

Citation: Adagbasa EG, Mukwada G (2022) Mapping vegetation species succession in a mountainous grassland ecosystem using Landsat, ASTER MI, and Sentinel-2 data. PLoS ONE 17(1): e0256672. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0256672

Editor: Bijeesh Kozhikkodan Veettil, Duy Tan University, VIET NAM

Received: August 9, 2021

Accepted: November 25, 2021

Published: January 26, 2022

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256672

Copyright: © 2022 Adagbasa, Mukwada. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The Google earth Engine scripts that contains all the satellite images used for the analysis, random forest classifier and how the results can be exported out of engine has **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Mapping vegetation species succession in a mountainous grassland ecosystem using Landsat, ASTER MI, and Sentinel-2 data

Efosa Gbenga Adagbasa^{1,2,3}, Geofrey Mukwada^{1,2,3}

1 Department of Geography, University of the Free State, Bloemfontein, South Africa, 2 Afromontane Research Unit, University of the Free State, Bloemfontein, South Africa, 3 Department of Geography, W.A. Franke College of Forestry & Conservation of the University of Montana, Missoula, Montana, United States of America

* Adagbasa.Eg@ufs.ac.za

Abstract

Vegetation species succession and composition are significant factors determining the rate of ecosystem biodiversity recovery after being disturbed and subsequently vital for sustainable and effective natural resource management and biodiversity. The succession and composition of grasslands ecosystems worldwide have significantly been affected by accelerated environmental changes due to natural and anthropogenic activities. Therefore, understanding spatial data on the succession of grassland vegetation species and communities through mapping and monitoring is essential to gain knowledge on the ecosystem and other ecosystem services. This study used a random forest machine learning classifier on the Google Earth Engine platform to classify grass vegetation species with Landsat 7 ETM+ and ASTER multispectral imager (MI) data resampled with the current Sentinel-2 MSI data to map and estimate the changes in vegetation species succession. The results indicate that ASTER MI has the least accuracy of 72%, Landsat 7 ETM+ 84%, and Sentinel-2 had the highest of 87%. The result also shows that other species had replaced four dominant grass species totaling about 49 km² throughout the study.

Introduction

Vegetation succession has many economically significant attributes, including high overall biomass and productivity, a wider variety of species, and minimal nutrients or energy from the ecosystem [1]. Nevertheless, an ecosystem with naturally occurring succession stages will be more resilient to natural and anthropogenic disturbances, suppose these disturbances increase in severity, frequency, and magnitude because of human activities and weather conditions. In that case, the pressure on plant communities increases, causing accelerated succession, creating a new vegetation community, and allowing the succession of non-native species [2].

Luken [1] describes vegetation succession as a change in vegetation composition over 500 years without being disturbed to achieve a climax's stable species composition. Climate change and other disturbances within a short period may result in fluctuations of species composition,

been cited in the manuscript (https://doi.org/10. 6084/m9.figshare.17125043.v2).

Funding: The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

promote non-native species and delay the natural vegetational succession from reaching its climax. The succession of non-native species could impact biodiversity and the natural ecosystem. Non-native invasive species quickly inhabit disturbed spaces and delay native species from achieving seral or climax states. In some cases, the succession is entirely taken over and held for an extended period at an intermediate state, affecting biodiversity [3–5]. Invasive vegetation species threaten native vegetation species and water resources because they grow faster, consume more water, and spread more than the native species [6, 7]. The encroachment of these vegetation species tends to alter the balance of ecosystems, thereby accelerating succession. Vegetation species succession and composition are significant factors determining the rate of ecosystem biodiversity recovery after being disturbed [8] and subsequently vital for sustainable and effective natural resource management and biodiversity. For example, in South Africa, changes in vegetation succession resulting from disturbances have led to significant biodiversity loss [9].

Worldwide, the succession and composition of grasslands ecosystems have been significantly affected by accelerated environmental changes due to natural and anthropogenic activities [10, 11]. It has resulted in shortages in grasslands taxonomy and the efficient functioning of ecosystem services [12]. Grasslands' changing diversity and composition impact ecosystem services like precipitation and temperature controls, freshwater supply, erosion control, and soil formation [13–15]. They can likely result in biodiversity loss [16]. About one-third of South African land surface is covered by the grassland biome [17]. It has less than 3% located in protected areas, and 40–60% have been altered with little chance of being salvaged and returned. It makes the grassland one of the most vulnerable biomes in South Africa [18]. Therefore, understanding spatial data on the succession of grassland vegetation species and communities through mapping and monitoring is essential to gain knowledge on the ecosystem and other ecosystem services [9, 19, 20].

