutrient Regime: Oligotrophic (very poor) (1)	
Understory Tree				Elevation (range): 300 (300-300) M	
BLACK SPRUCE (Picea mariana)	42.0	42.0-42.0	100	Slope (%): Aspect:	
Tall Shrub (2 to 5m)				•	
BLACK SPRUCE				Topographic Position: Depression (1)	
(Picea mariana) Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)	29.0	29.0-29.0	100	Soil Variables	
LEATHERLEAF				Soil Drainage: Poorly drained (1)	
(Chamaedaphne calyculata) BOG CRANBERRY	8.0	8.0-8.0	100	Soil Subgroup: ORGANIC CRYOSOL FIBRIC (1)	
(Vaccinium vitis-idaea)	8.0	8.0-8.0	100	Surface Texture: Fibric (1)	
COMMON LABRADOR TEA (Ledum groenlandicum)	42.0	42.0-42.0	100	Effective Texture: Mesic (1)	
Low Shrub (< 0.5m)				Depth to Mottles/Gley:	
CLOUDBERRY				Organic Thickness: 60 - 79 cm (1)	
(Rubus chamaemorus)	29.0	29.0-29.0	100	Parent Material:	
Moss				Humus Form	
SCHREBER'S MOSS (Pleurozium schreberi)	18.0	18.0-18.0	100		
N/A (Sphagnum nemoreum)	42.0	42.0-42.0	100		
PEAT MOSS (Sphagnum angustifolium)	42.0	42.0-42.0	100		

# h2 bog - shrubby (n=2)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

### **Characteristic Species**

[ 3.0 ] WATER SEDGE Carex aquatilis

#### Tree

[ 15.0]	BLACK SPRUCE	Nutrient Regime: Submesotrophic (poor) (2)	
Picea mariana			Elevation (range): 235 (230-240) M
Shi	rub		Slope (%): level (1), very gentle slope (1)
[	40.0]	LEATHERLEAF Chamaedaphne calyculata	Aspect: Level (1), Northerly (1)
[	35.5]	COMMON LABRADOR TEA	Topographic Position:Depression (1), Lower Slope (1)
[	10.0]	NORTHERN LAUREL* Kalmia polifolia	Soil Variables
[	7.5]		Soil Drainage: Very poorly drained (2)
,	1	Vaccinium vitis-idaea	Soil Subgroup: FIBRISOL (1), GLEYSOL (1)
l	5.0]	SMALL BOG CRANBERRY* Oxycoccus microcarpus	Surface Texture: Fibric (1)
[	2.0]	CLOUDBERRY	Effective Texture: Sand (1)
		Rubus chamaemorus	Depth to Mottles/Gley:
For		4.0] THREE-LEAVED SOLOMON'S-SEAL Smilacina trifolia	Organic Thickness: 26 - 39 cm (1)
l	4.0 J		Parent Material: Glaciofluvial (1), Undifferentiated Organic (2)
Lichen			Humus Form
[	12.5 ]	REINDEER LICHEN Cladina mitis	
Мо	ss and I	Liverwort	
[	65.0]	RUSTY PEAT MOSS Sphagnum fuscum	
[	17.5]	MIDWAY PEAT MOSS Sphagnum magellanicum	
[	5.0]	N/A Sphagnum nemoreum	
[	2.0]	TUFTED MOSS Aulacomnium palustre	
Gra	aminoid		
[	4.0]	SHEATHED COTTON GRASS Eriophorum vaginatum	

Ecosite: h bog (subhydric/very poor)

Moisture Regime: Subhydric (moderately wet) (2)

**Environmental Variables** 

### KUB2 Labrador tea/Peat moss (Sb) (n=2)

### (Ledum groelandicum/Sphagnum spp (Picea mariana))

This plant community represents an early seral community of the treed bog ecosite phase. The bog ecosite commonly has organic soils consisting of slowly decomposing peat moss. In the absence of disturbance this community type will become dominated by black spruce. The soils for one plot in this community type was described as peaty phase of a Rego Gleysol as the soil was described at the outer edge of the bog.

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Ecosite: h bog (subhydric/very poor) Ecosite Phase: h2 bog - shrubby

Plant Composition	Canopy	Cover (%)		Environmental Variables
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 25-25
Understory Tree				Moisture Regime: Subhydric (moderately wet) (2)
BLACK SPRUCE				Nutrient Regime: Submesotrophic (poor) (2)
(Picea mariana)	1.0	0.0-2.0	50	
Tall Shrub (2 to 5m)				Elevation (range): 235 (230-240) M
BLACK SPRUCE (Picea mariana)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100	Slope (%): 0 - 0.49 (1), 2.5 - 5.99 (1)
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100	Aspect: Level (1), Northerly (1)
WHITE BIRCH				Topographic Position: Lower Slope (1), Depression (1)
(Betula papyrifera)	1.5	0.0-3.0	50	
SMALL BOG CRANBERRY				Soil Variables
(Oxycoccus microcarpus)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100	Soil Drainage: Very poorly drained (2)
BOG CRANBERRY (Vaccinium vitis-idaea)	7.5	0.0-15.0	50	Soil Subgroup: FIBRISOL TYPIC (1), GLEYSOL REGO (1)
NORTHERN LAUREL	7.5	0.0-13.0	50	
(Kalmia polifolia)	10.0	0.0-20.0	50	Surface Texture: Fibric (1)
BLACK SPRUCE				Effective Texture: Sand (1)
(Picea mariana)	15.0	10.0-20.0	100	Depth to Mottles/Gley:
COMMON LABRADOR TEA (Ledum groenlandicum)	35.5	1.0-70.0	100	Organic Thickness: 26 - 39 cm (1)
LEATHERLEAF	55.5	1.0-70.0	100	Parent Material: Glaciofluvial (1), Undifferentiated Organic (2)
(Chamaedaphne calyculata)	40.0	10.0-70.0	100	Humus Form
Low Shrub (< 0.5m)				
CLOUDBERRY				
(Rubus chamaemorus)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	
Low Forb (< 30 cm)				
THREE-LEAVED SOLOMON'S-SEAL (Smilacina trifolia)	4.0	3.0-5.0	100	
Graminoid	4.0	3.0-3.0	100	
WATER SEDGE				
(Carex aquatilis)	3.0	3.0-3.0	100	
SHEATHED COTTON GRASS				
(Eriophorum vaginatum)	4.0	0.0-8.0	50	
Moss				
JUNIPER HAIR-CAP	1.0	0.0-2.0	50	
(Polytrichum juniperinum) TUFTED MOSS	1.0	0.0-2.0	50	
(Aulacomnium palustre)	2.0	1.0-3.0	100	
N/A				
(Sphagnum nemoreum)	5.0	0.0-10.0	50	
MIDWAY PEAT MOSS (Sphagnum magellanicum)	17.5	0.0-35.0	50	
RUSTY PEAT MOSS	17.0	0.0-00.0	00	
(Sphagnum fuscum)	65.0	60.0-70.0	100	
Lichen				
REINDEER LICHEN				
(Cladina mitis)	12.5	0.0-25.0	50	

