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#### Abstract

The occurrence of Ichthyobodo necator，Trichodina truttae and Chilodonella piscicola was investigated on juvenile Pacific salmon（chum，pink，masu and sockeye salmon）reared at 204 hatcheries in northern Japan．These ectoparasitic proto－ zoans were widespread in the area at water temperatures between $2^{\circ}$ and $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ． Ichthyobodo necator was most common among them，being recorded from all four salmonid species．Chilodonella piscicola was found on the former three fish species， and $T$ ．truttae was encountered on only chum salmon．The percentage of positive hatcheries was $37.3 \%$ for I．necator， $15.2 \%$ for T．truttae，and $8.8 \%$ for C．piscicola． The occurrence of Ichthyobodo infections was not related to type of water supply （spring，well，infiltrate，or river waters），which implies that there are other mecha－ nisms to spread the parasite among host populations besides direct transmission． In contrast，the majority of Trichodina and Chilodonella infections occurred at the hatcheries supplied with river water，suggesting that wild fish may serve as the reservoirs of infection．Statistical comparisons indicated the existence of a possible interference competition between $I$ ．necator and $T$ ．truttae on the host body surface．


## Introduction

Salmon enhancement has developed very rapidly for decades in the North Pacific rim nations（USA，Canada，Japan and Russia）to supplement natural production．This intensive artificial culture increases the risk of disease outbreaks in hatcheries．

More than 175 species of parasites have been recorded from Pacific salmon（Onco－ rhynchus）within their native range，but the principal parasitic diseases of hatchery－ reared salmonids are caused by protozoans（Margolis，1982）．At least 15 protozoan species have been implicated in mortalities of cultured salmon（Margolis，1982）． Ectoparasitic protozoans often bring about severe problems in intensive culture because they can rapidly multiply and be directly transmitted in such conditions．They include the flagellate Ichthyobodo necator（Henneguy，1883），and the ciliates Trichodina truttae

[^0]Mueller， 1937 and Chilodonella piscicola（Zacharias，1894）．
The bodonid I．necator is widely distributed in the northern hemisphere and infects various freshwater fishes as well as marine salmonids（Robertson，1985；Urawa and Kusakari，1990）．Heavy infections cause severe epidermal destruction in the skin and gills of salmonids（Robertson et al．，1981；Urawa，1992a）and markedly reduce the adaptability of anadromous hosts to marine environment due to osmoregulatory break－ down（Urawa，1992b）．Despite this，the parasite infections are often overlooked because of their small size and the lack of obvious symptoms in infected fish．

The large ciliate T．truttae has been found on salmonids reared at hatcheries along the coasts of the North Pacific Ocean and adjacent seas（Davis，1947，1953；Bogdanova， 1963，1967，1977；Arthur and Margolis，1984；Urawa and Arthur，1991）．Mortalities associated with heavy infections were recorded in hatchery－reared juveniles（Bogdanova and Shtein，1963；Takeda et al．，1969；Takeda，1971；Hoskins et al．，1976）．An infection experiment（Urawa，1992c）confirmed that the parasite is site－specific to host＇s skin and causes intense irritation and mortalities．

Chilodonella piscicola（ $=$ C．cyprini）is commonly found on the gills and skin of various freshwater fishes in North America，Europe and Asia（Hoffman，1978）．The parasite causes severe proliferation of the gill epithelium，which is followed by chronic mortalities of host salmonids（Urawa and Yamao，1992）．

Thus，these ectoparasitic protozoans are important pathogens of hatchery－reared salmonids，responsible for mass mortalities and large economic losses．Their ep－ izootiology has，however，received relatively little attention．The present study describes the host range and geographical distribution of the ectoparasites infecting hatchery reared salmonids in northern Japan，and discusses their dispersal mechanisms among host populations．

## Materials and Methods

Fish were sampled from 204 freshwater hatcheries in six prefectures of northern Japan during the period of March 1982 －May 1991 （Appendices 1 \＆2）．A total of 9，449 fish were collected，comprising 8,660 chum（Oncorhynchus keta）， 391 pink（O．gorbus－ cha）， 65 sockeye（ $O$ ．nerka，including kokanees）and 333 masu（ $O$ ．masou）salmon（Table 1）．The majority of these samples were underyearling juveniles within 3 months of the first feeding．The fish were immediately fixed in $10 \%$ formalin at the hatcheries and preserved for later parasitological examination in the laboratory（Hokkaido Salmon Hatchery）．Additional investigations were made to determine the type of water supply and water temperatures in rearing ponds．The classification of water supply was in accordance with the concept defined by Shimizu（1984）．Ichthyobodo necator is most abundant on the fins（Urawa，1992a），and C．piscicola is usually defined to the gills （Urawa and Yamao，1992）．After measurements of fish size（folk length and wet weight），the dorsal and anal fins and gills were removed from fish and examined for parasites with a light microscope at magnifications of $\times 200-400$ ．In addition，sediment in the bottom of the sample bottles was examined for $T$ ．truttae and C．piscicola because

Table 1. The occurrence of ectoparasitic protozoans on 4 species of salmonids reared at hatcheries in Hokkaido and northern Honshu.

| Host species | No. of hatcheries studied | No. of positive hatcheries** |  |  | Total no. of fish examined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ichthyobodo necator | Trichodina truttae | Chilodonella piscicola |  |
| Hokkaido |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chum salmon | 118 | 41 (34.7) | 19 (16.1) | 6 (5.1) | 5209 |
| Pink salmon | 17 | 3 (17.6) | 0 (0) | 1 (5.9) | 391 |
| Masu salmon | 12 | 9 (75.0) | 0 (0) | 5 (41.7) | 333 |
| Sockeye salmon*2 | 3 | 1 (33.3) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 65 |
| Honshu |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chum salmon | 75 | 30 (40.0) | 12 (16.0) | 7 (9.3) | 3451 |

${ }^{* 1}$ Number of hatcheries where each parasite was recorded (proportion of positive hatcheries in \%).
*2Sockeye salmon includes kokanees.

Table 2. The occurrence of ectoparasitic protozoans on juvenile salmonids at hatcheries in different coastal regions of Hokkaido and northern Honshu.

| Region | No. of <br> hatcheries <br> studied | Nchthyobodo <br> necator | Trichodina <br> truttae | Chilodonella <br> piscicola |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hokkaido |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Nemuro Strait |  | $7(33.3)$ | $7(33.3)$ | $3(14.3)$ |
| 2. Sea of Okhotsk | 27 | $8(29.6)$ | $2(7.4)$ | $1(3.7)$ |
| 3. Sea of Japan | 29 | $8(27.6)$ | $7(24.1)$ | $5(17.2)$ |
| 4. Tsugaru Strait | 7 | $1(14.3)$ | $0(0)$ | $0(0))$ |
| 5. Pacific Ocean(west) | 21 | $12(57.1)$ | $1(4.8)$ | $0(0))$ |
| 6. Pacific Ocean(east) | 24 | $10(41.7)$ | $2(8.3)$ | $2(8.3)$ |
| Subtotal | 129 | $46(35.7)$ | $19(14.7)$ | $11(8.5)$ |
| Honshu |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Tsugaru Strait | 11 | $7(63.6)$ | $2(18.2)$ | $2(18.2)$ |
| 8. Pacific Ocean | 37 | $10(27.0)$ | $5(13.5)$ | $2(5.4)$ |
| 9. Sea of Japan | 27 | $13(48.1)$ | $5(18.5)$ | $3(11.1)$ |
| Subtotal | 75 | $30(40.0)$ | $12(16.0)$ | $7(9.3)$ |
| Total | 204 | $76(37.3)$ | $31(15.2)$ | $18(8.8)$ |

*Number of hatcheries where each parasite was recorded (proportion of positive hatcheries in \%).
these ciliates are easily detached from their hosts in formalin. Parasitological terms follow the definitions given by Margolis et al. (1982).