Remote sensing provides an efficient approach for mapping grassland vegetation species by reducing rigorous fieldwork necessitated by standard mapping methods. It does this effectively by offering a wide range of recent data on vegetation species distribution from hyperspectral and multispectral imagery [21, 22]. Extensive studies have been undertaken in monitoring spatio-temporal changes in vegetation species composition and diversity using remote sensing data [23–26]. However, these studies focus briefly on a short period, usually between one to five years, because, before now, only high-resolution hyperspectral images could give accurate vegetation species discrimination at individual levels [9, 19, 27-30]. Osińska-Skotak et al. [24] study the effect of high-resolution hyperspectral ima and LiDAR (Light Detection and Ranging) acquisition date on species identification and, as a result, on classifying individual species in succession trees and shrubs. The researchers also look into the classification accuracy of a particular species is influenced by the research field and the examined environment. The study determined that the time of remote-sensing data collection affects the ability to distinguish succession species. In another study, [31] developed a method for detecting and monitoring the succession process, which is defined as woody vegetation encroachment on non-forest Natura 2000 areas, based on airborne laser scanner (ALS) and hyperspectral (HS) data. Chraibi et al. [32], in their study on changes in tree biodiversity throughout succession, applied both field data and data derived from Sentinel-2 images of 2015 and 2019 to assess variations in tree species richness. They evaluated the benefits and drawbacks of each approach, exploring the potential for remote sensing technology to reveal landscape-level distributions of forest condition and regeneration. Their findings revealed that remote sensing and field data provided distinct insights into tree species compositional changes, as well as alpha- and beta-diversity patterns.

Nevertheless, recent studies have shown that free low-resolution satellite images like Sentinel-2 MSI and Landsat 8 OLI can be used to accurately map and monitor grass vegetation species [33–35]. Vegetation species succession and diversity monitoring can now be done over an extended period using these low-resolution imageries in combination with machine learning (ML).

Because ML can handle nonparametric information with various input predictor data, remote sensing image processing uses ML algorithms [36, 37] to achieve higher accuracy. Increasing accuracy in image classification is a frequent application of machine learning (ML) algorithms, which outperform traditional classifiers for data with many predictor variables [38, 39].

Support Vector Machines (SVM), Classification and Regression Trees (CART), Random Forest (RF), Logistics Regression (LR), Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA), K-Nearest Neighbour, and Neural Networks are some of the algorithms used in machine learning for image classification. However, numerous studies have shown that random forest performs better in most cases, especially when multispectral images like Sentinel-2 are involved in vegetation species classification [34, 40, 41]. Therefore, this study used a random forest ML algorithm with Landsat 7 ETM+ and ASTER MI data fused with the current Sentinel-2 MSI data to map and estimate the changes in vegetation species succession.

Study area

The study was conducted at the Golden Gate Highlands National Park, located in Free State, South Africa, near the Lesotho border (Fig 1). The Park covers 340 km² and is located at the foothills of the Maloti Mountains of the Eastern Free State. The highest peak in the park is 2,829 m (9,281 ft) above sea level. The Park is positioned in the Eastern Highveld region of South Africa and experiences a dry, sunny climate from June to August with showers, hail, and thunderstorms between October and April and snow in winter. The Park has a relatively high annual rainfall of 800 mm (31 in). The park is significant for its rich flora and fauna, which include endemic and endangered plants and animals. The park contains over 60 grass species [42]. The region is a biodiversity hotspot because of the variety of species, including some of the dominant species like Eragrostis, Hyparrhenia cf. Tamba, *T. triandra*, and *M. Capensis* [33, 43].

Materials and methods

The study used satellite images from different sensors to cover the period of study. The sensors used include the European Union/ESA/Copernicus Sentinel-2 MSI, the United States Geological Survey (USGS) Landsat series ETM+, and the NASA's Land Processes Distributed Active Archive Center (LP DAAC) Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER) multispectral imager satellite images with UTM Projection Zone35S, and Datum WGS84 available on the Google earth engine (GEE). The imageries were selected for the rainy months from November to April. The year 2001 was chosen for the Landsat +ETM, 2011 for the ASTER MI, and 2021 for Sentinel-2. Landsat ETM+ and OLI were selected for this study because they have a 15m panchromatic band used to pansharpening the images from 30 m to 15 m. ASTER MI was selected for 2011 because Landsat ETM+ had the scan line error for 2011. Also, the ASTER MI has 15 m resolution bands. The atmospheric and geometric correction was done on the images, and the 10 m bands of Sentinel-2 were then used to resample the pan sharped 15m Landsat images and ASTER MI to 10 m using bicubic interpolation. All the images from the different sensors were calibrated to top-of-atmosphere (TOA) reflectance. ArcGIS 10.7 software was used to generate a hundred random sample points from