#### bog -graminoid h3 (n=0)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

#### **General Description**

This ecological site phase currently has no data and graminoid Moisture Regime: bogs have not been described in the Boreal Natural Region. This ecological site phase was created for photo interpretation of vegetation for Alberta Vegetation Inventory (AVI) and Primary Land Vegetation Inventory (PLVI) if the interpreters call Slope (%): for a mapcode of 9B (Hydric/very poor). If interpreted in the various vegetation land cover inventories it will provide a spatial location in which to sample.

### **Characteristic Species**

Ecosite: h bog (subhydric/very poor)

### **Environmental Variables**

Nutrient Regime: Elevation (range): Aspect: **Topographic Position:** 

### **Soil Variables**

Soil Drainage: Soil Subgroup: Surface Texture: Effective Texture: Depth to Mottles/Gley: Organic Thickness: Parent Material: Humus Form

#### poor fen (subhydric/medium) i (n=3)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland

### **General Description**

and the rich fen (j) ecosites and as such has species characteristic of both. Drainage is poor to very poor, however, there is some movement of water through the substratum. This ecosite occupies level and depressional areas where impeded drainage or high water tables enhance the accumulation of organic matter. This organic matter consists of a combination of bog-type organic matter (peat moss) and rich fen-type organic matter (sedges, golden moss, tufted moss, and brown moss). Both the black spruce and/or tamarack that dominate a sparse canopy on the treed phase (i1) of the poor fen ecosite are stunted and generally considered unmerchantable. A number of saline influenced plant community types were described here (KUA4, KUA5). In the Northern Mixedwood subregion a saline lowland ecological site was described, but the saline ecological sites in the Kazan Upland are presently not adequately described that warrants a new ecological site.



### **Successional Relationships**

Succession within this ecosite occurs over periods of hundreds to thousands of years. Recovery from disturbance is extremely slow. Changing hydrologic regimes that can result from disturbance influence the direction and rate of succession. These systems depend on water flow through them, impeding this flow can result in reduction or elimination of tree cover and changes in the shrub, forb and grass layers (Beckingham and Archibald 1996).

### Indicator Species

#### Tree

BLACK SPRUCE Picea mariana TAMARACK Larix laricina

Shrub

COMMON LABRADOR TEA Ledum groenlandicum MYRTLE-LEAVED WILLOW Salix myrtillifolia **RIVER ALDER** Alnus tenuifolia

### Forb

SALINE SHOOTING STAR Dodecatheon pulchellum SEASIDE ARROW-GRASS Triglochin maritima

### Moss and Liverwort

**BROWN MOSS** Drepanocladus exannulatus GOLDEN MOSS Tomenthypnum nitens

#### Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

### **Environmental Variables**

The poor fen ecosite is intermediate in nutrient regime between the bog (h) Moisture Regime: Hygric (moist) (1), Subhygric (moderately moist) (1) Nutrient Regime: Mesotrophic (medium) (1), Submesotrophic (poor) (1) Elevation (range): 230 (205-250) M Slope (%): level (3) Aspect: Level (2) Topographic Position:Lower Slope (1), Depression (2)

### Soil Variables

Soil Drainage: Imperfectly drained (0), Poorly drained (2)

Soil Subgroup: FIBRISOL (1)

Surface Texture: Fibric (1)

Effective Texture: Fibric (1)

Depth to Mottles/Gley:

Organic Thickness: >= 80 cm (1)

Parent Material: Glaciolacustrine (0), Lacustrine (1), Undifferentiated Organic (1)

Humus Form

#### i1 poor fen - treed (n=1)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

### **Characteristic Species**

#### Tree

II CC		
[ 53.0]	BLACK SPRUCE* Picea mariana	Nutrient Regime: Submesotrop Elevation (range): 205 (205-20
[ 18.0]	TAMARACK* Larix laricina	Slope (%): level (1)
Shrub		Aspect: Level (1)
[ 30.0]	COMMON LABRADOR TEA* Ledum groenlandicum	Topographic Position:Lower SI
[ 6.0]	RIVER ALDER* Alnus tenuifolia	Soil Variables
[ 6.0]	MYRTLE-LEAVED WILLOW*	Soil Drainage: Poorly drained (
	Salix myrtillifolia	Soil Subgroup: FIBRISOL (1)
[ 3.0]	BEAKED WILLOW Salix bebbiana	Surface Texture: Fibric (1)
[ 3.0]		Effective Texture: Fibric (1)
[ 2.0]	Vaccinium vitis-idaea BEAKED WILLOW	Depth to Mottles/Gley:
[ 2.0]	Salix bebbiana	Organic Thickness: >= 80 cm (
Forb		Parent Material: Undifferentiate
[ 2.0]	SWAMP HORSETAIL Equisetum fluviatile	Humus Form
[ 1.0]	COMMON HORSETAIL Equisetum arvense	
Moss and	Liverwort	
[ 15.0]	GOLDEN MOSS* Tomenthypnum nitens	
Graminoid	1	