Fig．1．The geographical distribution of Ichthyobodo necator at salmon hatcheries in Hokkaido．Solid circles indicate the locations of positive hatcheries where the parasite was recorded and open circles indicate the locations of negative hatcheries．Numerals refer to hatcheries listed in Appendix 1．Large arrows indicate the boundaries between regions．Percentages express the proportion of positive hatcheries in each coastal region．

## Results

## Ichthyobodo necator

Host range．This parasite was recorded from all four species，chum，pink，masu and sockeye salmon，but there was a slight difference in its occurrence among the host species（Table 1）．The prevalence of infection averaged $43.0 \%$ in masu， $27.0 \%$ in sock－ eye， $24.3 \%$ in chum，and only $1.7 \%$ in pink salmon（Appendices $1 \& 2$ ）．

Distribution．The parasite was quite widely distributed in northern Japan（Figs． 1 \＆ 2）．It was found at 46 hatcheries（ $36 \%$ ）studied in Hokkaido，and at 30 hatcheries（ 37


Fig. 2. The geographical distribution of Ichthyobodo necator at salmon hatcheries in northern Honshu. Solid and open circles indicate the locations of positive and negative hatcheries, respectively. Numerals refer to hatcheries listed in Appendix 2. Large arrows and dotted lines indicate the boundaries between regions and prefectures, respectively. Percentages express the proportion of positive hatcheries in each coastal region.
\%) in northern Honshu (Table 2). The proportion of Ichthyobodo-positive hatcheries was relatively high ( $48-64 \%$ ) along the Pacific coasts of Hokkaido (regions $5 \& 6$ ) and the Japan Sea coasts of Honshu (regions 7 \& 9). In particular, the infection was most prevalent along the coasts of inlets such as Volcano Bay (Uchiura wan) in the Pacific west region of Hokkaido and Mutsu Bay in Aomori Prefecture, where the proportion of positive hatcheries was $66.7 \%$ and $75.0 \%$, respectively. Annual surveys confirmed that infections occurred every year at several hatcheries (e.g., Chitose, Yoichi and Hiroo hatcheries; Appendixes $1 \& 2$ ).

Environment. The parasite infections broke out in hatcheries with all the types of

Table 3．The frequency（\％）of ectoparasitic protozoans on hatchery－reared juvenile salmonids in northern Japan with different types of water supply．

| Type of water supply | Frequency＊（\％） |  |  | Water temperature（ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ） |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ichthyobodo necator | Trichodina truttae | Chilodonella piscicola | Mean | Range |
| Spring water（S） | 18.2 （10／55＊） | 3.6 （ $2 / 55$ ） | 3.6 （ $2 / 55$ ） | 8.0 | 5．0－10．4 |
| Well water（W） | 24.4 （20／82） | $1.2(1 / 82)$ | 6.1 （ $5 / 82$ ） | 8.1 | 2．0－13．6 |
| S＋W | 25.0 （ $2 / 8)$ | $25.0(2 / 8)$ | $0(0 / 8)$ | 7.8 | 7．0－9．0 |
| Infiltrate water（I） | 28.6 （ $6 / 21$ ） | $9.5(2 / 21)$ | $0(0 / 21)$ | 8.5 | 6．0－10．4 |
| W＋I | 57.1 （ 4／7） | 14.3 （ $1 / 7)$ | 14．3（1／7） | 9.6 | 8．4－11．7 |
| $\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{I}$ | $100.0(1 / 1)$ | 0 （ $0 / 1$ ） | 0 （0／1） | 5.5 | － |
| River water（R） | 25.0 （11／44） | 20.5 （ 9／44） | $2.3(1 / 44)$ | 7.3 | 3．0－15．0 |
| $\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{R}$ | 45.6 （26／57） | 21.1 （12／57） | 12.3 （ $7 / 57$ ） | 5.8 | 3．5－10．5 |
| $\mathbf{W}+\mathbf{R}$ | 53.4 （39／73） | $15.1(11 / 73)$ | 16.4 （12／73） | 6.9 | 2．6－13．0 |
| $\mathbf{S}+\mathrm{W}+\mathrm{R}$ | 71.4 （ $5 / 7$ ） | $0(0 / 7)$ | 14.3 （ 1／7） | 6.4 | 6．0－7．2 |
| $\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{R}$ | 44．4（ 4／9） | 11．1（1／9） | 0 （0／9） | 9.8 | 6．0－12．4 |
| S＋Lake water | 100．0（1／1） | 0 （0／1） | 0 （0／1） | 1.8 | － |

＊Number of groups in which each parasite was recorded／total number of sample groups examined．


Fig．3．The frequency histograms show－ ing the occurrence of Ichthyobodo necator，Trichodina truttae，and Chilodonella piscicola on hatchery－ reared salmonids in Hokkaido and northern Honshu at different water temperatures．Total number of sample groups is 90 in Hokkaido and 74 in Honshu．Solids and opens indicate the percentage of infected and uninfected sample groups，respectively．Arrow－ heads represent the mean water tem－ perature at which the parasite was observed．



> Sea of Japan 19\％

Fig．5．The geographical distribution of Trichodina truttae at salmon hatcheries in northern Honshu．Solid and open circles indicate the locations of positive and negative hatcheries，respectively．Numerals refer to hatcheries listed in Appendix 2. Large arrows and dotted lines indicate the boundaries between regions and prefec－ tures，respectively．Percentages express the proportion of positive hatcheries in each coastal region．

## Trichodina truttae

Host range．This ciliate was recorded only from chum salmon（Table 1）．
Distribution．The parasite was found at $14.7 \%$ of the hatcheries in Hokkaido and $15.2 \%$ of those in northern Honshu（Table 2，Figs． $4 \& 5$ ）．The proportion of Tri－ chodina－positive hatcheries was almost uniform（13．5－18．5\％）throughout the regions of northern Honshu，but varied considerably from region to region in Hokkaido，where it was high in hatcheries along the shores of Nemuro Strait（region 1，33．3\％）and the Sea of Japan（region 3，27．6\％）but low（ $0-8.3 \%$ ）in those along other coastal regions．

Environment．The distribution of $T$ ．truttae varied significantly with the type of



Fig．7．The geographical distribution of Chilodonella piscicola at salmon hatcheries in northern Honshu．Solid and open circles indicate the locations of positive and negative hatcheries，respectively．Numerals refer to hatcheries listed in Appendix 2. Large arrows and dotted lines indicate the boundaries between regions and prefec－ tures，respectively．Percentages express the proportion of positive hatcheries in each coastal region．
tively．Most infections of masu salmon occurred during their first feeding period．
Distribution．This parasite was the least common of the three species of ectoparasites， being found in only $8.8 \%$ of the hatcheries surveyed in Hokkaido and Honshu（Table 2，Figs． $6 \& 7$ ）．There were no apparent regional differences in the proportion of Chilodonella－positive hatcheries except for the Hokkaido coasts of Tsugaru Strait（region 4）and Pacific Ocean（region 5），where the ciliate was not recorded．

Environment．The occurrence of outbreaks was highest（14．6\％）under rearing conditions where river water was mixed with spring and／or well water，as compared to the other types of water supply（Table 3）．The parasite was recorded at water tempera－

Table 4. The observed and expected coexistence among Ichthyobodo necator, Trichodina truttae, and Chilodonella piscicola on juvenile chum salmon reared at hatcheries using river water. The table includes three cases of concurrent infections with three species.

| Parasites |  | No. of infections* |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ichthyobodo | Trichodina | Chilodonella | Total <br> no. of <br> infections |
| Ichthyobodo | Observed | $58\left(72.5^{* 2}\right)$ | $12(15.0)$ | $10(12.5)$ | $80(100.0)$ |
| necator | Expected | $48(60.0)$ | $21(26.3)$ | $11(13.7)$ | $80(100.0)$ |
| Trichodina | Observed | $12(33.3)$ | $17(47.2)$ | $7(19.5)$ | $36(100.0)$ |
| truttae | Expected | $21(58.3)$ | $10(27.8)$ | $5(13.9)$ | $36(100.0)$ |
| Chilodonella | Observed | $10(52.6)$ | $7(36.9)$ | $2(10.5)$ | $19(100.0)$ |
| piscicola | Expected | $11(57.9)$ | $5(26.3)$ | $3(15.8)$ | $19(100.0)$ |

${ }^{* 1}$ Expected coexistence is calculated by the hypothesis that each parasite's probability of infection is essentially random, being not affected by the presence of other parasite species. The number of expected cases of coexistence of parasite $A$ with $B\left(\mathrm{EC}_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}}\right)$ is given by the formula: $\mathrm{EC}_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}}=\left(\mathrm{ON}_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{N}\right) \times \mathrm{ON}_{\mathrm{A}}$, where $\mathrm{ON}_{\mathrm{A}}=$ total number of observed infections of parasite A , $\mathrm{ON}_{\mathrm{B}}=$ total number of observed infections of parasite $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{N}=$ total number of observed infections of parasites $\left(\mathrm{ON}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{ON}_{\mathrm{B}}+\ldots\right)$.
${ }^{*}$ Proportion (\%) of infections.
tures ranging from $3.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $12.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with an average of $6.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $10.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in Hokkaido and Honshu, respectively (Fig. 3).

## Interspecific competition

Table 4 compares the observed and expected frequency of coexistence among the parasite species on chum salmon reared at hatcheries with river water. Distinct differences between predicted and observed frequencies exist for the co-occurrence of $I$. necator and T. truttae but not in other cases (C. piscicola vs I. necator or T. truttae). The number of observed infections of $I$. necator concurrent with $T$. truttae was $43 \%$ lower than expected.