Fig 1. The study area.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256672.g001

the spectral signatures of the 12 dominant grass vegetation species in the study area from a previous study [33] that used deep learning and machine learning models to discriminate grass species at the individual level. Their study recommended Sentinel-2 MSI bands 6, 7 (red edge), bands 8 and 8A, band 11, and band 12 to produce optimum classification accuracy. Therefore, the spectral resolution of these bands was used to match and select the bands in the Landsat ETM+ and ASTER MI, as presented in Table 1. The spectral signatures were used for training and cross-validation, with each sample class receiving eight or nine samples to ensure fair representation. The generated samples were saved as a shapefile, imported into GEE, and superimposed on the Landsat ETM+ and ASTER MI and Sentinel-2 image MSI. The sample points were randomly split into a training set (70%) to train the RF classifiers [33, 44] and a test set (30%) for testing purposes [33, 45] in GEE.

The GEE code editor random forest machine learning classifier with ten trees [46] was then used to process the image collections to classify the images into induvial species classes [33, 47]. Ancillary data such as Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) were mapped into the image collection on GEE before classification was done to improve classification accuracy. The difference in illuminating effect by high mountains was accounted for by mapping a 15 meters resolution ASTER Global Digital Elevation Model (GDEM) version 2 scale down to 10 m into the image collection [33, 45, 48, 49].

Name	Pixel Size	Wavelength	Description
Sentinel-2 MSI 2	021		
B6	20 meters	0.74 µm	Red Edge 2
B7	20 meters	0.78 μm	Red Edge 3
B8	10 meters	0.84 µm	NIR
B8A	20 meters	0.87 µm	Red Edge 4
B11	20 meters	1.614 μm	SWIR 1
B12	20 meters	2.202 μm	SWIR 2
ASTER MI 2011			
B3N	15 meters	0.780-0.860µm	VNIR_Band3N (near infrared, nadir pointing)
B04	30 meters	1.600–1.700μm	SWIR_Band4 (short-wave infrared)
B05	30 meters	2.145–2.185µm	SWIR_Band5 (short-wave infrared)
B06	30 meters	2.185–2.225µm	SWIR_Band6 (short-wave infrared)
Landsat ETM+ 2	001		
B4	30 meters	0.77–0.90 μm	Near infrared
B5	30 meters	1.55–1.75 μm	Shortwave infrared 1
B7	30 meters	2.08–2.35 μm	Shortwave infrared 2
B8	15 meters	0.52–0.90 μm	Panchromatic

Table 1. Selected bands for classification.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256672.t001

Results and discussion

Sensor performance and spectral reflectance

Tables 2–4 shows the accuracy of each sensor. ASTER MI has the least accuracy of 75%, Landsat 7 +ETM 84%, and Sentinel-2 had the highest of 87%. The ASTER MI had the lowest level of accuracy, possibly because [50] stated that each scene does not have all 14 bands. Therefore, some scenes may have fewer bands than others. Hence, only bands 1 to 3 were available for that period. However, these bands' spectral range can be compared to bands 1–5 of Landsat + ETM and OLI. Another possible reason is that the three bands available didn't adequately

	EC	PH	SP	AA	SC	TT	AJ	MH	EP	SC	МС	PA	Total	Error of comission
E. curvula (EC)	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	83%
P. Hyparrhenia (PH)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
S. Plumosum	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	67%
A. Asteraceae	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	100%
S. Centrifugus	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	67%
T. Triandra	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	100%
A. Junciformis	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	75%
M. Hermania	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
E. Plane Nees	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
S. Conrathii	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	100%
M. Capensis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	100%
P. Australis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	
Total	6	0	3	2	2	5	3	0	0	2	2	6	31	
Error of omission	83%	0%	67%	100%	100%	80%	100%	0%	0%	100%	100%			

Table 2. Confusion matrix for Sentinel-2 MSI.

Overall accuracy = (5+0+2+2+2+4+3+0+0+2+2+5) / 31 = 0.87.

0.87 x100 = 87%.

	EC	PH	SP	AA	SC	TT	AJ	MH	EP	SC	МС	PA	Total	Error of comission
E. curvula (EC)	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	75%
P. Hyparrhenia (PH)	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	75%
S. Plumosum	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
A. Asteraceae	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0%
S. Centrifugus	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	100%
T. Triandra	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	100%
A. Junciformis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
M. Hermania	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	100%
E. Plane Nees	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100%
S. Conrathii	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	100%
M. Capensis	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	67%
P. Australis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	100%
Total	4	3	0	0	2	4	0	2	1	3	2	4	25	
Error of omission	75%	100%	0%	0%	100%	50%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	75%		

Table 3. Confusion matrix for Landsat 7 ETM+.