#### 2.0] BLUEJOINT [

 DEGESCHAI						
Calamagrostis canadensis						

Ecosite: i poor fen (subhydric/medium)

### **Environmental Variables**

Moisture Regime: Hygric (moist) (1) ophic (poor) (1) 205) M Slope (1)

Soil Drainage: Poorly drained (1)
Soil Subgroup: FIBRISOL (1)
Surface Texture: Fibric (1)
Effective Texture: Fibric (1)
Depth to Mottles/Gley:
Organic Thickness: >= 80 cm (1)
Parent Material: Undifferentiated Organic (1), Lacustrine (1)
Humus Form

### KUE9 Sb-Lt/Labrador tea/Golden moss (n=1)

### (Picea mariana-Larix laricina/Ledum groenlandicum/Tomenthypnum nitens)

This community type is transitional between the rich fen and the bog ecological sites. Rich fens tend to be dominated by larch, willow and golden moss, whereas, bogs are dominated by black spruce, Labrador tea and peat moss.

# Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

**Ecosite:** i poor fen (subhydric/medium) **Ecosite Phase:** i1 poor fen - treed

Plant Composition	Canopy Cover (%)			Environmental Variables	
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 25-25	
Overstory Tree				Moisture Regime: Hygric (moist) (1)	
TAMARACK				Nutrient Regime: Submesotrophic (poor) (1)	
(Larix laricina)	10.0	10.0-10.0	100		
BLACK SPRUCE				Elevation (range): 205 (205-205) M	
(Picea mariana)	30.0	30.0-30.0	100	Slope (%): 0 - 0.49 (1)	
Understory Tree				Aspect: Level (1)	
TAMARACK				Topographic Position: Lower Slope (1)	
(Larix laricina)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100	Topographic Position. Lower Stope (1)	
BLACK SPRUCE	45.0	450450	100		
(Picea mariana)	15.0	15.0-15.0	100	Soil Variables	
Tall Shrub (2 to 5m)				Soil Drainage: Poorly drained (1)	
BEAKED WILLOW (Salix bebbiana)	3.0	3.0-3.0	100	Soil Subgroup: FIBRISOL TERRIC (1)	
BLACK SPRUCE	5.0	3.0-3.0	100		
(Picea mariana)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100	Surface Texture: Fibric (1)	
RIVER ALDER	0.0	5.0 0.0		Effective Texture: Fibric (1)	
(Alnus tenuifolia)	6.0	6.0-6.0	100	Depth to Mottles/Gley:	
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)				Organic Thickness: >= 80 cm (1)	
BEAKED WILLOW				<b>č</b>	
(Salix bebbiana)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	Parent Material: Lacustrine (1), Undifferentiated Organic (1)	
TAMARACK				Humus Form	
(Larix laricina)	3.0	3.0-3.0	100		
BLACK SPRUCE					
(Picea mariana)	3.0	3.0-3.0	100		
BOG CRANBERRY			400		
(Vaccinium vitis-idaea)	3.0	3.0-3.0	100		
RIVER ALDER	5.0	5.0-5.0	100		
<i>(Alnus tenuifolia)</i> MYRTLE-LEAVED WILLOW	5.0	5.0-5.0	100		
(Salix myrtillifolia)	6.0	6.0-6.0	100		
COMMON LABRADOR TEA					
(Ledum groenlandicum)	30.0	30.0-30.0	100		
Tall Forb (>= 30 cm)					
COMMON HORSETAIL					
(Equisetum arvense)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100		
SWAMP HORSETAIL					
(Equisetum fluviatile)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100		
Graminoid					
BLUEJOINT					
(Calamagrostis canadensis)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100		
Moss					
GOLDEN MOSS					
(Tomenthypnum nitens)	15.0	15.0-15.0	100		

### i2 poor fen - shrubby (n=0)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

#### **General Description**

This ecological site phase currently has no data, but is presented here because it has been described in adjacent subregions (Northern Mixedwood and Athabasca Plain).

### **Characteristic Species**

Ecosite: i poor fen (subhydric/medium)

### **Environmental Variables**

Moisture Regime: Nutrient Regime: Elevation (range): Slope (%): Aspect: Topographic Position:

### Soil Variables

Soil Drainage: Soil Subgroup: Surface Texture: Effective Texture: Depth to Mottles/Gley: Organic Thickness: Parent Material: Humus Form

### i3 poor fen - graminoid (n=2)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

### **Characteristic Species**

#### Forb

	-	
[	20.0]	SALINE SHOOTING STAR* Dodecatheon pulchellum
[	2.5 ]	SEASIDE ARROW-GRASS* Triglochin maritima
[	1.5 ]	SEASIDE ARROW-GRASS Triglochin maritima
Mo	ss and l	_iverwort
[	27.5 ]	BROWN MOSS* Drepanocladus exannulatus
Gra	minoid	
[	20.0 ]	MAT MUHLY Muhlenbergia richardsonis
[	4.0]	AWNED SEDGE Carex atherodes
[	1.0 ]	WIRE RUSH Juncus balticus

Ecosite: i poor fen (subhydric/medium)

### **Environmental Variables**

Moisture Regime: Hygric (moist) (0), Subhygric (moderately moist) (1) Nutrient Regime: Mesotrophic (medium) (1) Elevation (range): 242.5 (235-250) M Slope (%): level (2) Aspect: Level (1) Topographic Position:Depression (2)

### **Soil Variables**

Soil Drainage: Imperfectly drained (0), Poorly drained (1) Soil Subgroup: Surface Texture: Effective Texture: Depth to Mottles/Gley: Organic Thickness: Parent Material: Glaciolacustrine (0) Humus Form

## KUA4 Shooting star/Mat muhly (saline) (n=1)

### (Dodecatheon pulchellum/Muhlenbergia richardsonis)

This community type is part of a saline meadow complex described within the La Butte Creek Wildland Provincial Park (Allen et al. 2002). Site 1 which is described was slightly drier and was dominated by mat muhly, shooting star and seaside arrow grass. The other site which was slightly wetter was dominated by brown moss, awned sedge and seaside arrowgrass on the drier margins. It was felt that the mat muhly dominated community was subjected to higher levels of salt through evaporation.

# Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Ecosite: i poor fen (subhydric/medium) Ecosite Phase: i3 poor fen - graminoid

Plant Composition	Canopy Cover (%)			Environmental Variables	
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 0-0	
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)				Moisture Regime: Subhygric (moderately moist) (1)	
HOARY WILLOW (Salix candida)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Nutrient Regime: Mesotrophic (medium) (1)	
Tall Forb (>= 30 cm)		-	-	Elevation (range): 250 (250-250) M	
HIRSUTE FLEABANE				Slope (%): 0 - 0.49 (1)	
(Erigeron lonchophyllus)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Aspect: Level (1)	
SEASIDE ARROW-GRASS (Triglochin maritima) SALINE SHOOTING STAR	5.0	5.0-5.0	100	Topographic Position: Depression (1)	
(Dodecatheon pulchellum)	40.0	40.0-40.0	100	Soil Variables	
Low Forb (< 30 cm)				Soil Drainage: Poorly drained (1)	
SEA MILKWORT (Glaux maritima)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Soil Subgroup:	
Graminoid				Surface Texture:	
SLENDER WHEAT GRASS	1.0	1010	100	Effective Texture:	
(Agropyron trachycaulum) MAT MUHLY	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Depth to Mottles/Gley:	
(Muhlenbergia richardsonis)	40.0	40.0-40.0	100	Organic Thickness:	
				Parent Material: Glaciolacustrine (0)	

Humus Form

## KUA5 Sedge/Brown moss (saline) (n=1)

### (Carex atherodes/Drepanocladus exannulata)

This community represents the wetter portion of a saline meadow complex within the La Butte Creek Wildland Provincial Park (Allen et al. 2002). Site 1 which is slightly drier and was dominated by mat muhly, shooting star and seaside arrow grass. The other site described here was slightly wetter and was dominated by brown moss, awned sedge and seaside arrowgrass on the drier margins. Towards the areas of open water this community type integrated into wetland communities dominated by water and awned sedge.

# Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Ecosite: i poor fen (subhydric/medium) Ecosite Phase: i3 poor fen - graminoid

Plant Composition	Canopy Cover (%)			Environmental Variables	
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 0-0	
Low Forb (< 30 cm)				Moisture Regime: Hygric (moist) (0)	
SEASIDE ARROW-GRASS (Triglochin maritima)	3.0	0.0-0.0	100	Nutrient Regime: Mesotrophic (medium) (0)	
Graminoid	0.0	0.0 0.0	100	Elevation (range): 235 (235-235) M	
WIRE RUSH				Slope (%): 0 - 0.49 (1)	
(Juncus balticus)	2.0	0.0-0.0	100	Aspect: Level (0)	
AWNED SEDGE (Carex atherodes)	8.0	0.0-0.0	100	Topographic Position: Depression (1)	
Moss					
BROWN MOSS				Soil Variables	
(Drepanocladus exannulatus)	55.0	0.0-0.0	0	Soil Drainage: Imperfectly drained (0)	
				Soil Subgroup:	

Surface Texture: Effective Texture: Depth to Mottles/Gley: Organic Thickness:

Humus Form

Parent Material: Glaciolacustrine (0)

# j rich fen (subhydric/rich) (n=3)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland

### **General Description**

The rich fen ecosite is characterized by flowing water and alkaline nutrientrich conditions. The soil is composed of organic matter derived from decomposing sedges, as well as golden, tufted, and brown mosses. This ecosite occupies level and depressional areas where the water table is at or near the surface for a portion of the growing season. Tamarack dominates the canopy on the treed phase while dwarf birch or willow form the canopy of the shrubby phase, and sedges dominate the graminoid phase of the rich fen ecosite. A number of sites within this ecological site were described with mineral soils. According to the Alberta Wetland Classification system (2015) mineral soils can only be swamps or marshes, however because of the species composition and moisture regime these willow and sedge dominated communities for this classification were placed within the rich fen ecological site.



### **Successional Relationships**

The rich fen is an early stage in hydrarch succession. Species composition, and direction and rate of succession changes with the changing hydrologic regime. As with other wetlands, rich fens have slow successional rates, so recovery from disturbance may also be slow.

### **Indicator Species**

#### Shrub

FLAT-LEAVED WILLOW Salix planifolia BALSAM WILLOW Salix pyrifolia

### Moss and Liverwort

BROWN MOSS Drepanocladus aduncus

### Graminoid

BLUEJOINT Calamagrostis canadensis SMALL BOTTLE SEDGE Carex utriculata

#### Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

### **Environmental Variables**

Moisture Regime: Hydric (wet) (1), Subhydric (moderately wet) (1), Subhygric (moderately moist) (1) Nutrient Regime: Mesotrophic (medium) (1), Permesotrophic (rich) (2) Elevation (range): 264.5 (229-300) M Slope (%): nearly level (1), level (2) Aspect: Level (2) Topographic Position:Depression (1), Level (2)

### Soil Variables

Soil Drainage: Poorly drained (1), Very poorly drained (2)

Soil Subgroup: GLEYSOL (1), HUMIC GLEYSOL (1), MESISOL (1)

Surface Texture: Mesic (1), Sand (1), Silt (1)

Effective Texture: Loam (1), Sandy clay (1), Silt (1)

Depth to Mottles/Gley: 26 - 50 (1)

Organic Thickness: 26 - 39 cm (1), 0 - 5 cm (2)

Parent Material: Lacustrine (1), Rock (1), Undifferentiated Organic (1), Fluvial (2)

Humus Form

# j1 rich fen - treed (n=0)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

#### **General Description**

This ecological site phase currently has no data, but is presented here because it has been described in adjacent subregions (Northern Mixedwood and Athabasca Plain).