## Other ectoparasites

A monogenean Gyrodactylus masu Ogawa, 1986 was occasionally found on the fins of juvenile chum, sockeye and masu salmon at Chitose Hatchery. In addition, unidentified sessile peritrichs (Peritrichida) attached to the fins of chum salmon fry reared at Asahi Hatchery on Rishiri Island, northern Hokkaido, but the prevalence of infections was low (8.7\%).

## Discussion

The ectoparasite fauna of hatchery-reared salmon juveniles examined here was predominantly composed of three protozoan species, $I$. necator, $T$. truttae, and $C$. piscicola. This simple fauna may be closely related to the short rearing period of the fish: the majority of juvenile chum and pink salmon are released after 2-3 months of feeding. It also seems to be limited by rearing water temperatures below $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For example, the
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large ciliate Ichthyophthirius multifiliis occasionally causes considerable problems at trout and salmon farms in North America（Wood，1979）and Europe（Bauer，1959），but in northern Japan this ciliate was not observed perhaps due to the cold rearing water， whose temperature is considerably below the parasite＇s optimum（ $24^{\circ}$ to $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ，according to Bauer，1959）．

The three protozoan species were widely recorded in northern Japan at water temperatures ranging from $2^{\circ}$ to $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ．Although there were distinct differences in rearing temperatures between Hokkaido and Honshu，the frequency of infections with each parasite species was similar in both areas．Bauer（1959）mentioned that C．piscicola divides most actively at $5^{\circ}$ to $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ．This is almost consistent with the temperature range for Chilodonella infections observed in the present survey．On the other hand，Becker （1977）noted that Ichthyobodo survives at temperatures from $2^{\circ}$ to $29^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and multiplies most rapidly at about $24^{\circ}-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ．At salmon hatcheries，however，infections were frequent－ ly encountered at temperatures between $1.8^{\circ}$ and $13.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ，suggesting that $I$ ．necator on salmonids is well adapted to lower temperatures．

Ichthyobodo necator and C．piscicola were recorded from four and three fish species， respectively．These two parasites are known to have a wide host range（Hoffman，1978； Robertson，1985）．There was，however，a slight difference in the frequency of their occurrence among the salmonid hosts．These parasites were found most frequently on the first－feeding masu salmon，but rarely on juvenile pink salmon．In addition，$T$ ． truttae was found only on chum salmon．These results suggest that host susceptibility to each species of parasite may differ among salmonid species．

It is well recognized that $I$ ．necator is a common pathogen in trout and salmon farms in North America and Europe（Wood，1979；Robertson，1985），whereas this small flagellate has been overlooked in Japanese salmon hatcheries until quite recently＊．The present intensive survey shows that $I$ ．necator is the most epizootic ectoparasite on hatchery－reared salmonids in Japan．The transmission of the parasite by transport of infected live fish was confirmed at one hatchery，however this probably does not account for the wide distribution of this parasite since live fish are rarely transported between hatcheries in the study area．The parasite is able to survive and multiply in seawater （Urawa and Kusakari，1990）．The expansion of parasite＇s distribution may be promoted by this seawater adaptability，while it seems to be also encouraged by other spread mechanisms．

It is generally considered that ectoparasitic protozoans usually transmit themselves directly from host to host．This implies that the occurrence of parasites may be influen－ ced by the type of water supply（spring，well，infiltrate，or river waters）．In the case of $T$ ．truttae，the majority of infections occurred in the ponds supplied with river water．A separate observation confirmed that wild salmonids were infected with T．truttae （Urawa，unpublished data）．These findings indicate that wild fish serve as the main source of Trichodina infections in hatcheries．A similar trend was also observed in $C$ ．

[^1]piscicola infections. Both ciliates occurred frequently along the coast of Nemuro Strait where about $80 \%$ of hatcheries used river water, but were rarely found in Iwate Prefecture where only $11 \%$ of hatcheries used river water. Thus, the distribution of these ciliates in hatcheries is strongly affected by type of water supply.

On the other hand, the frequency of Ichthyobodo infections was most stable among individual types of water supply and increased when mixed waters were used. This increase seems to just reflect the sum of risks in each types of water supply. Infections often occurred in isolated ponds supplied with spring or well water, in which wild fish were absent. Thus, besides the direct transmission, there may be any other mechanisms by which Ichthyobodo spreads among host populations. Some workers believe that the flagellate forms cysts in unfavorable conditions which remain in the water as well as on the host's body, although this has not been confirmed experimentally (see Bauer, 1959).

Both of I. necator and T. truttae are site-specific to the body surface of their hosts (Urawa, 1992a, 1992c). The observed coexistence of $I$. necator with $T$. truttae was significantly lower than expected, suggesting the presence of interference competition between these parasites. This assumption was corroborated by the experimental observation that T. truttae reduced the density of $I$. necator on the host skin (Urawa, unpublished data).

Trichodina truttae and C. piscicola infections may be controlled by using only spring or well waters in which feral fish are absent, whereas this method does not appear effective for the prevention of $I$. necator. Heavy Ichthyobodo infections reduce markedly the seawater adaptation of anadromous hosts and consequently cause high marine mortalities (Urawa, 1992b). It was confirmed that formalin bath treatment of infected chum juveniles significantly increased their return rate as adults (Urawa, unpublished data). Thus, it is strongly recommended that anadromous salmonids should be examined for parasites before their release from hatcheries.
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# ふ化場産サケ科魚類に外部奇生する原生動物 3 種の宿主範囲と地理的分布 

## 浦和茂彦


#### Abstract

外部寄生性原生動物 3 種（価毛虫 Ichthyobodo necator，緎毛虫 Trichodina truttae および Chilodonella piscicola）のサヶ属魚類4種（サヶ，カラフトマス，サクラマス，ベニザケ）における発生状況を北日本のふ化場 204 カ所で調査した。これら 3 種の外部寄生虫は北日本各地に広く分布 し，水温 $2-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ の範囲で観察された．I．necator はこれらの中で最も頻繁にみられ，サケ属 4 種から記録された。C．piscicola の宿主はサケ，カラフトマス，サクラマスの 3 種であったが，T．truttae は サヶのみから記録された。寄生虫の観察されたふ化場の割合は，I．necator で $37.3 \%$ ，T．truttae で $15.2 \%$ ，C．piscicola では8．8\％であった．I．necator の発生率と飼育用水の種類（湧水，地下水，浸透水あるいは河川水）の間には明確な関係がぬられず，直接感染以外に宿主集団問に本虫が伝播する機構の存在することが暗示された。一方，T．truttae と C．piscicola の場合は，大部分が河川水を用 いたふ化場で発生したことから，野生魚が主な感染源になっていると推定された．I．necator と T． truttae は宿主体表上で競合関係にあることが统計的分析によって示唆ざれた。


## EXPLANATION OF APPENDICES

1. Region and hatchery. - Hatcheries are mapped by number in Figs. 1, 2, 4-7.
2. Fish species. - Alevins are shown by "A" in parentheses followed by the fish name.
3. No. of fish examined. - Asterisks indicate that fish were treated with formalin before the parasitological examination.
4. Mean length and weight. - ND means data not available.
5. Parasites. - Numerals show the prevalence (\%) of Ichthyobodo necator infections. The absence or presence of the ciliate parasites was expressed by "+" or "-", respectively.
6. Type of water supply. - S, spring water; W, well water; I, infiltrate water; $R$, river water; $L$, lake water.
7. Temperature of water supply. - The water temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in the rearing pond where fish were sampled was indicated in parentheses.
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Appendix 1．The occurrence of Ichthyobodo necator（ICH），Trichodina truttae（TRI）and Chilodonella piscicola（CHI）on hatchery－reared juvenile salmonids in Hokkaido．