Overall accuracy = (3+3+0+0+2+2+0+2+1+3+2+3) / 21 = 0.84.

0.84 x100 = 84%.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256672.t003

separate the grass species from each other, as shown in the spectral reflectance curve in Fig 2. Nevertheless, if all the bands were available, ASTER MI should discriminate the grass species effectively to attain a higher accuracy using machine learning classifiers. The accuracy of the ASTER image agrees with a study done by [51]. Their study used ASTER NDVI and EVI to discriminate rice and citrus fields with 75% and 65% accuracy, respectively. They also used Landsat 5 TM NDVI and EVI, which had a lower accuracy of 60% and 65% than the accuracy reached in this study with Landsat 7 ETM+. Landsat 7 ETM+ was able to get a greater accuracy because the bands were pan-sharpened with the 15m panchromatic bands, unavailable on the

	EC	PH	SP	AA	SC	TT	AJ	MH	EP	Sco	МС	PA	Total	Error of comission
E. curvula (EC)	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	71%
P. Hyparrhenia (PH)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	100%
S. Plumosum (SP)	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	100%
A. Asteraceae (AA)	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	100%
S. Centrifugus (SC)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	50%
T. Triandra	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	33%
A. Junciformis (AJ)	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	100%
M. Hermania (MH)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
E. Plane Nees (EP)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0%
S. Conrathii (Sco)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	100%
M. Capensis (MC)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	4	75%
P. Australis (PA)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	100%
Total	8	1	2	2	1	1	2	1	0	1	4	5	26	
Error of omission	63%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	100%	75%	60%		

Table 4. Confusion matrix for ASTER MI.

Overall accuracy = (5+1+2+2+1+1+2+0+0+1+3+3) / 28 = 0.75.

0.75 x100 = 75%.





Landsat 5 TM and resampled from 30 m to10 m using the Sentinel-2 10 m bands. Also, the RF machine learning classifier, which many studies have proved to improve classification [33, 34, 52, 53], contributed to the higher accuracy in this study than the density slicing classification used in their research.

Fig 2 shows the spectral reflectance of the twelve grass species extracted from all the sensors. In the Landsat 7 ETM+, the species were discriminated in wavelengths of $0.52-0.77 \mu m$ and $1.55-2.08 \mu m$, representing bands at the start of the wavelength for the panchromatic near-infrared, shortwave infrared 1, and shortwave infrared 2. The ASTER MI has its best spectral separation wavelength of $0.780-0.860\mu m$ (VNIR near-infrared, nadir pointing band). At the same time, the Sentinel-2 separated it best in the bands 6, 7 (red edge), bands 8 and 8A, band 11, and band 12 as recommended by the study by [33, 54–56], hence the difference in classification accuracy.

Grass species changes and succession

Fig 3 shows the map of twelve dominant vegetation species discrimination for 2001, 2011, and 2021. However, the classified map for 2010 was not analyzed further because of the low level of accuracy. The difference of 12% from 2001 and 15% to 2021 might misrepresent the changes that occurred with the classified maps of 2001 and 2021.

Fig 4 shows the vegetation changes from 2001 to 2021. It shows that four grass species had the most significant transformation into other species in area coverage over twenty years. *S. centrifugus* had an enormous shift of 22.6 km², *E. curvula* had a change of 11.42 km², *S. Conrathii* had a change of 9.7 km², and *P.australis* changed 5.14 km². The other seven grass species had gained and losses over the other four species.

M. junceus has the highest success rate. It has replaced different species covering a total land area of 17.22 km². Another species fast replacing other species is the *T. triandra* species,





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256672.g003

replacing 11.4km² that formerly contained other species. *E. curvula*, termed an increaser species by many studies (9, 33), and grows very fast in disturbed environments have been replaced by eight different species. *T. triandra* accounts for 50% of the total area that other species have replaced the E. *curvula*. Although the *E. curvula* being an increaser species, it had replaced other species like the *S. centrifugus* and area dominated by mixed species in different study locations and gained back almost 90% of the area lost to other species in Figs 5 and 6. Fig 6 shows that the replacement of E. *curvula* by *T. triandra* happens all over the study area. Still, it is more concentrated around the North, North-East, South-west, and roads of the study area.