### **Characteristic Species**

Ecosite: j rich fen (subhydric/rich)

### **Environmental Variables**

Moisture Regime: Nutrient Regime: Elevation (range): Slope (%): Aspect: Topographic Position:

### Soil Variables

Soil Drainage: Soil Subgroup: Surface Texture: Effective Texture: Depth to Mottles/Gley: Organic Thickness: Parent Material: Humus Form

## j2 rich fen - shrubby (n=3)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

#### **Characteristic Species**

#### Tree

[	5.0]	WHITE BIRCH Betula papyrifera						
[	3.3 ]	ASPEN Populus tremuloides						
Shr	ub							
[	30.0 ]	BALSAM WILLOW* Salix pyrifolia						
[	31.6 ]	FLAT-LEAVED WILLOW Salix planifolia						
[	3.2 ]	SMOOTH WILLOW Salix glauca						
Mo	Moss and Liverwort							
[	16.6]	BROWN MOSS*						

16.6]	BROWN MOSS"
	Drepanocladus aduncus

### Graminoid

[ 46.6]	BLUEJOINT*
	Calamagrostis canadensis
[ 16.6]	SMALL BOTTLE SEDGE* Carex utriculata

Ecosite: j rich fen (subhydric/rich)

### **Environmental Variables**

Moisture Regime: Subhygric (moderately moist) (1), Hydric (wet) (1), Subhydric (moderately wet) (1) Nutrient Regime: Mesotrophic (medium) (1), Permesotrophic (rich) (2) Elevation (range): 264.5 (229-300) M Slope (%): nearly level (1), level (2) Aspect: Level (2) Topographic Position:Depression (1), Level (2) Soil Variables

Soil Drainage: Poorly drained (1), Very poorly drained (2) Soil Subgroup: GLEYSOL (1), HUMIC GLEYSOL (1), MESISOL (1) Surface Texture: Sand (1), Silt (1), Mesic (1) Effective Texture: Silt (1), Sandy clay (1), Loam (1) Depth to Mottles/Gley: 26 - 50 (1) Organic Thickness: 26 - 39 cm (1), 0 - 5 cm (2) Parent Material: Undifferentiated Organic (1), Rock (1), Lacustrine (1), Fluvial (2) Humus Form

### KUB3 Willow/Marsh reed grass (n=1)

### (Salix spp/Calamagrostis canadensis)

This plant community is found along the edges of marsh reed grass and sedge fen meadows and in moist depressions. Willow will invade onto these graminoid fens to form the Willow/Marsh reed grass community type. Increased flooding and prolonged waterlogging may result in the disappearance of willow and a transition to a graminoid fen.

# Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

**Ecosite:** j rich fen (subhydric/rich) **Ecosite Phase:** j2 rich fen - shrubby

Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (1) Parent Material: Fluvial (1)

Humus Form

Plant Composition	Canopy Cover (%)			Environmental Variables	
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 40-40	
Understory Tree				Moisture Regime: Subhygric (moderately moist) (1)	
SMOOTH WILLOW	5.0	<b>5050</b>	400	Nutrient Regime: Permesotrophic (rich) (1)	
(Salix glauca) Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100	Elevation (range): 0 (0-0) M	
SMOOTH WILLOW				Slope (%): 0 - 0.49 (1)	
(Salix glauca)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100	Aspect: Level (0)	
Tall Forb (>= 30 cm)				Topographic Position: Level (1)	
COMMON FIREWEED (Epilobium angustifolium)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100		
Graminoid				Soil Variables	
BLUEJOINT				Soil Drainage: Poorly drained (1)	
(Calamagrostis canadensis)	95.0	95.0-95.0	100	Soil Subgroup: HUMIC GLEYSOL REGO (1)	
				Surface Texture: Silt (1)	
				Effective Texture: Silt (1)	
				Depth to Mottles/Gley:	

### KUB4 Willow/Sedge (n=1)

### (Salix planifolia/Carex utriculata)

This community type is found along the edges of sedge fens (meadows) and in moist depressions. Willow becomes established at the edges of the sedge fens due to the shorter duration of standing water. Increased flooding and prolonged water-logging may result in the disappearance of willow and a transition to a sedge fen.

Ecosite: j rich fen (subhydric/rich)

Effective Texture: Sandy clay (1) Depth to Mottles/Gley: 26 - 50 (1) Organic Thickness: 0 - 5 cm (1) Parent Material: Fluvial (1)

Humus Form

Ecosite Phase: j2 rich fen - shrubby

# Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

**Plant Composition** Canopy Cover (%) **Environmental Variables** Mean Range Const. Ecological Status Score: 40-40 Tall Shrub (2 to 5m) Moisture Regime: Hydric (wet) (1) FLAT-LEAVED WILLOW Nutrient Regime: Permesotrophic (rich) (1) 30.0 30.0-30.0 100 (Salix planifolia) Elevation (range): 229 (229-229) M Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m) Slope (%): 0 - 0.49 (1) BASKET WILLOW 2.0 2.0-2.0 100 (Salix petiolaris) Aspect: Level (1) FLAT-LEAVED WILLOW Topographic Position: Level (1) (Salix planifolia) 65.0 65.0-65.0 100 Graminoid **Soil Variables** BLUEJOINT (Calamagrostis canadensis) 40.0 40.0-40.0 100 Soil Drainage: Very poorly drained (1) SMALL BOTTLE SEDGE Soil Subgroup: GLEYSOL REGO (1) (Carex utriculata) 50.0 50.0-50.0 100 Surface Texture: Sand (1)

## KUC8 Willow/Brown moss/ Aw(Bw) (n=1)

### (Salix pyrifolia/Drepananocladus aduncus)

This community type is found along the edges of sedge fens (meadows) and in moist depressions. Willow becomes established at the edges of the sedge fens due to the shorter duration of standing water. Increased flooding and prolonged water-logging may result in the disappearance of willow and a transition to a sedge fen. If the water table continues to decline the site will eventually become dominated by Aspen and birch.