| Region |  | Fish <br> species | Collection date | No．fish examined | Mean <br> length <br> （cm） | Mean <br> Weight <br> （g） | Parasites |  | Water supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No． | Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  | ICH | TRI CHI | Type（Temp．） |
| I．Nemuro Strait |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Bettoga | Chum | MAY－25－89 | 30 | 4.9 | 1.18 | 63 | － | R |
|  |  | Masu | MAR－10－89 | 20 | 2.8 | 0.23 | 100 | －－ | R |
|  |  | Pink | MAY－10－89 | 61 | 3.3 | 0.24 | 0 | －－ | R |
| 2 | Hamanaka | Chum | APR－29－89 | 40＊ | 4.2 | 0.73 | 0 | －－ | W＋R（6．0） |
| 3 | Nizibetsu | Chum | MAR－26－82 | 8 | 3.5 | 0.30 | 25 | ＋－ | S |
|  |  | Chum | MAR－15－89 | 10 | 4.1 | 0.64 | 0 | ＋ | S（8．5） |
|  |  | Sockeye | MAR－15－89 | 30 | 2.5 | 0.12 | 0 | －－ | S （9．0） |
| 4 | Nishibetsu | Chum（A） | MAR－15－89 | $10^{*}$ | 3.3 | 0.33 | 0 | ＋－ | R（4－10） |
|  |  | Chum | MAY－11－89 | $30^{*}$ | 6.2 | 2.59 | 0 | ＋－ | R（15．0） |
| 5 | Honbetsu | Pink | MAR－13－91 | 30 | 3.5 | 0.37 | 0 | －－ | S（7．0） |
| 6 | Tokotan | Chum | MAR－20－89 | 40＊ | 3.5 | 0.38 | 0 | －－ | S＋R |
|  |  | Chum | APR－11－89 | $30^{*}$ | 4.0 | 0.69 | 0 | －－ | S＋R |
|  |  | Chum | MAR－14－91 | 30 | 4.0 | 0.62 | 0 | －－ | S＋R（4．0） |
| 7 | Shunbetsu | Pink | APR－21－89 | 30 | 3.2 | 0.18 | 0 | － | S（5．0） |
| 8 | Kenebetsu | Chum | MAR－23－82 | 7 | 3.5 | 0.40 | 0 | －－ | R |
|  |  | Chum | MAR－15－89 | 10 | 3.7 | 0.48 | 0 | － | R（6．0） |
|  |  | Chum | APR－18－89 | 51 | 4.5 | 1.03 | 0 | ＋－ | R（7．0） |
| 9 | Nakashibetsu | Chum | APR－21－89 | 60 | 4.5 | 0.98 | 0 | ＋－ | S＋R（6．5） |
| 10 | Nemuro | Pink | MAY－21－87 | 50 | 4.0 | 0.60 | 0 | －－ | S +R |
|  |  | Pink | MAY－16－88 | 10 | 4.2 | 0.42 | 0 | －＋ | S＋R |
|  |  | Masu | MAY－21－87 | 50 | 4.1 | 0.91 | 0 | －－ | S＋R |
|  |  | Masu | APR－07－88 | 10 | 4.6 | 0.83 | 0 | －＋ | S +R |
|  |  | Masu | MAR－04－91 | 10 | 3.1 | ND | 0 | － | S + R |
| 11 | Ichani | Chum | MAR－25－82 | 7 | 3.3 | 0.30 | 57 | ＋－ | W＋R |
|  |  | Chum | MAR－16－89 | 30＊ | 3.9 | 0.52 | 0 | ＋－ | $\mathrm{W}+\mathrm{R}(5.0)$ |
|  |  | Masu | MAY－22－87 | $10^{*}$ | 3.6 | 0.29 | 0 | －＋ | W |
| 12 | Tyurui | Chum | FEB－06－82 | 10 | 3.8 | 0.35 | 100 | －－ | $\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{W}+\mathrm{R}$ |
|  |  | Chum | FEB－20－82 | 10 | 3.8 | 0.37 | 70 | －－ | S＋W＋R |
|  |  | Chum | MAR－02－82 | 5 | 4.0 | 0.42 | 100 | －＋ | $\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{W}+\mathrm{R}$ |
|  |  | Chum | MAR－25－82 | 5＊ | 4.1 | 0.69 | 0 | －－ | $\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{W}+\mathrm{R}$ |
| 13 | Kunbetsu | Chum | MAR－16－82 | 10 | ND | ND | 0 | － | S＋R |
|  |  | Chum | APR－07－88 | 60 | 3.4 | 0.35 | 0 | ＋－ | S + R |
| 14 | Motosakimui | Chum | MAR－30－88 | 10 | 4.1 | 0.68 | 10 | ＋ | S + R |
| 15 | Mosekarubetsu | Chum | MAR－24－82 | 6 | 3.6 | ND | 50 | －－ | S＋R |
|  |  | Chum | MAY－20－88 | 60 | 4.7 | 1.06 | 0 | －－ | S＋R |

Appendix 1. (continued)

| Region |  | Fish species | Collection date | No. fish examined | Mean <br> length <br> (cm) | Mean <br> Weight <br> (g) | Parasites |  | Water supply <br> Type (Temp.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  | ICH | TRI CHI |  |
| 16 | Uebetsu | Chum | MAR-19-88 | 30 | 4.2 | 0.79 | 100 | - - | W+R |
| 17 | Rikushibetsu | Chum | JUN-10-88 | 60 | 5.2 | 1.37 | 0 | - - | S (7.1) |
| 18 | Rausu | Chum | MAR-25-82 | 4 | 3.6 | 0.41 | 0 | - - | R |
|  |  | Chum | MAY-26-88 | 60 | 4.8 | 1.15 | 0 | - - | R |
| 19 | Yunosawa | Chum | MAY-18-88 | 60 | 5.1 | 1.33 | 0 | - - | R |
| 20 | Sashirui | Pink | APR-30-88 | 10 | 3.5 | 0.29 | 0 | - - | R |
| 21 | Rusa | Chum | MAY-30-88 | 60 | 4.9 | 1.24 | 0 | - - | S+W |
| II. Sea of Okhotsk coast |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Iwaobetsu | Chum | APR-11-89 | 10 | 3.7 | 0.38 | 0 | + - | R |
| 23 | Hamaiwaobetsu | Pink | APR-11-89 | 10 | 3.3 | 0.31 | 0 | - - | R |
| 24 | Onnebetsu | $\operatorname{Pink}(\mathrm{A})$ | APR-11-89 | 10 | 3.2 | 0.29 | 0 | - - | R |
| 25 | Okushibetsu | $\operatorname{Pink}(\mathrm{A})$ | MAR-29-88 | 30 | 3.1 | 0.24 | 3 | - - | R (4.8) |
|  |  | Pink | APR-11-89 | 10 | 3.3 | 0.28 | 0 | - - | R |
| 26 | Raiun | Chum | MAR-29-88 | 10 | 4.5 | 0.92 | 0 | - - | S |
|  |  | Chum | APR-01-89 | 10 | 5.0 | 1.34 | 0 | - - | S |
|  |  | Pink | APR-11-89 | 10 | 3.3 | 0.22 | 0 | - - | S |
| 27 | Shari | Chum | MAR-24-82 | 17 | 3.8 | 0.44 | 0 | - - | S |
|  |  | Chum | MAR-16-89 | 10 | 4.0 | 0.58 | 0 | - - | S |
|  |  | Chum | APR-12-89 | 10 | 4.5 | 0.90 | 0 | - - | S |
|  |  | Pink | MAR-24-82 | 10 | 3.4 | 0.21 | 0 | - - | S |
|  |  | Masu | MAY-12-84 | 5 | 4.2 | 0.64 | 0 | - - | S |
|  |  | Masu | JAN-22-87 | 10 | 3.1 | 0.20 | 100 | - | S |
|  |  | Masu | JAN-27-87 | 10 | 2.8 | 0.13 | 100 | - - | S |
|  |  | Masu | JAN-28-87 | $20^{*}$ | 3.0 | 0.15 | 0 | - - | S |
|  |  | Masu | APR-12-89 | 10 | 3.2 | 0.35 | 20 | - - | S |
| 28 | Akinokawa | Chum | APR-11-89 | 10 | 4.1 | 0.69 | 0 | - - | S |
| 29 | Yanbetsu | Chum | MAR-29-88 | $10^{*}$ | 3.9 | 0.63 | 0 | - - | S + R |
|  |  | Chum | APR-12-89 | 10* | 3.9 | 0.58 | 0 | - - | S+R |
| 30 | Mokoto | Chum | APR-12-89 | 10 | 3.9 | 0.52 | 0 | - - | S |
| 31 | Aioi | Chum | APR-12-89 | 10 | 4.0 | 0.62 | 0 | - - | $S+R$ |
| 32 | Kaminosato | Chum | APR-12-89 | 10 | 3.6 | 0.38 | 0 | - - | S |
| 33 | Abashiri | Chum(A) | MAR-29-88 | 20 | 3.3 | 0.31 | 100 | - - | $\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{L}$ (1.8) |
|  |  | Chum | APR-12-89 | 10 | 3.9 | 0.57 | 100 | - - | S + L |
| 34 | Oketo | Chum | MAR-30-88 | 10 | 3.6 | 0.46 | 0 | - - | S |
|  |  | Chum | APR-07-89 | 10 | 3.6 | 0.36 | 0 | - - | S |
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Appendix 1．（continued）