S. centrifugus, the highest replacement species, is replaced by all the other species in the study area, especially *M. junceus*, *E. curvula*, and *T. triandra*, accounting for 4.8km², 4.7km², and 3.3 km² respectively in Fig 7. The *S.centrifugus* species is monocotyledon and belongs to the *Poaceae family*. It is a native species of South Africa and is termed one of the least concerned threatened species in the red list of South African plants [57]. The succession appears to be occurring around the South, South-western part of the study area, where there are very high elevations (Fig 8).



Fig 4. Vegetation transformation between 2001 and 2021.

S. Conrathii is a native species in South Africa but not endemic to the country. It is also not seen as threatened plant species [57]. This species has about 6.26 km² replaced by *M. junceus*, majorly in the southwestern (Fig 9) part of the study area but gains 1.06km2 by replacing *S. centrifugus* and 0.34km² of *H. depressa* (Fig 10).



Fig 5. Species contributions to changes in Eragrostis curvula.



Fig 6. Areas where *Themeda triandra* succeeded from *Eragrostis curvula*. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256672.g006



Fig 7. Species contributions to change in Sporobolus centrifugus.

P. australis is a decreaser species quickly affected by overgrazing and has a slow recovery rate after a disturbance [58]. It is a tall grass found across South Africa, especially around river beds and wet environments, and is not at risk of extinction. [57, 59]. Nevertheless, Fig 11 shows that it is being replaced mainly by *T. triandra* (2.55 km²), *E. plane Nees* (2.3 km²), and *M. junceus* (1.4 km²). It is also gaining back by replacing *S. centrifugus* and *E. curvula*. It is found across the study area around the river channels and is replaced mainly in the southern and northern parts of the study area (Fig 12).

The replacement of species may be happening because several factors or disturbances like that could either be natural or anthropogenic. Each species may have a unique or several reasons for replacing others or has been replaced. Some of these species are used for human activities like thatching and medicinal purposes, and some are palatable for grazing. Climate change and fires are common factors that can also affect these successions [60, 61]. The study area is a region constantly affected by wildfires, and the fire severity and magnitude have been mapped by [33]. Their research showed some parts of the study area may be experiencing changes in species composition, leaving only the fire-tolerant species or invasive species like *S. plumosum*, which is a known species that promote the spread of wildfires [62–64]. In fires recently disturbed areas in the park, *S. plumosum* can sprout and lie dormant when encountering higher temperatures and low-moisture conditions for the remainder of winter while awaiting the emergence of spring [65].

Several studies have found that climatic variables like temperature changes significantly impact the distribution of ecological characteristics and environmental dynamics for many types of vegetation species, including alien or native hosts [65–67]. Temperature plays a significant role in the distribution of species, with substantial effects on fire risk. The region's climate often has extended periods of pronounced temperature and low precipitation, resulting in large, devastating fires that devastate populations of plant life [67]. In their research in the study area, Adepoju *et al.* [65] noted the possibility that the distribution of some types of grasses could be better enhanced under conditions with higher daytime temperatures, low to moderate levels of rain at lower elevations. They also noted that climate is an essential factor in











Fig 10. Species contributions to changes in Stiburus Conrathii.

determining grass species distribution in the mountainous grasslands of South Africa, where periods of warm and cold weather considerably fluctuate.

Areas that are subject to overgrazing and human activities are more likely to experience the spreading of the species that can lead to gaining of new species and loss others. Factors like distance from settlement, land near grasslands and agricultural, and distance from roads impact how disturbances affect different vegetation species [65, 68, 69]. The study area has been a national park with a history of incorporating farms lands to expand the conservation area [70]. In February 1991, the Qwaqwa National Park, which initially comprised multiple crop farmlands, agricultural activities like domestic animal grazing, was also integrated into the study









area. To date, some of these farmers are still located in the park, and their livestock is still grazing the vegetation [70, 71]. However, some farmers have stopped planting on them. The disturbed land has been left to recover by the park managers as a conservation strategy. Nevertheless, not all these previous farmlands have recovered fully because the soils have been over-exploited. The vegetation species in these locations struggle to survive with the effects of disturbances like frequent wildfires and overgrazing from agricultural animals from within the park or the surrounding communal areas or from the herbivorous animals within the park.