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

**Ecosite:** j rich fen (subhydric/rich) **Ecosite Phase:** j2 rich fen - shrubby

Plant Composition	Canop	y Cover (%)		Environmental Variables
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 40-40
Overstory Tree				Moisture Regime: Subhydric (moderately wet) (1)
ASPEN	40.0	10.0.10.0	400	Nutrient Regime: Mesotrophic (medium) (1)
(Populus tremuloides) Understory Tree	10.0	10.0-10.0	100	Elevation (range): 300 (300-300) M
BALSAM WILLOW				Slope (%): 0.5 - 2.49 (1)
(Salix pyrifolia)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Aspect: Level (1)
WHITE BIRCH	15.0	45 0 45 0	100	Topographic Position: Depression (1)
(Betula papyrifera) Tall Shrub (2 to 5m)	15.0	15.0-15.0	100	
WHITE SPRUCE				Soil Variables
(Picea glauca)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Soil Drainage: Very poorly drained (1)
BALSAM WILLOW (Salix pyrifolia)	90.0	90.0-90.0	100	Soil Subgroup: MESISOL TERRIC (1)
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)	30.0	30.0-30.0	100	Surface Texture: Mesic (1)
JACK PINE				Effective Texture: Loam (1)
(Pinus banksiana)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Depth to Mottles/Gley:
PRICKLY ROSE (Rosa acicularis)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Organic Thickness: 26 - 39 cm (1)
Low Shrub (< 0.5m)	1.0	1.0 1.0	100	
DEWBERRY				Parent Material: Rock (1), Undifferentiated Organic (1), Lacustrine (1)
(Rubus pubescens)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Humus Form
Graminoid				
WATER SEDGE (Carex aquatilis)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	
GRACEFUL MANNA GRASS				
(Glyceria pulchella)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	
INLAND SEDGE (Carex interior)	3.0	3.0-3.0	100	
BLUEJOINT	0.0	0.0 0.0	100	
(Calamagrostis canadensis)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100	
Moss				
TUFTED MOSS (Aulacomnium palustre)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	
JUNIPER HAIR-CAP	2.0	2.0 2.0	100	
(Polytrichum juniperinum)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	
SQUARROSE PEAT MOSS (Sphagnum squarrosum)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	
BROWN MOSS	2.0	2.0 2.0	100	
(Drepanocladus aduncus)	50.0	50.0-50.0	100	

## j3 rich fen - graminoid (n=0)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

#### **General Description**

This ecological site phase currently has no data, but is presented here because it has been described in adjacent subregions (Northern Mixedwood and Athabasca Plain).

### **Characteristic Species**

Ecosite: j rich fen (subhydric/rich)

#### **Environmental Variables**

Moisture Regime: Nutrient Regime: Elevation (range): Slope (%): Aspect: Topographic Position:

### Soil Variables

Soil Drainage: Soil Subgroup: Surface Texture: Effective Texture: Depth to Mottles/Gley: Organic Thickness: Parent Material: Humus Form

# k marsh (hydric/rich) (n=3)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland

### **General Description**

Marshes can be locally extensive in sheltered lake bays or along creek channels and are dominated by water and small bottle sedge, bulrushes and in deeper water pondweeds (Natural Regions Committee 2006). All the information for this ecological site is copied from the Central Mixedwood (marsh (I)) (Beckingham and Archibald 1996).

The marsh ecosite is found in level and depressional areas and around the shorelines of water bodies and riparian zones. The water is above the rooting zone for at least a portion of the growing season. These ecosites are dominated by a high diversity of emergent sedges and rushes.



### **Successional Relationships**

The marsh ecosite is near the beginning stages of hydrarch succession. The marsh ecosite can be thought of as successionally stable, but overtime will evolve into bogs and fens through the processes of terrestrialization (filling of shallow lakes) and palludification (blanketing of terrestrial ecosystems by overgrowth of wetland vegetation) (http://www.aquatic.uoguelph.ca/wetlands/chapter2/bogpage2.htm) both processes caused by accumulating organic matter.

### **Indicator Species**

#### Forb

NORTHERN QUILLWORT Isoetes echinospora WATER ARUM Calla palustris WATER PARSNIP Sium suave

#### Graminoid

NORTHERN MANNA GRASS Glyceria borealis

### Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

### Environmental Variables

Moisture Regime: Hydric (wet) (3) Nutrient Regime: Eutrophic (very rich) (3) Elevation (range): 231.33 (200-284) M Slope (%): level (3) Aspect: Level (2) Topographic Position:Depression (3)

### Soil Variables

Soil Drainage: Very poorly drained (3) Soil Subgroup: Surface Texture: Effective Texture: Undifferentiated Organic (0) Depth to Mottles/Gley: Organic Thickness: Parent Material: Water (0) Humus Form

### k1 marsh (n=3)

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

### **Characteristic Species**

#### Forb

[	31.6 ]	WATER ARUM* Calla palustris
[	6.6]	NORTHERN QUILLWORT* Isoetes echinospora
[	3.6]	WATER PARSNIP* Sium suave
[	3.3 ]	NARROW-LEAVED BUR-REED Sparganium angustifolium
[	1.6]	ARUM-LEAVED ARROWHEAD Sagittaria cuneata
Gra	minoid	
[	30.0 ]	NORTHERN MANNA GRASS* Glyceria borealis
1	1.61	CYPERUS-LIKE SEDGE