| Region |  | Fish <br> species | Collection date | No．fish examined | Mean <br> length <br> （cm） | Mean <br> Weight <br> （g） | Parasites |  | Water supply <br> Type（Temp．） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No． | Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |  | TRI CHI |  |
| 35 | Kitami | Chum | MAR－16－89 | 20 | 3.5 | 0.40 | 0 | －－ | W |
| 36 | Tokoro | Pink | APR－13－89 | $10^{*}$ | 3.3 | 0.26 | 0 | －－ | S＋R |
| 37 | Maruseppu | Chum | MAR－30－88 | 30 | 4.0 | 0.60 | 0 | －－ | S＋R |
|  |  | Chum | APR－07－89 | 10 | 4.1 | 0.67 | 0 | ＋－ | S＋R |
| 38 | Yubetsu | Chum | APR－18－85 | 10 | 4.4 | 0.59 | 10 | － | W |
|  |  | Pink | APR－18－85 | 10 | 3.7 | 0.30 | 10 | －－ | W |
|  |  | Pink | APR－17－89 | 10＊ | 4.6 | 0.98 | 0 | －－ | W（9．0） |
| 39 | Yubetsugosen | Chum | MAR－30－88 | 20 | 4.3 | 0.77 | 0 | －－ | W |
|  |  | Chum | APR－07－89 | 10 | 4.6 | 0.97 | 0 | －－ | W |
| 40 | Shokotsu | Chum | MAR－28－86 | 20 | 4.0 | 0.67 | 0 | －－ | W（7．9） |
|  |  | Chum | MAR－30－88 | 10 | 5.1 | 1.45 | 0 | － | W |
|  |  | Chum | APR－13－89 | 10 | 5.0 | 1.45 | 0 | －－ | W（8．0） |
| 41 | Okoppe | Chum（A） | MAR－31－88 | 10 | 3.4 | 0.39 | 0 | －－ | R |
|  |  | Pink | APR－13－89 | 10＊ | 3.2 | 0.28 | 0 | －－ | R |
| 42 | Horonaiminami | Chum | MAR－31－88 | 10 | 4.3 | 0.76 | 40 | －－ | W |
| 43 | Horonai | Chum | MAR－24－82 | 5 | 3.3 | 0.23 | 30 | －－ | W |
|  |  | Chum（A） | JAN－09－87 | 29 | 3.5 | 0.33 | 0 | － | W |
|  |  | Chum | MAR－31－88 | 10 | 4.3 | 0.84 | 100 | － | W |
|  |  | Chum | APR－13－89 | 10 | 5.9 | 2.20 | 100 | － | W（5．5） |
| 44 | Tokushibetsu | Chum | MAR－23－82 | 11 | 3.9 | 0.52 | 9 | －－ | W |
|  |  | Chum | JAN－31－89 | 10 | 3.6 | 0.41 | 0 | － | W（5．4） |
|  |  | Chum | MAR－20－89 | 10 | 4.3 | 0.83 | 0 | －－ | W（4．0） |
|  |  | Masu | MAR－23－82 | 5 | 2.8 | 0.19 | 100 | － | W |
|  |  | Masu | JAN－31－89 | 10 | 2.9 | 0.23 | 0 | －－ | W（5．4） |
|  |  | Masu | MAR－20－89 | 10 | 3.4 | 0.40 | 0 | － | W（4．0） |
|  |  | Pink | MAR－23－82 | 10 | 3.2 | 0.21 | 20 | －－ | W |
| 45 | Utanobori | Chum | MAR－27－86 | 20 | 4.1 | 0.76 | 0 | － | W（2．0） |
|  |  | Chum | APR－05－88 | 10 | 4.5 | 0.98 | 0 | － | W（3．1） |
|  |  | Chum | FEB－03－89 | 10 | 3.3 | 0.39 | 0 | －－ | W（3．6） |
|  |  | Pink | APR－05－88 | 10 | 3.6 | 0.38 | 0 | －－ | W（3．1） |
|  |  | Masu | MAY－31－88 | 10 | 3.6 | 0.53 | 100 | －＋ | W（6．5） |
|  |  | Masu（A） | FEB－07－89 | 10 | 2.8 | 0.21 | 0 | －－ | W（3．6） |
| 46 | Tonbetsu | Chum | MAR－27－86 | 19 | 4.6 | 0.94 | 0 | － | W（6．5） |
|  |  | Chum | MAY－07－88 | 10 | 4.2 | 0.79 | 0 | － | W |
| 47 | Onishibetsu | Pink | MAR－31－88 | 50 | 3.2 | 0.22 | 0 | － | S＋R |
| 48 | Souya | Chum | APR－08－88 | 60 | 4.1 | 0.75 | 0 | －－ | R |

Appendix 1. (continued)

| Region |  | Fish species | Collection date | No. fish examined | Mean <br> length <br> (cm) | Mean <br> Weight <br> (g) | Parasites |  | Water supply <br> Type (Temp.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  | ICH | TRI CHI |  |
| III. Sea of Japan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | Kafukai | Pink | APR-17-90 | 10 | 3.0 | 0.23 | 0 | - - | R |
| 50 | Higashirishiri | Chum | MAY-08-88 | 61 | 4.5 | 0.91 | 0 | + | R (5.8) |
| 51 | Asahi | Chum | MAR-26-86 | 6 | 3.6 | 0.30 | 0 | + | R (5.4) |
|  |  | Chum | MAY-08-88 | 23 | 4.5 | 0.93 | 0 | - - | R |
| 52 | Rishiri | Chum | APR-27-88 | 45 | 5.3 | 1.27 | 0 | - - | W |
| 53 | Teshio | Chum | FEB-21-82 | 10 | 3.7 | 0.35 | 0 | - - | W |
|  |  | Chum | MAR-23-82 | 8 | 4.4 | ND | 0 | - - | W |
|  |  | Chum | MAR-30-88 | 30 | 4.3 | 0.79 | 0 | - | S+W |
|  |  | Chum | FEB-06-89 | 10 | 3.3 | 0.29 | 0 | - | S (9.5) |
|  |  | Chum | MAR-29-89 | 60 | 4.7 | 0.93 | 0 | - - | W |
| 54 | Nakagawa | Chum | MAR-24-86 | 41 | 3.4 | 0.33 | 0 | - - | W (3.3) |
|  |  | Chum | APR-20-87 | 35 | 3.8 | 0.49 | 74 | - - | W (3.5) |
|  |  | Chum | MAR-20-88 | 10 | 4.9 | 1.17 | 0 | - - |  |
|  |  | Chum | JAN-30-89 | 10 | 3.4 | 0.34 | 0 | - - | W (6.6) |
|  |  | Chum | APR-01-89 | 10 | 4.5 | 0.94 | 40 | - - | W (6.0) |
|  |  | Masu | APR-10-89 | 10 | 3.5 | 0.37 | 100 | - + | W (6.3) |
|  |  | Masu | MAY-31-89 | 20 | 4.1 | 0.62 | 10 | - + | W+R (8.7) |
| 55 | Enbetsu | Chum | APR-06-87 | 35 | 3.6 | 0.40 | 0 | - - | I |
| 56 | Mashike | Chum | APR-09-87 | 10 | 4.9 | 1.23 | 20 | - - | R |
| 57 | Hamamashu | Chum | MAR-09-88 | 62 | 5.7 | 1.91 | 0 | - | W+R |
| 58 | Atsuta | Chum | APR-11-88 | 53 | 4.6 | 0.99 | 0 | - - | S+R |
| 59 | Shikotsuko | Kokanee | APR-16-87 | 20 | 2.4 | 0.08 | 0 | - - | S |
| 60 | Chitose | Chum(A) | JAN-11-82 | 40 | ND | ND | 0 | - - | S |
|  |  | Chum | FEB-09-82 | 11 | ND | ND | 100 | + | S+R |
|  |  | Chum | FEB-16-82 | 15 | ND | ND | 100 | + - | S+R |
|  |  | Chum | FEB-24-82 | 20 | ND | ND | 100 | + | S+R |
|  |  | Chum | MAR-02-82 | 10 | ND | ND | 60 | - + | S+R |
|  |  | Chum | MAR-09-82 | 15 | ND | ND | 80 | - | S+R |
|  |  | Chum | FEB-06-86 | 60 | ND | ND | 0 | - - | S |
|  |  | Chum | MAR-27-86 | 40 | 4.6 | 0.78 | 48 | - | S+R |
|  |  | Chum | MAR-23-87 | 25 | 4.3 | 0.56 | 20 | - - | S+R |
|  |  | Chum | MAR-16-88 | 40 | 4.1 | 0.69 | 18 | - - | S+R |
|  |  | Chum | MAR-22-88 | 35 | 4.2 | 0.47 | 17 | + - | S+R |
|  |  | Chum | MAR-08-89 | 24 | 4.1 | 0.54 | 21 | - - | S+R |
|  |  | Masu | APR-26-88 | 17 | 5.0 | 1.14 | 76 | - - | S+R |
|  |  | Masu | MAR-09-89 | $10^{*}$ | 4.2 | 0.87 | 90 | - | S+R |
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## URAWA. - DISTRIBUTION OF ECTOPARASITES ON SALMONIDS