Conclusion

This study has shown that the Landsat 7 ETM+ can be used for vegetation species discrimination if the panchromatic band is used to pan sharpening the 30 m bands to 15 m and then resampled with the 10m bands Sentinel-2 MSI. It will allow for research in monitoring vegetation species changes over a long period. Although the ASTER MI wasn't used to analyze the vegetation species changes, it also has a prospect of being used if all the recommended bands are available. The study also confirmed many other studies: using ML techniques such as RF with freely accessible Sentinel-2 MSI can identify grass species with high accuracy. The study explored the differences in vegetation species that have occurred over 20 years but didn't explore the precise reasons why others were replacing some vegetation species. The causes and factors influencing the shift in vegetation species in some park locations can be done in a further study. It will help the park managers appropriately manage the park and prevent key vegetation species from total annihilation by other more aggressive vegetation species, preserving the animal population in the park and keeping the ecosystem healthy.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the support of the South African National Park (SAN-PARKS) South Africa throughout data collection. The authors would also like to acknowledge the contribution of Prof. S.A Adelabu without whom this research would not have been possible.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Efosa Gbenga Adagbasa.

Data curation: Efosa Gbenga Adagbasa.

Formal analysis: Efosa Gbenga Adagbasa.

Investigation: Efosa Gbenga Adagbasa.

Methodology: Efosa Gbenga Adagbasa.

Resources: Efosa Gbenga Adagbasa.

Supervision: Geofrey Mukwada.

Validation: Efosa Gbenga Adagbasa.

Writing - original draft: Efosa Gbenga Adagbasa.

Writing - review & editing: Efosa Gbenga Adagbasa, Geofrey Mukwada.

References

1. Luken. Vegetational succession, climax. Environmental Geology. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands; 1999. p. 652–4.

- Ma Wang, Zhou Jiao. Relative importance of climate factors and human activities in impacting vegetation dynamics during 2000–2015 in the Otindag Sandy Land, northern China. Journal of Arid Land. 2017; 9(4):558–67.
- David Zarnetske, Hacker Ruggiero, Biel Seabloom. Invasive congeners differ in successional impacts across space and time. 2015; 10(2):e0117283.
- 4. Vera. Grazing ecology and forest history: Cabi; 2000.
- Dyderski Jagodziński. Impact of invasive tree species on natural regeneration species composition, diversity, and density. 2020; 11(4):456.
- 6. Rebelo Cowling, Richardson Pierce. Vegetation of Southern Africa. Cambridge University Press Cambridge; 1997.
- 7. Curhes, Leigh, Walton. Weed risk assessment: African lovegrass Eragrostis curvula. 2009.
- 8. Viana-Soto, Aguado, Martínez. Assessment of Post-Fire Vegetation Recovery Using Fire Severity and Geographical Data in the Mediterranean Region (Spain). Environments. 2017; 4(4):90.
- Mansour Mutanga, Everson Adam. Discriminating indicator grass species for rangeland degradation assessment using hyperspectral data resampled to AISA Eagle resolution. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing. 2012; 70:56–65.
- Smart Thompson, Marrs Le Duc, Maskell Firbank. Biotic homogenization and changes in species diversity across human-modified ecosystems. 2006; 273(1601):2659–65.
- Dallimer Tinch, Acs Hanley, Southall Gaston, et al. 100 years of change: examining agricultural trends, habitat change and stakeholder perceptions through the 20th century. 2009; 46(2):334–43.
- Wesche Krause, Culmsee Leuschner. Fifty years of change in Central European grassland vegetation: Large losses in species richness and animal-pollinated plants. 2012; 150(1):76–85.
- Hoeffner Beylich, Chabbi Cluzeau, Dascalu Graefe, et al. Legacy effects of temporary grassland in annual crop rotation on soil ecosystem services. Science of The Total Environment. 2021; 780:146140. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146140 PMID: 34030316
- 14. Zhao Liu, Wu. Grassland ecosystem services: a systematic review of research advances and future directions. 2020:1–22.
- Muller Siebert, Ntloko Siebert, Conservation. A floristic assessment of grassland diversity loss in South Africa. 2021; 51(1):1–9.
- Everson, Everson, Science. The long-term effects of fire regime on primary production of montane grasslands in South Africa. 2016; 33(1):33–41.
- Carbutt Tau, Stephens Escott. The conservation status of temperate grasslands in southern Africa. 2011; 11(1):17–23.
- Little Hockey, Jansen, Conservation. Impacts of fire and grazing management on South Africa's moist highland grasslands: A case study of the Steenkampsberg Plateau, Mpumalanga, South Africa. 2015; 45(1):1–15.
- 19. Cho Malahlela, Ramoelo. Assessing the utility WorldView-2 imagery for tree species mapping in South African subtropical humid forest and the conservation implications: Dukuduku forest patch as case study. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation. 2015; 38:349–57.
- 20. Ramoelo Cho, Mathieu Madonsela, Van De Kerchove Kaszta, et al. Monitoring grass nutrients and biomass as indicators of rangeland quality and quantity using random forest modelling and WorldView-2 data. International journal of applied earth observation and geoinformation. 2015; 43:43–54.
- Adam Mutanga, Rugege Ismail. Discriminating the papyrus vegetation (Cyperus papyrus L.) and its coexistent species using random forest and hyperspectral data resampled to HYMAP. International Journal of Remote Sensing. 2012; 33(2):552–69.
- Schmidt Skidmore. Spectral discrimination of vegetation types in a coastal wetland. Remote sensing of Environment. 2003; 85(1):92–108.
- Lu He. Species classification using Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV)-acquired high spatial resolution imagery in a heterogeneous grassland. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing. 2017; 128:73–85.
- 24. Osińska-Skotak Radecka, Piórkowski Michalska-Hejduk, Kopeć Tokarska-Guzik, et al. Mapping Succession in Non-Forest Habitats by Means of Remote Sensing: Is the Data Acquisition Time Critical for Species Discrimination? 2019; 11(22):2629.
- Hantson Kooistra, Slim. Mapping invasive woody species in coastal dunes in the N etherlands: a remote sensing approach using LIDAR and high-resolution aerial photographs. 2012; 15(4):536–47.
- 26. Möckel Dalmayne, Schmid Prentice, Hall. Airborne Hyperspectral Data Predict Fine-Scale Plant Species Diversity in Grazed Dry Grasslands. 2016; 8(2):133.