[ 1.6 ] CYPERUS-LIKE SEDGE Carex pseudo-cyperus Ecosite: k marsh (hydric/rich)

### **Environmental Variables**

Moisture Regime: Hydric (wet) (3) Nutrient Regime: Eutrophic (very rich) (3) Elevation (range): 231.33 (200-284) M Slope (%): level (3) Aspect: Level (2) Topographic Position:Depression (3)

### **Soil Variables**

Soil Drainage: Very poorly drained (3) Soil Subgroup: Surface Texture: Effective Texture: Undifferentiated Organic (0) Depth to Mottles/Gley: Organic Thickness: Parent Material: Water (0) Humus Form

### KUA6 Water parsnip/Northern manna grass (n=1)

### (Sium suave/Glyceria borealis)

This community was described in 2 m wide widths along stretches of the La Butte Creek in the La Butte Wildland Provincial Park (Allen et al. 2002). This community represents a floating-leaved aquatic community. The center of the creek was occupied by submerged vegetation usually dominated by clasping-leaf pondweed. Moving towards shore this community occupied the next zone of vegetation, followed by a band of emergent creeping spike-rush along the shoreline.

# Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Ecosite: k marsh (hydric/rich) Ecosite Phase: k1 marsh

Plant Composition	Canopy Cover (%)			Environmental Variables	
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 0-0	
Tall Forb (>= 30 cm)				Moisture Regime: Hydric (wet) (1)	
ALPINE PONDWEED				Nutrient Regime: Eutrophic (very rich) (1)	
(Potamogeton alpinus)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100		
VARIOUS-LEAVED PONDWEED				Elevation (range): 210 (210-210) M	
(Potamogeton gramineus)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Slope (%): 0 - 0.49 (1)	
CLASPING-LEAF PONDWEED				Aspect: Level (1)	
(Potamogeton richardsonii)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100		
ARUM-LEAVED ARROWHEAD			400	Topographic Position: Depression (1)	
(Sagittaria cuneata)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100		
WATER PARSNIP	40.0	40.0.40.0	400	Soil Variables	
(Sium suave)	10.0	10.0-10.0	100		
NARROW-LEAVED BUR-REED	10.0	10.0-10.0	100	Soil Drainage: Very poorly drained (1)	
(Sparganium angustifolium) Graminoid	10.0	10.0-10.0	100	Soil Subgroup:	
				Surface Texture:	
NORTHERN MANNA GRASS	00.0	00 0 00 0	400	Effective Texture: Undifferentiated Organia (0)	
(Glyceria borealis)	90.0	90.0-90.0	100	Effective Texture: Undifferentiated Organic (0)	
Moss				Depth to Mottles/Gley:	
N/A	4.0	1010	400	Organic Thickness:	
(Fontinalis hypnoides)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Parent Material: Water (0)	

Humus Form

### KUA7 Northern quillwort (n=1)

### (Isoetes echinospora)

This community type was described along La Butte (Allen et al. 2003). This community was found in a band close to the shoreline on a sandy substrate in water 0-30 cm deep. It was also noted that the consistency of the water depth for this community type was striking and suggests that this community type inhabits sands in shallow water around the entire lake. Other aquatic species noted in this community type include water milfoil and pondweeds.

Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Plant Composition	Canopy Cover (%)		
	Mean	Range	Const.
Medium Shrub (0.5 to 2 m)			
SWEET GALE <i>(Myrica gale)</i> Tall Forb (>= 30 cm)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100
UNDIFFERENTIATED PONDWEED (Potamogeton)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100
LARGE-LEAVED WHITE WATER CR (Ranunculus aquatilis)	OWFOOT 1.0	1.0-1.0	100
ARUM-LEAVED ARROWHEAD (Sagittaria cuneata)	4.0	4.0-4.0	100
NORTHERN QUILLWORT (Isoetes echinospora)	20.0	20.0-20.0	100

**Ecosite:** k marsh (hydric/rich) **Ecosite Phase:** k1 marsh

Environmental Variables	
Ecological Status Score: 40-40	
Moisture Regime: Hydric (wet) (1)	
Nutrient Regime: Eutrophic (very rich) (1)	
Elevation (range): 284 (284-284) M	
Slope (%): 0 - 0.49 (1)	
Aspect: Level (0)	
Topographic Position: Depression (1)	

### **Soil Variables**

Soil Drainage: Very poorly drained (1) Soil Subgroup: Surface Texture: Effective Texture: Depth to Mottles/Gley: Organic Thickness: Parent Material: Water (0) Humus Form

## KUA8 Water arum/Sedge (n=1)

### (Calla palustris/Carex pseudocyperus)

This community type was described around the open pools of water in an old meander channel along La Butte Creek near the Slave River in La Butte Creek Wildland Provincial Park (Allen et al. 2002). This community type was best expressed where the water table was about 1m deep. It was noted that open water covered about 50% of the plot.