Appendix 1. (continued)

|  |  | Fish <br> species | Collection date | No. fish examined | Mean <br> length <br> (cm) | Mean <br> Weight <br> (g) | Parasites |  | Water supply <br> Type (Temp.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |  | TRI CHI |  |
| 79 | Shiriuchi | Chum | MAR-05-87 | 34 | 5.1 | 1.35 | 0 | - - | W+R(6.7) |
| 80 | Moheji | Chum | MAR-05-87 | 42 | 4.0 | 0.37 | 0 | - - | W (8.0) |
| 81 | Kamiiso | Chum | MAR-05-87 | 60 | 4.2 | 0.77 | 0 | - - | W (6.0) |
| 82 | Shiodomari | Chum | MAR-05-87 | 10 | 4.1 | 0.61 | 0 | - - | W (5.8) |
| 83 | Haraki | Chum | MAR-05-87 | 10 | 4.4 | 0.88 | 0 | - | $\mathrm{W}+\mathrm{R}(2.6)$ |
| 84 | Shirikishinai | Chum | MAR - $05-87$ | 10 | 4.0 | 0.62 | 100 | - - | R (6.0) |
| V. Pacific Ocean (west) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85 | Yajiri | Chum | MAR-30-87 | 30 | 4.2 | 0.64 | 0 | - - | S+R |
| 86 | Oofuna | Chum | MAR-05-87 | 10 | 3.6 | 0.41 | 40 | - - | S+R(4.5) |
|  |  | Chum | MAR-30-87 | 10 | 3.7 | 0.41 | 50 | - - | R |
| 87 | Shikabe | Chum | MAR-25-86 | 10 | 4.7 | 0.64 | 70 | - - | R (5.5) |
|  |  | Chum | MAR-30-87 | 10 | 4.1 | 0.70 | 100 | - - | R |
| 88 | Ojironai | Chum | MAR-30-87 | 10 | 3.9 | 0.54 | 10 | - | S + R |
| 89 | Yurrapu | Chum | MAR-03-87 | 30 | 4.1 | 0.71 | 93 | + | S+R |
| 90 | Yakumo | Chum | APR-01-87 | 29 | 3.8 | 0.50 | 0 | - - | S+W |
| 91 | Oshamanbe | Chum | MAR-06-87 | 10 | 3.7 | 0.44 | 0 | - - | S (10.4) |
|  |  | Chum | MAR-30-87 | 20 | 4.0 | 0.56 | 0 | - - | S |
| 92 | Nukibetsu \#1 | Chum | MAR-06-87 | 15 | 4.4 | 0.57 | 100 | - - | S+W+R(7.2) |
| 93 | Nukibetsu \#2 | Chum | MAR-06-87 | 15 | 4.6 | 0.77 | 100 | - - | S (8.0) |
| 94 | Kesen \#1 | Chum | MAR-06-87 | 10 | 4.4 | 0.61 | 0 | - - | S (10.0) |
| 95 | Kesen \#2 | Chum | MAR-06-87 | 20 | 3.8 | 0.38 | 55 | - | S+R(3.5) |
|  |  | Chum | MAR-30-87 | 10 | 3.7 | 0.42 | 100 | - - | S +R |
| 96 | Chimaibetsu | Chum | MAR -06-87 | 35 | 4.3 | 0.46 | 0 | - - | $\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{R}(5.0)$ |
| 97 | Noboribetsu | Chum | MAR-11-87 | 60 | 4.3 | 0.75 | 0 | - - | S + R |
| 98 | Ayoro | Chum | MAR-11-87 | 60 | 4.9 | 1.15 | 0 | - - | W+R |
| 99 | Shikiu | Chum | APR-24-87 | 60 | 5.5 | 1.62 | 0 | - - | I + R |
|  |  | Chum | MAY-09-89 | 10 | 6.4 | 1.94 | 67 | - - | I+R |
| 100 | Shiraoi | Chum | APR-24-87 | 20 | 4.2 | 0.70 | 90 | - - | I+R |
| 101 | Nïkappu | Chum | JAN-14-87 | 30 | 3.7 | 0.30 | 0 | - - | W |
| 102 | Shizunai | Chum(A) | JAN-07-87 | 35 | 3.3 | 0.32 | 0 | - - | W |
|  |  | Chum | APR-26-87 | 10 | 5.4 | 1.14 | 100 | - - | W |
| 103 | Mitsuishi | Chum | JAN-14-87 | 40 | 3.9 | 0.34 | 0 | - - | W+I (8.4) |
|  |  | Chum | APR-15-88 | 60 | 3.7 | 0.43 | 0 | - | W+I |
| 104 | Motourakawa | Chum | APR-25-87 | 20 | 4.7 | 1.19 | 65 | - | I (8.0) |
| 105 | Erimo | Chum | APR-25-87 | $30^{*}$ | 4.6 | 0.86 | 13 | - - | W+R |
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| Region | Fish <br> species | Collection date | No．fish examined | Mean <br> length <br> （cm） | Mean <br> Weight <br> （g） | Parasites |  | Water supply <br> Type（Temp．） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No．Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  | ICH | TRI CHI |  |
| VI．Pacific Ocean（east） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 106 Hiroo | Chum | MAR－24－84 | 3 | 4.0 | 0.38 | 100 | －－ | S＋R |
|  | Chum | APR－25－85 | 10 | 4.0 | 0.38 | 90 | －－ | S＋R |
|  | Chum | FEB－28－87 | 5 | 4.0 | 0.60 | 100 | －－ | $\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{R}(6.0)$ |
|  | Chum | APR－19－88 | $20 *$ | 5.3 | 1.50 | 35 | －－ | R |
|  | Chum | MAR－13－89 | 10 | 4.2 | 0.67 | 100 | －－ | S＋I（5．5） |
| 107 Rakko | Chum | APR－25－85 | 10 | 4.1 | 0.42 | 0 | －－ | I |
| 108 Komonbetsu | Chum | APR－13－88 | 40 | 4.7 | 1.06 | 0 | －－ | S |
|  | Chum | APR－26－89 | 10 | 4.4 | 0.88 | 0 | －－ | S（7．9） |
| 109 Taiki | Chum | MAR－24－84 | 6 | ND | ND | 50 | －－ | I |
| 110 Kousei | Chum | APR－25－85 | 10 | 4.0 | 0.44 | 50 | ＋－ | R |
|  | Chum | APR－13－88 | 15 | 4.2 | 0.71 | 100 | －－ | I |
|  | Chum | APR－26－89 | 53 | 4.6 | 1.03 | 0 | －－ | I（6．4） |
| 111 Tokachi \＃1 | Chum | APR－18－88 | 27 | 4.9 | 1.16 | 0 | －－ | W＋R |
| 112 Satsunai | Chum | MAR－23－84 | 6 | 4.4 | 0.81 | 17 | －－ | W＋R |
|  | Chum | MAR－14－89 | 10 | 4.7 | 1.06 | 0 | －－ | W（7．0） |
| 113 Tokachi \＃2 | Chum（A） | MAR－24－84 | 16 | 3.8 | 0.55 | 0 | －－ | W＋R |
|  | Chum | APR－18－88 | 27 | 4.9 | 1.16 | 0 | －－ | W |
|  | Chum | MAR－13－89 | 60 | 5.2 | 1.40 | 80 | －－ | W＋R（6．8） |
| 114 Ootsu | Chum | MAR－24－84 | 6 | 4.6 | 0.98 | 100 | －－ | S＋R |
|  | Chum | MAR－13－89 | 10 | 5.0 | 1.28 | 0 | －－ | S＋R（6．9） |
| 115 Makubetsu | Chum | MAR－27－82 | 15 | 4.5 | 0.66 | 0 | －＋ | W＋R |
|  | Chum（A） | MAR－23－84 | 36 | 3.3 | 0.42 | 0 | －＋ | W＋R |
|  | Chum | MAR－14－89 | 10 | 4.3 | 0.54 | 90 | ＋ | W＋R（6．6） |
| 116 Urahoro | Chum | APR－18－89 | 47 | 3.9 | 0.51 | 0 | －－ | R（6．4） |
| 117 Onbetsu | Chum | APR－26－88 | 44 | 4.6 | 0.93 | 0 | －－ | W |
| 118 Tyaro | Chum | APR－26－88 | 10 | 4.0 | 0.61 | 90 | －－ | W＋R |
| 119 Shoro | Chum（A） | MAR－24－89 | 10 | 3.6 | 0.41 | 0 | －－ | R（3．0） |
|  | Chum | MAR－14－90 | 78 | 3.7 | 0.43 | 0 | －－ | R |
| 120 Turui \＃1 | Chum | MAR－23－87 | 10 | 4.3 | 0.77 | 0 | －－ | W |
|  | Chum | APR－25－89 | 10 | 3.9 | 0.61 | 0 | － | S |
| 121 Turui \＃2 | Chum | MAR－14－89 | 10 | 4.0 | 0.59 | 0 | －－ | W＋R |
|  | Chum | APR－25－89 | 10 | 4.8 | 1.18 | 0 | －－ | W＋R |
| 122 Ashibetsu | Chum | MAR－23－87 | 10 | 3.7 | 0.43 | 0 | ＋ | W＋R |
| 123 Kushiro | Chum | MAR－26－82 | 7 | 3.7 | 0.52 | 14 | － | S |
|  | Chum（A） | MAR－21－84 | 15 | 4.0 | ND | 0 | － | S |
|  | Chum | MAY－20－88 | $20^{*}$ | 4.0 | 0.51 | 0 | － | S |