- Ramoelo Skidmore, Cho Schlerf, Mathieu Heitkönig. Regional estimation of savanna grass nitrogen using the red-edge band of the spaceborne RapidEye sensor. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation. 2012; 19:151–62.
- 28. Mutanga Adam, Adjorlolo Abdel-Rahman. Evaluating the robustness of models developed from field spectral data in predicting African grass foliar nitrogen concentration using WorldView-2 image as an independent test dataset. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation. 2015; 34:178–87.
- 29. Cushnahan Yule, Pullanagari Grafton. Identifying grass species using hyperspectral sensing.
- Adelabu Mutanga, Adam Sebego. Spectral discrimination of insect defoliation levels in mopane woodland using hyperspectral data. IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing. 2014; 7(1):177–86.
- Osińska-Skotak Radecka, Ostrowski Michalska-Hejduk, Charyton Bakuła, et al. The Methodology for Identifying Secondary Succession in Non-Forest Natura 2000 Habitats Using Multi-Source Airborne Remote Sensing Data. 2021; 13(14):2803.
- Chraibi Arnold, Luque Deacon, Magurran Féret. A Remote Sensing Approach to Understanding Patterns of Secondary Succession in Tropical Forest. 2021; 13(11):2148.
- Adagbasa Adelabu, Okello. Application of deep learning with stratified K-fold for vegetation species discrimation in a protected mountainous region using Sentinel-2 image. Geocarto International. 2019:1– 21.
- **34.** Shoko Mutanga. Examining the strength of the newly-launched Sentinel 2 MSI sensor in detecting and discriminating subtle differences between C3 and C4 grass species. ISPRS journal of photogrammetry and remote sensing. 2017; 129:32–40.
- **35.** Sothe Almeida, Liesenberg Schimalski. Evaluating Sentinel-2 and Landsat-8 data to map successional forest stages in a subtropical forest in Southern Brazil. 2017; 9(8):838.
- Pal. Random forest classifier for remote sensing classification. International Journal of Remote Sensing. 2005; 26(1):217–22.
- Pal Mather. An Assessment of the Effectiveness of Decision Tree Methods for Land Cover Classification2003. 554–65 p.
- Hansen Dubayah, Defries. Classification trees: an alternative to traditional land cover classifiers. International Journal of Remote Sensing. 1996; 17(5):1075–81.
- 39. Breiman. Classification and Regression Trees1984. null p.
- Chan Paelinckx. Evaluation of Random Forest and Adaboost tree-based ensemble classification and spectral band selection for ecotope mapping using airborne hyperspectral imagery. 2008; 112(6):2999– 3011.
- **41.** Adelabu Dube. Employing ground and satellite-based QuickBird data and random forest to discriminate five tree species in a Southern African Woodland. Geocarto International. 2015; 30(4):457–71.
- 42. Parks. Annual Report 2006/07. 2007.
- 43. Sanpark. Golden Gate Highlands National Park Management Plan. 2012.
- Nkemelu Omeiza, Lubalo. Deep Convolutional Neural Network for Plant Seedlings Classification. arXiv preprint arXiv:181108404. 2018.
- **45.** Adepoju, Adelabu, editors. Improved Landsat-8 OLI and Sentinel-2 MSI Classification in Mountainous Terrain using Machine Learning on Google Earth Engine. Proceedings of the Biennial Conference of the Society of South African Geographers; 2018; University of the Free State.
- Adagbasa Efosa Gbenga. Random forest machine learning classifier on the Google Earth Engine. figshare. Software. 2021; https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.17125043.v2
- **47.** Sesnie Finegan, Gessler Thessler, Bendana Ramos, Smith. The multispectral separability of Costa Rican rainforest types with support vector machines and Random Forest decision trees. International Journal of Remote Sensing. 2010; 31(11):2885–909.
- Saha Arora, Csaplovics Gupta. Land Cover Classification Using IRS LISS III Image and DEM in a Rugged Terrain: A Case Study in Himalayas. Geocarto International. 2005; 20(2):33–40.
- **49.** Krishna. Improving Landsat and IRS Image Classification: Evaluation of Unsupervised and Supervised Classification through Band Ratios and DEM in a Mountainous Landscape in Nepal. Remote Sensing. 2009; 1(4):1257–72.
- NASA. DAAC: ASTER Level 1 Precision Terrain Corrected Registered At-Sensor Radiance, Version 3, NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC, USGS Earth Resources Observation and Science (EROS) Center, Sioux Falls, South Dakota. 2015.
- Hashim Hazini. Separation of Different Vegetation Types in ASTER and Landsat Satellite Images Using Satellite†derived Vegetation Indices. 2014; 71(4).