# Natural Subregion: Kazan Upland Ecosection: KU Kazan Upland

Ecosite: k marsh (hydric/rich) Ecosite Phase: k1 marsh

Plant Composition	Canopy Cover (%)			Environmental Variables	
	Mean	Range	Const.	Ecological Status Score: 0-0	
Tall Forb (>= 30 cm)				Moisture Regime: Hydric (wet) (1)	
NODDING BEGGARTICKS				Nutrient Regime: Eutrophic (very rich) (1)	
(Bidens cernua)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100		
TUFTED LOOSESTRIFE				Elevation (range): 200 (200-200) M	
(Lysimachia thyrsiflora)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Slope (%): 0 - 0.49 (1)	
	4.0	4040	400	Aspect: Level (1)	
(Potentilla palustris)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100		
MARSH SKULLCAP (Scutellaria galericulata)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Topographic Position: Depression (1)	
WATER PARSNIP	1.0	1.0-1.0	100		
(Sium suave)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Soil Variables	
NARROW-LEAVED WATER-HE	MLOCK			Soil Drainage: Very poorly drained (1)	
(Cicuta virosa)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100	Soil Subgroup:	
Low Forb (< 30 cm)				5 1	
SWEET-SCENTED BEDSTRAW	1			Surface Texture:	
(Galium triflorum)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Effective Texture:	
COMMON MARE'S-TAIL				Depth to Mottles/Gley:	
(Hippuris vulgaris)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100		
COMMON DUCKWEED				Organic Thickness:	
(Lemna minor)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100	Parent Material: Water (0)	
WATER ARUM	95.0	95.0-95.0	100	Humus Form	
(Calla palustris) Graminoid	95.0	95.0-95.0	100		
SMALL BOTTLE SEDGE (Carex utriculata)	1.0	1.0-1.0	100		
CYPERUS-LIKE SEDGE	1.0	1.0-1.0	100		
(Carex pseudo-cyperus)	5.0	5.0-5.0	100		
Moss	0.0	5.0 0.0			
BROWN MOSS					
(Drepanocladus aduncus)	2.0	2.0-2.0	100		
( ),					

### **Literature Cited**

Adams, B.W., G. Ehlert, C. Stone, D. Lawrence, M. Alexander, M. Willoughby, C. Hincz, D. Moisey, and A.Bogen. 2003. Rangeland Health Assessment for Grassland, Forest and Tame Pasture. Alberta Sustainable Resource Development. Public Lands Division. Edmonton. AB. Pub. No. T/044. 104pp.

AGRASID. Version 4.0. http://www1.agric.gov.ab.ca/\$Department/deptdocs.nsf/All/sag14653

Alberta Wetland Classification System. 2015. Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development (ESRD), Water Policy Branch, Policy and Planning Division. Edmonton, AB. 66pp.

Allen, L., D. Johnson, K. Vujnovic. 2002. Small patch communities of La Butte Creek Wildland Provincial Park. A report prepared for Parks and Protected Areas, Alberta Community Development, Edmonton, Alberta.

Allen, L., J. D. Johnson, K. Vujnovic. 2004. Small Patch Communities of Colin-Cornwall Lakes Wildland Provincial Park. A report prepared for Parks and Protected Areas, Alberta Community Development, Edmonton, Alberta.

Bailey, A.W., M.G. Willoughby, R. Johansen and S. Smith. 1992. Management of Yukon Rangelands. Renewable Resources, Yukon Territorial Government, Whitehorse, Yukon. 55pp. ISBN-1-55018-138-6.

Beckingham, J. 1993. Ecosystem associations of Northern Alberta. Dept. of Environmental Protection, Alberta Forest Service, Edmonton.

Beckingham, J. and J.H. Archibald. 1996. Field guide to ecosites of Northern Alberta. Special report 5. Canadian Forest Service. Northwest Region. Edmonton, Alta.

Corns, I.G.W. and R.M. Annas. 1986. Field guide to forest ecosystems of West-Central Alberta. Northern Forestry Center, Canadian Forestry Service, Edmonton, Alta. 251pp.

Daubenmire, R. 1952. Forest vegetation of Northern Idaho and adjacent Washington and its bearing on concepts of vegetation classification. Ecol. Mongr. 22: 301-330.

Department of Environmental Protection. 1994. Natural Regions of Alberta. Alberta Environmental Protection. Edmonton, Alta. Pub. no.: I/531. 18pp.

Ecological Land Survey Site Description Manual (2nd).2003. Resource Data Branch, Strategic Corporate Services Division. Alberta Sustainable Resource Development. Edmonton, AB. Pub. no. T/036. 112 pp.

Gauch, H.G. 1982. Multivariate analysis in community ecology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 298pp.

http://www.aquatic.uoguelph.ca/wetlands/chapter2/bogpage2.htm. Chapter 2 - Canada's Aquatic Environments, University of Guelph, Ontario, Canada.

Marshall, I.B., Smith, C.A.S., Selby, C.J. 1996. A national framework for monitoring and reporting on environmental sustainability in Canada. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 39: 25-38.

Meidinger, D. and J. Pojar (compilers and editors). 1991. Ecosystems of British Columbia. BC Min. For. Special Report Series 6. Victoria, BC. 330 pp.

Mueggler, W.F. 1988. Aspen community types of the Intermountain Region. U.S.D.A. Intermoutain Research Station. INT-250. 133pp.

Natural Regions Committee 2006. Natural Regions and Subregions of Alberta. Compiled by D. J. Downing and W. W. Pettapiece. Government of Alberta. Pub. No. I/005.

Range Survey Manual. 1992. Range Management Section, Alberta Forest Service. Edmonton, Alta. 39pp.

Raup, H. 1935. Botanical Investigations in Wood Buffalo Park. Biological Series No. 20. Bulletin No. 74. Canada, Ottawa. 174pp.

Strong, W.L. and H.G. Anderson. 1980. Ecological Land Classification and Evaluation Reference Manual. Resource Inventory and Appraisal Resource Evaluation Branch, Alberta Energy and Natural Resources. Edmonton, AB. 160pp.

Strong, W.L. and J.M. Thompson. 1995. Ecodistricts of Alberta: Summary of Biophysical Attributes. Alberta Environmental Protection, Resource Data Division. Edmonton, Alta. Pub. no. T/319. 91pp.

Task Group on Unity and Concept. 1995. New concepts for assessment of rangeland condition. J. Range Manage. 38:220-225.

Thompson, W.H. and P.L. Hansen. 2002. Classification and management of riparian and wetland sites of the Alberta Grassland Natural Region and adjacent subregions. Bitterroot Restoration Inc. Prepared for the Alberta Riparian Habitat Management Program-Cows and Fish, Lethbridge, Alberta. 416pp.

Wallis, C. W. and C. Wershler. 1984. Kazan Upland resource assessment for ecological reserves planning in Alberta. Alberta Energy and Natural Resources, Edmonton, Alberta. 81 pp.