Appendix 1. (continued)

| Region |  | Fish <br> species | Collection date | No. fish examined | Mean <br> length <br> (cm) | Mean <br> Weight <br> (g) | Parasites |  | Water supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  | ICH | TRI CHI | Type (Temp.) |
|  |  | Chum | MAR-14-89 | 30 | 3.9 | 0.52 | 0 | - - | S (7.0) |
|  |  | Masu | AUG-12-88 | 16 | 5.2 | 1.63 | 100 | - - | S |
|  | Tyorobetsu | Chum | APR-26-88 | 20 | 4.7 | 1.02 | 25 | - - | W+R |
|  | Chyanbetsu | Chum | MAR-22-84 | 6 | 3.8 | ND | 0 | - - | I+R |
|  |  | Chum | APR-25-88 | $40^{*}$ | 4.5 | 0.88 | 0 | - - | I +R |
| 126 | Biwase | Chum | APR-03-89 | 58 | 3.6 | 0.38 | 0 | - - | S+R(5.5) |
| 127 | Shinkawa | Chum(A) | APR-25-88 | 38 | 3.3 | 0.29 | 0 | - - | R |
| 128 | Horoto | Chum | APR-19-89 | 10 | 3.6 | 0.41 | 0 | - - | R (7.0) |
|  | Ochiishi | Chum | MAY-11-89 | 40* | 4.1 | 0.72 | 0 | - - | R (11.9) |

さけ・ますふ研報 SCI．REP．HOKKAIDO SALMON HATCHERY，NO．46， 1992
Appendix 2．The occurrence of Ichthyobodo necator（ICH），Trichodina truttae（TRI）and
Chilodonella piscicola（CHI）on hatchery－reared juvenile salmonids in northern Honshu．