- Adepoju Adelabu. Improving accuracy evaluation of Landsat-8 OLI using image composite and multisource data with Google Earth Engine. Remote Sensing Letters. 2020; 11(2):107–16.
- Sharma Hara, Hirayama. A Machine Learning and Cross-Validation Approach for the Discrimination of Vegetation Physiognomic Types Using Satellite Based Multispectral and Multitemporal Data. Scientifica. 2017; 2017:8. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/9806479 PMID: 28695041
- 54. Wakulińska Marcinkowska-Ochtyra. Multi-temporal sentinel-2 data in classification of mountain vegetation. 2020; 12(17):2696.
- Immitzer Neuwirth, Böck Brenner, Vuolo Atzberger. Optimal input features for tree species classification in Central Europe based on multi-temporal Sentinel-2 data. 2019; 11(22):2599.
- 56. Puletti Chianucci, Castaldi. Use of Sentinel-2 for forest classification in Mediterranean environments. 2018; 42:32–8.
- 57. Raimondo Staden, Foden Victor, Helme Turner, et al. Red list of South African plants 2009: South African National Biodiversity Institute; 2009.
- Volesky Young, Jenkins. Cattle grazing effects on Phragmites australis in Nebraska. Invasive Plant Science and Management. 2016; 9(2):121–7.
- Canavan Paterson, Lambertini Hill. Expansive reed populations—alien invasion or disturbed wetlands? AoB PLANTS. 2018; 10(2). https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/ply014 PMID: 29593854
- 60. Leistner. Seed plants of southern Africa: families and genera: National Botanical Institute; 2000.
- 61. Russell, Watson, Koekemoer, Smook, Barker, Anderson, et al. Grasses of southern Africa1990.
- 62. Snyman. Seriphium plumosum. Newsletter of the Grassland Society of Southern Africa 2009.
- **63.** Dubula Tefsamichael, Rampedi. Assessing the potential of remote sensing to discriminate invasive Seriphium plumosum from grass. South African Journal of Geomatics. 2016; 5(2):201–13.
- 64. Mashalane Adjorlolo. Integrating remote sensing and geostatistics in mapping Seriphium plumosum (bankrupt bush) invasion 2016.
- Adepoju Adelabu, Mokubung, assessment. Mapping Seriphium plumosum encroachment and interaction with wildfire and environmental factors in a protected mountainous grassland. 2020; 192(6):1–15.
- 66. Du Wang, Liu Wang, Xue Liu. Ecological niche modeling for predicting the potential risk areas of severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome. 2014; 26:1–8.
- Liu Zhao, Guo Zhang, Tan Yang. Study on climate and grassland fire in HulunBuir, Inner Mongolia autonomous region, China. 2017; 17(3):616.
- Keeley. Fire intensity, fire severity and burn severity: a brief review and suggested usage. 2009; 18 (1):116–26.
- Chuvieco Riaño, Danson Martin. Use of a radiative transfer model to simulate the postfire spectral response to burn severity. 2006; 111(G4).
- Rademeyer van Zyl. Golden Jubilee for Golden Gate-A Concise History of Golden Gate Highlands National Park, 1963 to 2013. 2014; 5(27 P3):1169.
- Slater. Between a rock and a hard place: contested livelihoods in Qwaqwa National Park, South Africa. 2002; 168(2):116–29.