|  | Region | Fish <br> species | Collection date | No．fish examined | Mean <br> length <br> （cm） | Mean <br> Weight <br> （g） | Parasites |  | Water supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No． | Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |  | TRI CHI | Type（Temp．） |
| VII．Tsugaru Strait and Mutsu Bay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Masukawa | Chum | MAY－17－88 | 30 | 3.9 | 0.55 | 0 | －－ | R（13．6） |
| 2 | Kanita | Chum | MAR－08－88 | 20 | 3.7 | 0.50 | 25 | －－ | W＋R（8．6） |
| 3 | Nouchi | Chum | MAR－06－89 | 46 | 4.4 | 0.74 | 0 | －－ | W（7．0） |
| 4 | Shimizu | Chum | MAR－07－89 | 30 | 3.6 | 0.40 | 0 | －－ | W（9．1） |
| 5 | Noheji \＃1 | Chum | APR－02－87 | 31 | 4.2 | 0.66 | 100 | －－ | W＋R（9．4） |
|  |  | Chum | MAR－01－88 | 30 | 3.9 | 0.47 | 27 | －＋ | W +I （11．7） |
|  |  | Chum | MAR－07－89 | 60 | 3.7 | 0.40 | 0 | －－ | W（12．2） |
| 6 | Noheji \＃2 | Chum | MAR－09－88 | 20 | 3.4 | 0.40 | 5 | －－ | W＋R（8．1） |
|  |  | Chum | MAR－07－89 | 30 | 4.4 | 0.81 | 0 | ＋＋ | W＋R（7．7） |
| 7 | Tanabu | Chum | MAR－22－89 | 30 | 5.0 | 1.07 | 87 | －－ | W（12．6） |
| 8 | Mutsu | Chum | MAR－23－89 | 30 | 4.8 | 0.94 | 100 | －－ | W（13．6） |
| 9 | Kawauchi | Chum | MAR－02－88 | 40 | 5.1 | 1.35 | 0 | －－ | W＋I（8．5） |
|  |  | Chum | MAR－23－89 | 30 | 4.4 | 0.80 | 100 | －－ | W＋R（4．2） |
| 10 | Oohata | Chum | MAR－01－88 | 30 | 3.9 | 0.58 | 47 | ＋－ | S＋W（7．8） |
|  |  | Chum | MAR－22－89 | 30 | 3.8 | 0.45 | 0 | ＋ | S＋W（8．4） |
| 11 | Noushi | Chum | MAR－16－88 | 46 | 3.7 | 0.42 | 0 | －－ | W（7．2） |
|  |  | Chum | MAR－23－89 | 30 | 3.7 | 0.29 | 0 | － | W（9．8） |
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| Region |  | Fish <br> species | Collection <br> date | No. fish examined | Mean <br> length <br> (cm) | Mean <br> Weight <br> (g) | Parasites |  | Water supply <br> Type (Temp.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |  | TRI CHI |  |
| 21 | Noda | Chum | MAY-01-91 | 30 | 4.9 | 1.14 | 0 | - | I (8.4) |
| 22 | Akka | Chum | MAY-01-91 | 30 | 4.1 | 0.67 | 0 | - - | I (8.4) |
| 23 | Fudai | Chum | APR-24-91 | 30 | 4.7 | 0.90 | 100 | + | I (13.3) |
| 24 | Akedo | Chum | APR-23-91 | 40 | 4.6 | 0.98 | 0 | - - | W (12.0) |
| 25 | Komoto | Chum | APR-09-90 | 30 | 4.5 | 0.86 | 0 | - - | W (10.9) |
| 26 | Settai | Chum | APR-23-91 | 30 | 5.3 | 1.36 | 100 | - - | W (9.1) |
| 27 | Tarou | Chum | APR-24-91 | 30 | 6.0 | 2.09 | 0 | - - | I (8.4) |
| 28 | Matsuyama | Chum | APR-10-90 | 30 | 4.5 | 0.86 | 0 | - - | W (7.4) |
| 29 | Tugaruishi | Chum | APR-10-90 | 30 | 5.8 | 1.76 | 0 | - - | W (9.8) |
| 30 | Omoe | Chum | APR-20-90 | 30 | 4.7 | 0.93 | 0 | + - | I (7.4) |
| 31 | Oosawa | Chum | APR-10-90 | 30 | 5.7 | 1.64 | 0 | - - | I+R(12.4) |
| 32 | Sekiguchi | Chum | MAR-08-90 | 30 | 5.3 | 1.31 | 0 | - - | W (9.8) |
| 33 | Orikasa | Chum | MAR-08-90 | 20 | 5.1 | 1.13 | 45 | - - | W (10.8) |
| 34 | Ohzuchi | Chum | MAR-08-90 | 30 | 5.2 | 1.58 | 0 | - - | W (12.2) |
| 35 | Kozuchi | Chum | MAR-08-90 | 30 | 5.2 | 1.40 | 0 | - - | W (10.2) |
| 36 | Unozumai | Chum | MAR-13-90 | 30 | 4.6 | 0.89 | 0 | - - | I (6.0) |
| 37 | Kashi | Chum | MAR-13-90 | 30 | 5.1 | 1.31 | 0 | - - | W (8.5) |
| 38 | Katagishi | Chum | MAY-02-91 | 30 | 5.3 | 1.45 | 0 | - - | I (8.8) |
| 39 | Kumano | Chum | APR-10-90 | 30 | 5.7 | 1.72 | 37 | - - | I (8.1) |
| 40 | Yoshihama | Chum | APR-20-90 | 30 | 4.3 | 0.77 | 0 | - - | I (9.2) |
| 41 | Urahama | Chum | MAR-12-90 | 30 | 4.4 | 0.78 | 0 | - - | I (9.7) |
| 42 | Morikawa | Chum | MAR-12-90 | 30 | 4.2 | 0.63 | 0 | - - | W (10.5) |
| 43 | Takada | Chum | MAR-12-90 | 30 | 4.8 | 1.13 | 0 | - - | I (7.4) |
| 44 | Ohkawa | Chum | FEB-14-90 | 30 | 4.9 | 0.97 | 0 | + - | I +R (10.9) |
|  |  | Chum | JAN-20-91 | 20 | 4.3 | 0.64 | 20 | + + | S+R |
| 45 | Koizumi | Chum | FEB-16-90 | 10 | 4.4 | 0.83 | 100 | - - | I (7.2) |
| 46 | Yawata | Chum | FEB-16-90 | 10 | 4.3 | 0.69 | 100 | - - | $\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{R}(6.0)$ |
| 47 | Mizujiri | Chum | FEB-16-90 | 30 | 4.5 | 0.84 | 0 | - - | I (10.4) |
| 48 | Mitobe | Chum | FEB-16-90 | 30 | 5.1 | 1.21 | 0 | - - | W (11.0) |
| IX. Sea of Japan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | Isomatsu | Chum | MAR-08-88 | 20 | 3.4 | 0.32 | 50 | - | $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{R}$ (2.7) |
|  |  | Chum | APR-06-88 | 20 | 4.0 | 0.58 | 100 | - - | $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{R}$ |
|  |  | Chum | MAR-13-89 | 30 | 4.4 | 0.83 | 100 | - | R (5.4) |
| 50 | Iwaki | Chum | MAR-14-88 | 60 | 4.0 | 0.63 | 0 | + | W (11.7) |
|  |  | Chum | MAR-06-89 | 30 | 4.9 | 1.05 | 0 | - + | W (12.3) |
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| Region |  | Fish species | Collection <br> date | No．fish examined | Mean <br> length <br> （cm） | Mean <br> Weight <br> （g） | Parasites |  | Water supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No． | Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  | ICH | TRI CHI | Type（Temp．） |
| 51 | Akaishi | Chum | MAR－07－88 | 30 | 4.5 | 0.96 | 0 | －－ | W（11．0） |
|  |  | Chum | MAR－16－88 | 36 | 3.9 | 0.46 | 0 | －－ | W（10．8） |
|  |  | Chum | JAN－17－91 | 20 | 3.4 | 0.38 | 55 | －－ | W（11．0） |
| 52 | Oirase | Chum | APR－16－87 | 29 | 4.1 | 0.66 | 100 | －－ | W＋R |
|  |  | Chum | MAR－15－88 | 30 | 4.5 | 0.87 | 0 | －－ | W＋R（5．7） |
|  |  | Chum | APR－07－88 | 60 | 4.3 | 0.68 | 0 | －－ | $\mathbf{W}+\mathrm{R}$（8．0） |
|  |  | Chum（A） | FEB－28－89 | 30 | 3.3 | 0.30 | 3 | －－ | W＋R（7．7） |
| 53 | Sasanai | Chum | APR－15－87 | 30 | 4.2 | 0.74 | 0 | －－ | S |
|  |  | Chum | MAR－15－88 | 20 | 4.2 | 0.68 | 100 | －－ | S（7．1） |
| 54 | Ohmine | Chum | FEB－28－89 | 30 | 3.3 | 0.28 | 0 | －－ | R（7．0） |
| 55 | Mase | Chum | APR－11－88 | 16 | 4.8 | 0.94 | 100 | －－ | W＋R（4－12） |
|  |  | Chum | APR－11－89 | 33 | 4.1 | 0.70 | 100 | －－ | W＋R（4－12） |
| 56 | Fujikoto | Chum | APR－12－88 | 20 | 4.4 | 0.75 | 0 | －＋ | $\mathrm{S}(7.5-8.5)$ |
|  |  | Chum | APR－11－89 | 34 | 4.5 | 0.95 | 0 | －－ | $\mathrm{S}(7.5-8.5)$ |
| 57 | Ani | Chum | APR－11－88 | 20 | 4.8 | 1.05 | 0 | －－ | W（7－14） |
|  |  | Chum | APR－11－89 | 23 | 5.1 | 1.25 | 100 | －－ | $\mathrm{W}+\mathrm{R}(7-8.6)$ |
| 58 | Nomura | Chum | APR－11－88 | 20 | 4.6 | 0.94 | 0 | －－ | $\mathrm{W}+\mathrm{R}$（6．5－11） |
|  |  | Chum | APR－04－89 | 29 | 4.0 | 0.61 | 0 | ＋－ | W＋R（6－11） |
| 59 | Omono | Chum | APR－08－88 | 20 | 4.7 | 1.07 | 100 | －－ | W＋R（5－12．1） |
|  |  | Chum | MAR－30－89 | 60 | 4.6 | 0.83 | 0 | －－ | W（8．5－10．9） |
| 60 | Kimigano | Chum | APR－08－88 | 20 | 4.1 | 0.53 | 100 | －－ | $\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{R}(4.5-10)$ |
|  |  | Chum | APR－06－89 | 10 | 4.0 | 0.52 | 100 | －－ | W（8－8．9） |
| 61 | Koromo | Chum | APR－08－88 | 21 | 4.2 | 0.74 | 0 | －－ | W＋R（5－10） |
|  |  | Chum | APR－06－89 | 23 | 4.2 | 0.71 | 0 | －－ | W＋R（5－10） |
| 62 | Ishizawa | Chum | APR－08－88 | 20 | 5.1 | 1.06 | 0 | －－ | $\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{R}(6.5-10)$ |
|  |  | Chum | APR－06－89 | 20 | 4.6 | 1.03 | 100 | －－ | $\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{R}(6.5-10)$ |
| 63 | Ayu | Chum | APR－08－88 | 17 | 4.0 | 0.65 | 100 | －－ | W＋R（4－12） |
|  |  | Chum | APR－06－89 | 16 | 3.8 | 0.55 | 0 | －－ | W＋R（4－12） |
| 64 | Nishime | Chum | APR－08－88 | 16 | 3.9 | 0.56 | 94 | －－ | W＋R（5－10） |
|  |  | Chum | APR－05－89 | 41 | 4.2 | 0.64 | 0 | － | W（5－10） |
| 65 | Akaishi | Chum | APR－08－88 | 20 | 4.9 | 0.94 | 0 | －－ | W＋R（5－12） |
|  |  | Chum | MAR－28－89 | 20 | 5.3 | 1.49 | 0 | －－ | $\mathrm{W}+\mathrm{R}(8.9-13.1)$ |
| 66 | Kawabukuro | Chum | MAR－31－88 | 27 | 6.3 | 2.43 | 0 | －－ | $\mathrm{S}(8.5-11)$ |
|  |  | Chum | APR－09－88 | 20 | 5.3 | 1.40 | 0 | － | S（8．5－11） |
|  |  | Chum | MAR－28－89 | 23 | 5.4 | 1.74 | 0 | － | S |
|  |  | Chum | APR－05－89 | 21 | 5.1 | 1.23 | 0 | －－ | S（8．6－9．8） |
| 67 | Naso | Chum | MAR－18－88 | 20 | 4.7 | 1.03 | 5 | －－ | W＋R（6－12） |

Appendix 2. (continued)

| Region |  | Fish <br> species | Collection <br> date | No. fish examined | Mean <br> length <br> (cm) | Mean <br> Weight <br> (g) | Parasites |  | Water supply <br> Type (Temp.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  | ICH | TRI CHI |  |
| 68 | Zougata | Chum | APR-05-89 | 25 | 4.1 | 0.65 | 100 | - - | $\mathrm{W}+\mathrm{R}(6-12)$ |
|  |  | Chum | APR-08-88 | 21 | 5.2 | 1.30 | 0 | - - | S (9.5-10) |
|  |  | Chum | APR-05-89 | 42 | 4.6 | 0.99 | 0 | - - | S (10.0) |
| 69 | Minowa | Chum | MAR-23-90 | 65 | 5.5 | 1.59 | 0 | + - | S+R (10.5) |
| 70 | Masu | Chum | MAR-28-90 | 60 | 5.3 | 1.36 | 60 | - - | S+W (9.0) |
| 71 | Gakko | Chum | MAR-28-90 | 59 | 5.7 | 1.79 | 0 | + - | S+R |
| 72 | Takase | Chum | MAR-28-90 | 60 | 6.5 | 2.52 | 0 | - - | W+R(13.0) |
| 73 | Nikko | Chum | MAR-20-90 | 30 | 5.0 | 1.15 | 0 | + + | W+R(12.2) |
| 74 | Aka | Chum | MAR-12-90 | 60 | 5.9 | 1.99 | 0 | - - | W (11.7) |
| 75 | Sanze | Chum | MAR-30-90 | 30 | 7.4 | 3.73 | 0 | - - | W |


[^0]:    Contribution A No． 335 from the Hokkaido Salmon Hatchery
    ＊Research Division，Hokkaido Salmon Hatchery，Fisheries Agency of Japan，2－2 Nakanoshima， Toyohira－ku，Sapporo 062，Japan（浦和茂彦，北海道さけ・ますふ化場）

[^1]:    ＊Urawa，S．（1984）：The pathogenicity of Ichthyobodo necator on chum salmon fry．In Abstracts of the 1984 Annual Meeting of the Japanese Society of Fish Pathology．p．5．（In Japanese．）

